Table 1. Program Separations as of December 31, 2000

Separation Status	Treat	ment	Comparison		
Terminated from probation/					
Relieved of supervision (ROS)					
Successful termination/ROS	19		27		
Moved to another location/placement	12		5		
Returned to probation	2		9		
Sub-total		33		41	
Moved out of the area		7		9	
Tranferred to another unit					
Placement	7		5		
Gang Violence Suppression	4		7		
California Youth Authority	3		0		
Field office (regular supervision)	2		na		
Sub-total Sub-total		16		12	
TOTAL		56		62	

Table 2. Six-, Twelve- and Eighteen-Month Recidivism Data (All Study Cases)\*

	6 Months After Program Entry				12 Months After Program Entry			18 Months After Program Entry				
	Experimental	(N = 106)	<b>Control</b> (N = 102)		Experimental (N = 81)		<b>Control</b> (N = 85)		Experimental (N = 71)		Control (N = 66)	
Number of Subsequent Juvenile Court PetitionsFiled	<u>N</u>	% of <u>Total</u>	<u>N</u>	% of Total	<u>N</u>	% of Total	<u>N</u>	% of Total	<u>N</u>	% of Total	<u>N</u>	% of Total
0	49	46%	51	50%	29	36%	24	28%	17	24%	16	24%
1	39	37%	27	26%	23	28%	20	24%	15	21%	4	6%
2	12	11%	17	17%	12	15%	17	20%	8	11%	8	12%
3 or more	6	6%	7	7%	17	21%	24	28%	31	44%	38	58%
% of Total												
Cases with Court Petitions Filed	54%		50%		64%		72%		76%		76%	
Warrants Issued	10%		19%		30%		35%		58%		77%	
Cases with Custody Time	46%		36%		57%		59%		69%		65%	
(Avg. days in custody)	(75)		(89)		(124)		(124)		(155)		(221)	

<sup>\*</sup>This analysis is based on juveniles who had reached their 6-month, 12-month and 18-month post-assignment date as of December 31, 2000.

Table 3. Six-, Twelve- and Eighteen-Month Recidivism Data (Youth with Juvenile Court Petitions Only)\*

6 Months After Program E			18 Months After Program Entry		
Experimental (N =	57) Control (N = 51)	Experimental (N = 52)	<b>Control</b> (N = 61)	Experimental (N = 54)	<b>Control</b> (N = 50)
1.5	1.6	2.1	2.3	3.3	4.1
0.5	0.8	0.8	1.2	1.0	1.5
	After Program E Experimental (N =	After Program Entry  Experimental Control (N = 57) (N = 51)	After Program Entry         After Prog           Experimental (N = 57)         Control (N = 51)         Experimental (N = 52)           1.5         1.6         2.1	After Program Entry         After Program Entry           Experimental (N = 57)         Control (N = 51)         Experimental (N = 52)         Control (N = 61)           1.5         1.6         2.1         2.3	After Program Entry         After Program Entry         After Program Entry           Experimental (N = 57)         Control (N = 51)         Experimental (N = 61)         Experimental (N = 54)           1.5         1.6         2.1         2.3         3.3

<sup>\*</sup>This analysis is based on juveniles who had reached their 6-month, 12-month and 18-month post-assignment date as of December 31, 2000.