AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING AD HOC COMMITTEE ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL



Thursday, February 2, 2023, 1:30 P.M.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

MRC, Classroom 2 333 Sidwell Way (behind Juvenile Hall) Orange, California

Daniel Hernandez, Chair

Probation

Kimberly Doyle

District Attorney

Laura JosePublic Defender

Jose Pelayo Sheriff-Coroner

Ken Santini

Social Services Agency

Hether Benjamin

Community Based Organization

Katrina Foley

Board of Supervisors

Meghan Medlin

At Large Community Representative

Nazly Restrepo

Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

Dawn Smith

Health Care Agency

The Ad Hoc Committee for the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council welcomes you to this meeting. This agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The Ad Hoc Committee encourages your participation. If you wish to speak on an item contained in the agenda, please complete a Speaker Form identifying the item(s) and deposit it in the Speaker Form Return box located next to the Clerk. If you wish to speak on a matter which does not appear on the agenda, you may do so during the Public Comment period at the close of the meeting. Except as otherwise provided by law, no action shall be taken on any item not appearing in the agenda. When addressing the Ad Hoc Committee, please state your name for the record prior to providing your comments.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for this meeting should notify the Clerk of the Board's Office 72 hours prior to the meeting at (714) 834-2206

All supporting documentation is available for public review online at:

https://ocprobation.ocgov.com/bureaus/communications/committees/orange-county-juvenile-justice-coordinating-council and in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors located in the County Administration North building, 400 W. Civic Center Dr., 6th Floor, Santa Ana, California 92701 during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 2)

At this time, members of the public may ask the Ad Hoc to be heard on the following items as those items are called.

1. Welcome and Introductions

AGENDA

- 2. Presentations by funded programs:
 - a. Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program (DCI)
 - b. School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART) South; North School Mobile
 Assessment and Response Team (NSMART) Central & Northern areas of Orange County; and
 School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART) HCA Clinician

PUBLIC & AD HOC COMMENTS:

At this time members of the public may address the OCJJCC Ad Hoc on any matter not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Ad Hoc. The Ad Hoc or Chair may limit the length of time each individual may have to address the Ad Hoc.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

AD HOC COMMENTS:

ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING:

February 16, 2023, 1:30 P.M., Tentative – if needed

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIRMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

J	JJCPA PROGRAM: Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program (DCI) – Probation						
1.	1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program:						
2.	Collaborating Partner	s:					
	■ Law enforcement □ Juvenile Court ■ Probation	OCSD Non-Custody Int		☐ Educatio ☐ Mental h ☐ Social se	ealth/health		
3.	Other partner* Pepperdine Resources, Youth Diversion and Education (PRYDE) *Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families 3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide da measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:					ovide data for	
4.		ans for achieving	g and measuring outcom				
	PROGRAM GOAL		PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUT	COME	MEASUREABLE OUT		
	Reduce the number of who progress further in system.		 Informal consult onsite operation purposes of mak informed decision Timely pre-screen 	s staff for ing more ons.	not limited to) Con Exit addi Perf [Pro Writ	: upletion of sanct status [Probationg]. orming commun bation]. ing an essay/apo	nity service hours
5.	Program timeline:						
	Youth referred to Probation are given a 6-month sanction.						
6.	Reporting data/outcor	mes:					
	Pre- and post-progra	am participation	assessment:				
	N/A						
Tracking improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior): N/A							
	Tracking the amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):						
	Probation is unclear about what this is capturing. OCJJCC, please clarify from past meetings.						
7.	Reporting period (<i>Mar</i> ☐ Quarterly ☐ Bi-annually	k all that apply): ■ Yearly □ Other (please	specify):			٦	

NOTES: Probation is currently working to determine the best way to capture recidivism. Juvenile data is complex because records can be sealed and or destroyed. We plan to provide more information in forthcoming reports.

Please attach any relevant evidence-based, evidence-informed and/or promising practices support documentation

JJCPA PROGRESS REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022

Reporting Period if different than July 1, 2021 –June 30, 2022:

	INIC		
GRAN	IIIVEC	JKIVI	ATION

Grantee: Probation	Date Submitted:
Project Title: Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program	Grant Award Number (if appl):
Prepared by: Probation	Phone:
Title:	Email:

SECTION 1: QUARTERLY GRANT STATUS

1.1 Expenditure Status

Please report the status of your grant expenditure as of the end of the reporting period.

a. Award Amount	\$
b. Actual Amount Invoiced-	\$
c. Percent of Award Invoiced to Date (Amount above ÷ Award Amount)	%

Please provide an update on your efforts in administering your project during the reporting period.

1.2 Status of Grant Agreement Goals & Objectives

GOAL 1	Reduce the number of at-risk youths who progress further in the juvenile system.
Objective 1a.	Informal consultations among-onsite operations staff for purposes of making more informed decisions.
Objective 1b.	Timely pre-screen assessments.
1. Describe prog	ress towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
	10 DCI cases in the 21-22 fiscal year. Nine out of the ten cases we had successfully completed diversion, thereby ses being sent to the district attorney's office for filing consideration.
2. Describe any	challenges towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
The number of ca	uses that we receive that are eligible for DCI is very low. These cases are sent to us, so there is not really any action crease the numbers on our end.
3. If applicable,	what steps were implemented to address challenges?
GOAL 2	
Objective 2a.	
1. Describe pr	ogress towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
2. Describe any	challenges towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
3. If applicable,	what steps were implemented to address challenges?

GOAL 3	
Objective 3a.	
1. Describe prog	ress towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
2. Describe any o	challenges towards the stated goal and objectives during the reporting period.
3. If applicable, v	what steps were implemented to address challenges?

2.1 Youth Participant Reporting FY2021-2022

A. YOUTH REFFERALS & ENROLLMENT

Data reported in this section refers to the **total** participants that were referred and enrolled into the Decentralized Intake (DCI) program in FY 2021-2022.

	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
Total Referrals	10
Total Enrollments	10

B. ENROLLED YOUTH DEMOGRAPHICS & PROFILES

Data reported in this section refers to the demographic and additional profile information of the participants that were enrolled in the DCI program in FY 2021-2022. Demographic information includes age, ethnicity, gender, and residence of the participants. Additional profile information includes restitution amount that was requested.

AGE ¹	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
14	1
15	2
16	4
17	3
Total	10

Ethnicity	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
White	5
Hispanic	5
Total	10

_

¹ Age at entry of program.

Gender	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
Male	10
Female	0
Total	10

Residence	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
San Clemente	4
Laguna Niguel	3
Aliso Viejo	1
Dana Point	1
Yorba Linda	1
Total	10

Restitution Amount ²	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
No Amount	7
Under \$100	1
Over \$100	2
Total	10

Voluntary Community Service Hours ³	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
No Amount	5
5	0
10	2
15	1
20	1
Total	10

C. EXITING YOUTH

Data reported in this section refers to the terms that the participants exited the program in FY 2021-2022. This includes satisfactory completion of the program or unsatisfactory leave from the program.

Restitution amount *ordered* by courts.
 Voluntary community service *ordered* by courts.

Exit Status	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
Satisfactory	6
Unsatisfactory	1
Total	7

D. SUCCESSFULLY EXITING YOUTH DEMOGRAPHICS

Data reported in this section refers to demographic information of participants who successfully exited the DCI program (n=6). This section is referring to the participants whose exit status was deemed "satisfactory". Demographic information in this section includes age, residence, gender, and ethnicity.

Age ⁴	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
13 and under	0
14	0
15	1
16	3
17	2
Over 18	0
Total	6

Residence	FY
	CUMULATIVE
	JUL 2021-JUN
	2022
Laguna Niguel	3
Dana Point	1
San Clemente	1
Yorba Linda	1
Total	6

⁴ Age at entry of program.

Gender	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
Male	6
Female	0
Total	6

Ethnicity	FY CUMULATIVE JUL 2021-JUN 2022
White	4
Hispanic	2
Total	6

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

JJCPA PROGRAM: De	ecentralized Inta	ke/Sheriff's Prevention	 Program		
Decentralized intake/chemis i revention i regiani					
1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program: ☐ Prevention ☐ Incapacitation ☐ Incapacitation					
2. Collaborating Partners	:				
□ Law enforcement	OCSD		☐ Education		
☐ Juvenile Court	☐ Juvenile Court ☐ Mental health/health				
□ Probation					
⊠ Other partner*	Pepperdine Re Diversion and I	source, Youth Education (PRYDE)	\square Drug and alcohol		
* Provides services that sp	ecifically target at-pro	mise juveniles, juvenile offende	rs and/or their families)		
3. Information sharing sy measuring the success	•	s to ensure that County a ice programs and strate	_	nated and designed to prov	vide data for
PRYDE data is provi	ded to Probation	n upon request			
4. Program goals and pla	ns for achieving	and measuring outcom	es:		
PROGRAM GOAL		PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUT	OME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME	
Reduce the number of at-risk youth that progress further in the juvenile justice system. - Informal consultations among on-site operations staff for purposes of making more informed decisions about certain cases - Timely assessment and a progression of evidence based intervention services to youth and their families near their homes. - Referral of DCI youth and their families to local resources, programs, and classes for appropriate intervention services when possible (e.g. PRYDE) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of sanction Performing community service here with the possible (or purposes of making more informed decisions about certain cases - Timely assessment and a progression of evidence based intervention services to youth and their families to local resources, programs, and classes for appropriate intervention services when possible (e.g. PRYDE) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of sanction Performing community service here are their homes. Tracked by Probation) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of Paylon (Pracked by Probation) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of Paylon (Pracked by Probation) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of Paylon (Pracked by Probation) - Satisfactory Program Exit (including, but not limited to): O Completion of Paylon (Pracked by Probation) - Performing community service here are their homes. For Fiscal Year 21-22, 138 Cases we closed, 129 of those were successful, and 9 were unsuccessful.			ed to): etion nity service hours pology letter f applicable ion) n of PRYDE 138 Cases were re successful,		
5. Program timeline:					
Youth referred to PRYDE receive services for an average of 5 months. Youth referred to Probation are given a 6-month sanction.					
6. Metrics used to measu	re comprehensi	ve plan success:			
Pre- and post-program	m participation a	ssessment:			
Compare referrals from	om previous yea	ars.			
Improvement of prote	ective factors (i.e	. factors that may mitigate or	reduce problematic behavio	or):	
Early intervention an	d diversion to re	duce exposure to the ju	venile justice system		
Amount and influence	e of any training	that was provided (i.e. m	easure expected outcomes	of training to measurable retur	ns):
All staff receives 2 ho psychology.	ours of mandato	ry training per week. In	addition, graduate stud	dents receive graduate co	ourse work in
NOTES:					

PRYDE

Pepperdine Resource, Youth Diversion, and Education

Located at the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department 20202 Windrow, Lake Forest, CA 92630 949-206-8600

Statistic Report

Program Director: Kenneth Woog, Psy.D., MBA

Report Date: 11/22/2022

Report Period From: 07/01/2021

To: 06/30/2022

Only OCSD and allowed PRYDE Referrals

 $Referral\ Information$ The following numbers are based on the number of referrals received during the period\ covered\ by\ the present report

Referral By Month	Number	
January	28	
February	29	
March	42	
April	28	
May	22	
June	23	
August	23	
September	28	
October	36	
November	32	
December	19	
Total	310	

_	
Total	310
TOLAT	-> ± ()

Referral Source	Number	Percent
OCSD Mandatory	135	43.5
OCSD Non-Mandatory	3	1.0
Parent	26	8.4
School Formal	26	8.4
School Informal	120	38.7

Page 14 of 40

Case Status Information for the Overall Program The following numbers are based on the number of cases that were closed during the period covered by the present report.

Case Closed Status	Number	Percent
Successful	129	93.5
Unsuccessful	9	6.5
Total	138	100

Unsuccessful Cases

Reasons for Failure	Number	Percent
Failed to complete requirements	4	44.4
Inconsistent Attendance	1	11.1
Non-Compliant	1	11.1
Re-offended while in diversion	1	11.1
Unable to contact	2	22.2

Waived Cases

Waived Cases	Number	Percent
Unspecified	2	2.6
Inappropriate Referral	16	20.8
Inconsistent Attendance	4	5.2
Intake No Show: Ineligible new referrals	1	1.3
Non-Compliant	1	1.3
Re-offended while in diversion	2	2.6
Service refused by minor/parent	22	28.6
Unable to contact	29	37.7
Total	77	100

 $Case\ Status\ Information\ for\ OCSD\ Referrals$ The following numbers are based on the number of cases that were closed during the period covered by the present report.

Case Closed Status	Number	Percent
Successful	72	91.1
Unsuccessful	7	8.9
Total Closed	79	100

Unsuccessful Cases

Reason for Failure	Number	Percent
Failed to complete requirements	4	57.1
Inconsistent Attendance	1	14.3
Non-Compliant	1	14.3
Re-offended while in diversion	1	14.3
Total	7	100

Waived Cases

Reason for Waived Cases	Number	Percent
Unspecified	1	4.8
Inappropriate Referral	12	57.1
Re-offended while in diversion	2	9.5
Service refused by minor/parent	1	4.8
Unable to contact	5	23.8
Total	21	100

Statistics of the Offenses Offense Categories

Offense Categories	Number	Percent
Unspecified	8	2.6
Assault	33	10.6
Drugs: Alcohol	13	4.2
Drugs: Marijuana	52	16.8
Drugs: Other	13	4.2
Drugs: Tobacco	6	1.9
Incorrigible	4	1.3
Other	65	21.0
Theft	14	4.5
Threat	19	6.1
Traffic Violations	2	0.6
Trespassing	5	1.6
Vandalism	29	9.4
Weapon	47	15.2

Cities Where Offense Occured

City of Offense	Number	Percent
Unspecified	3	1.0
Aliso Viejo	11	3.5
Costa Mesa	1	0.3
Coto De Caza	2	0.6
Dana Point	31	10.0
Foothill Ranch	1	0.3
Ladera Ranch	20	6.5
Laguna Hills	14	4.5
Laguna Niguel	3	1.0
Lake Forest	43	13.9
Las Flores	1	0.3
Mission Viejo	87	28.1
None	1	0.3
Rancho Santa Margarita	26	8.4
San Clemente	22	7.1
San Juan Capistrano	26	8.4
Santa Ana	5	1.6
Trabuco Canyon	6	1.9
Unincorporated	3	1.0
Unknown	1	0.3
Villa Park	2	0.6
Yorba Linda	1	0.3

 $Demographics \\ \textit{The following numbers are based on the number of referrals received during the period covered by the present report.}$

Age	Number	Percent
10	1	0.3
11	5	1.6
12	25	8.2
13	46	15.1
14	51	16.8
15	61	20.1
16	67	22.0
17	36	11.8
18	12	3.9

Gender

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	119	38.4
Male	191	61.6

Ethnicity

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
African-American	5	1.6
Asian	20	6.5
Caucasian	89	28.7
Hispanic	95	30.6
Other	1	0.3
Unknown	10	3.2
Unspecified	90	29.0

Demographics

Cities of Residence

City of Residence	Total	Percent
Aliso Viejo	13	4.2
Capistrano Beach	1	0.3
Cornelius	1	0.3
Costa Mesa	1	0.3
Coto De Caza	4	1.3
Dana Point	14	4.5
Foothill Ranch	5	1.6
Irvine	1	0.3
Ladera Ranch	20	6.5
Laguna Hills	32	10.3
Laguna Niguel	17	5.5
Lake Forest	66	21.3
Las Flores	1	0.3
Mission Viejo	36	11.6
Moreno Valley	1	0.3
Newport Beach	1	0.3
Orange	2	0.6
Rancho Mission Viejo	3	1.0
Rancho Santa Margarita	25	8.1
San Clemente	19	6.1
San Juan Capistrano	30	9.7
Santa Ana	4	1.3
Trabuco Canyon	6	1.9
Tustin	4	1.3
Walnut	1	0.3
Yorba Linda	2	0.6

Demographics Schools Attended

School Attended	Number	Percent
Unspecified	24	7.7
Access	12	3.9
Aliso Niguel HS	6	1.9
Aliso Viejo MS	1	0.3
Arroyo Vista ES	1	0.3
Bernice Ayers MS	4	1.3
Capistrano Valley HS	5	1.6
Dana Hills HS	21	6.8
Don Juan Avila	1	0.3
El Toro HS	23	7.4
Foothill HS	2	0.6
Gates ES	1	0.3
Hewes MS	1	0.3
Junipero Serra HS	4	1.3
La Paz MS	14	4.5
Ladera Ranch MS	1	0.3
Laguna Hills HS	7	2.3
Los Alisos MS	20	6.5
Marco Forester MS	2	0.6
Mission Viejo HS	1	0.3
Newhart	1	0.3
Newhart MS	1	0.3
Niguel Hills	3	1.0
Not listed HS	7	2.3
Not listed MS	1	0.3
Rancho Santa Margarita MS	8	2.6
San Clemente HS	8	2.6
San Joaquin	1	0.3
San Juan	3	1.0
San Juan Hills HS	29	9.4
Santa Margarita Catholic HS	15	4.8
Santiago	2	0.6
School Unknown	4	1.3
Serrano MS	10	3.2
Shorecliffs MS	9	2.9
Silverado Continuation High	30	9.7
Tesoro HS	11	3.5
Trabuco	1	0.3
Trabuco Hills HS	11	3.5
Union HS	3	1.0
Vista Del Mar MS	1	0.3

Family Dynamics

Family Household Size

Household Size	Number	Percent
Unspecified	91	45.0
2	9	4.5
3	24	11.9
4	35	17.3
5	28	13.9
6	8	4.0
7	3	1.5
8	3	1.5
10	1	0.5

The information on this page is based on the number of intake assessments conducted during the period covered by the present report.

Single Parent Household

Single Parent Household?	Number	Percent	
No	136	67.3	
Yes	66	32.7	

Demographics Family Income

Yearly Income	Number	Percent
\$10,000 or less	5	5.6
\$10,001-\$30,000	15	16.9
\$30,001-\$60,000	19	21.3
\$60,001-\$90,000	9	10.1
\$90,001-\$110,000	5	5.6
\$110,001 or more	36	40.4

(*Yearly income numbers are based on the families who chose to report this information.)

Family Dynamics

Juvenile's Parental Supervision

Minor Resides With	Total	Percent
Aunt	1	0.6
Father & Step Mother	5	2.8
Father Only	12	6.7
Grandmother	1	0.6
Grandmother & Grandf	1	0.6
Grandmother Only	2	1.1
Legal Guardian	1	0.6
Mother & Father	91	51.1
Mother & Grandparents	2	1.1
Mother & Partner	1	0.6
Mother & Step Father	12	6.7
Mother Only	43	24.2
Unknown	6	3.4

This information is based on intakes conducted during the specified period

Diversion Services Provided

The following information is based on the number of intake assessment and telephone or walkin assistance conducted during the period covered by the present report.

Intakes Completed: 202
Number of Walkins 0
Child Abuse Reports: 2

Diversion Requirements Assigned

Requirements	Number
12 Step Meetings	2
Academic & Career Exploration	1
Art Therapy	6
Assignment	75
Community Service	83
Counseling (Group or Family)	2
Counseling (Individual)	126
Drug & Alcohol Education	50
Drug Testing	62
Legal Awareness	1
Other	23
Psychiatric Evaluation	6
Restitution	15

Number of Community Service Hours Assigned

Hours Assigned: 1464

Amount of Restitution Assigned

Restitution Amount (\$): 7579

Community Programs Assigned

Program Names	Number
417 Recovery	52
Juvenile Alcohol & Drug Education	2
PRYDE	149
Science of Addiction - PRYDE	29
Western Youth Services	1

Referrals Made as Recommendation

Program Names	Total
California Youth Sevices	1

Referral Information per City

Referral Source

City Served	OCSD	School	Parent	Total	Percent
Unspecified	1	0	0	1	0.3
Aliso Viejo	6	4	1	11	3.5
Dana Point	30	0	2	32	10.3
Laguna Hills	6	14	0	20	6.5
Laguna Niguel	2	0	2	4	1.3
Lake Forest	13	33	6	52	16.8
Mission Viejo	16	56	2	74	23.9
Rancho Santa Margarita	8	16	6	30	9.7
San Clemente	18	3	0	21	6.8
San Juan Capistrano	7	20	2	29	9.4
Unincorporated	31	0	4	35	11.3
Yorba Linda	0	0	1	1	0.3
Total	138	146	2.6	310	100

Total 138 146 26 310 100
* Referral sources other than OCSD, School and Parent are not listed however are included in totals. Percentage calculated with respect to the total number of referrals.

Diversion Status of Closed Cases

Aliso Viejo

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Open		1	16.7
Successful		5	83.3
Total		6	100
Iocai		Ŭ	100
Waived Cases:	2		
Dana Point			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		26	83.9
Unsuccessful		5	16.1
Total		31	100
		-	
Waived Cases:	5		
Laguna Hills			
_			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		1	100.0
Total		1	100
10041		_	100
Waived Cases:	7		
Laguna Niguel			
3			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		2	100.0
Total		2	100
IOCAI		۷	100
Waived Cases:	3		
Lake Forest			
Lake I brook			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		17	94.4
Unsuccessful		1	5.6
Total		18	100
IULai		TQ	100
Waived Cases:	20		

Mission Viejo

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		25	100.0
Total		25	100
Waived Cases:	23		
Rancho Santa Margarita			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		16	100.0
Total		16	100
Waived Cases:	5		
San Clemente			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		9	100.0
Total		9	100
Waived Cases:	3		
San Juan Capistrano			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful Unsuccessful		11 1	91.7 8.3
Total		12	100
Waived Cases:	4		
Stanton			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
Waived Cases:	0		

Villa Park

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
Waived Cases:	0		

Yorba Linda

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
Waived Cases:	0		

Unincorporated Areas

Case Closed Status Successful Unsuccessful	Total 15 2	Percent 88.2 11.8
Total	17	100

Waived Cases: 5

Offenses Per City

Drug Offenses

City Served	Alcohol	Marijuana	Tobacco	Other Drugs	Total
Aliso Viejo	0	1	0	0	1
Dana Point	6	1	0	0	7
Laguna Hills	1	3	0	2	6
Laguna Niguel	0	1	0	0	1
Lake Forest	2	18	1	3	24
Mission Viejo	2	12	2	5	21
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	6	2	0	8
San Clemente	1	1	1	0	3
San Juan Capistrano	1	8	0	0	9
Unincorporated	0	0	0	3	3
Yorba Linda	0	1	0	0	1
Total	13	52	6	13	84
10001	± 0	J 2	9	± 0	O 1

(If a city is not listed in one of the following tables, it means that no offense of the category was committed in that city during the studied period of time.)

Offenses Against People or Property

City Served	Assault	Theft	Threat	Vandalism	Weapon	Total
Unspecified	0	0	0	1	0	1
Aliso Viejo	5	0	0	1	3	9
Dana Point	3	3	0	7	4	17
Laguna Hills	4	1	3	1	4	13
Laguna Niguel	1	0	0	0	1	2
Lake Forest	2	2	6	0	8	18
Mission Viejo	5	0	3	6	7	21
Rancho Santa Margarita	3	0	3	6	1	13
San Clemente	4	1	0	3	6	14
San Juan Capistrano	1	0	0	0	6	7
Unincorporated	5	7	4	4	7	27
Total	33	14	19	29	47	142

Offenses Per City

Other Offenses

City Served	Curfew	Incorrigible	Trespassing	Runaway	Total
Aliso Viejo	0	0	0	0	1
Dana Point	0	0	3	0	5
Laguna Hills	0	0	0	0	1
Laguna Niguel	0	0	0	0	1
Lake Forest	0	1	0	0	7
Mission Viejo	0	0	0	0	32
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	0	0	0	6
San Clemente	0	2	1	0	3
San Juan Capistrano	0	1	0	0	13
Unincorporated	0	0	1	0	5
Total	0	4	5	0	74

(If a city is not listed in these tables, it means that no offense of the category was committed in that city during the studied period of time.)

Demographics of the Population Served per City Age of Juvenile

City Served	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Total
Unspecified	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aliso Viejo	0	2	2	3	3	0	0	0	11
Dana Point	0	0	0	7	9	13	2	0	32
Laguna Hills	1	1	2	7	2	6	1	0	20
Laguna Niguel	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
Lake Forest	2	3	10	8	9	12	7	0	52
Mission Viejo	0	6	15	7	12	19	8	7	74
Rancho Santa Margarita	1	3	5	2	3	3	9	3	30
San Clemente	0	7	5	5	2	1	1	0	21
San Juan Capistrano	1	0	3	8	9	2	5	1	29
Unincorporated	0	1	3	4	10	11	2	1	35
Yorba Linda	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Age Total	5	25	46	51	61	67	36	12	310

Note: Totals include all ages, even those not listed

Demographics of the population served per City Ethnicity of Juvenile

City Served	African Am.	Asian	Caucasian	Hispanic	Native Am.
Unspecified	0	1	0	0	0
Aliso Viejo	0	1	2	2	0
Dana Point	1	0	18	10	0
Laguna Hills	0	0	3	8	0
Laguna Niguel	1	0	0	1	0
Lake Forest	1	2	5	13	0
Mission Viejo	0	7	13	27	0
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	3	14	4	0
San Clemente	1	0	9	5	0
San Juan Capistrano	0	2	13	13	0
Unincorporated	1	4	11	12	0
Yorba Linda	0	0	1	0	0
Total	5	20	89	95	0

Gender of the Juvenile

City Served	Male	Female	Total
Unspecified	1	0	1
Aliso Viejo	10	1	11
Dana Point	22	10	32
Laguna Hills	11	9	20
Laguna Niguel	4	0	4
Lake Forest	33	19	52
Mission Viejo	34	40	74
Rancho Santa Margarita	21	9	30
San Clemente	11	10	21
San Juan Capistrano	14	15	29
Unincorporated	29	6	35
Yorba Linda	1	0	1
Total	191	119	310

This information is considered confidential and is intended for Orange County Sheriff's Department and Contract City use only and may not be reproduced or forwarded to unauthorized parties without the permission of the Pepperdine University PRYDE program.

The information provided in this report is not guaranteed to be 100% accurate and may contain errors. For more information on the data set provided, please contact Kenneth Woog, Psy.D., Program Director at 949-206-8600.

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

JJCPA PROGRAM:	JJCPA PROGRAM: School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART) - South								
1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program: ⊠ Prevention ⊠ Intervention □ Suppression □ Incapacitation									
2. Collaborating Partr	ners:								
⊠ Law enforcemer	ot OCSD	□ Education							
☐ Juvenile Court	☐ Juvenile Court ☐ Mental health/health ☐ HCA In-Kind Clinician								
☐ Probation	☐ Probation ☐ Social services								
☐ Other partner*		☐ Drug and alcohol							
* Provides services the	at specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offende	ers and/or their families)							
	g systems/strategies to ensure that County cess of juvenile justice programs and strate	•	I and designed to provide data for						
•	tners and agencies work together on incid	•	eats, possession and/or use of						

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Reduce school-based violence and delinquency through	- Conduct threat assessments at the school and/or community site.	OCSD SMART performed the following FY 21-22:
involvement with youth and families in South Orange County.	- Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services.	-Conducted Threat Assessments on 133 calls for
	- Investigate criminal acts and make arrests if necessary or recommend to a diversion program.	service -Diverted 25 youth prior to formal petition
	- Use of an evidence based threat assessment tool (CSTAG) to assist in determining the appropriate level of intervention needed	-Referred 6 youth to probation where they were not amenable to diversion
	- Provide ongoing training and education in the field of threats of targeted violence on school grounds	-Booked 3 youth to Juvenile Hall
	- Family support and resource referrals through HCA	T I GII
	- Coordinate with the Office of the District Attorney and HCA on calls for service related to substantive threats.	HCA Total Cases: 64 From these, there were 66 Youths Served, and 75
	-Vertical DA available 24/7	Referrals, Confirmed linkages
	-Provide assistance/mutual aid to non-SMART municipalities	were 16
Prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence	- Preempt likely instances of violence through threat assessment, education and awareness	-Facilitated intervention and service connections
	- Respond quickly and effectively 24/7 to violence or threats of violence on or around school campuses	independent of law violation(s) to 30 youth
	- Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine	
	- Thoroughly analyze, and, when appropriate, refer for criminal charges to bring youth under the jurisdiction of the juvenile courts and rehabilitative efforts	-Provide CSTAG training to law enforcement and school administrators
Divert youth away from legal system and/or link them to services	- Referral of delinquent / at-risk youth to diversion program (e.g. PRYDE), or other alternative services through HCA	

 For every participant, HCA does a 3 month follow up, and high risk or diverted participants get a 6 month follow up. 	

5. Program timeline:

On-going, continuous basis

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Success is measured through connection with resources, and/or successful completion of a diversion program.

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Early intervention and diversion to reduce exposure to the juvenile justice system. A full time, dedicated HCA Clinician has provided integral resources, specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation (Prevention/Intervention Programs, Outpatient Programs, Intensive Outpatient Programs, Crisis Programs, Inpatient residential or housing programs). This position can directly and positively impact a student in crisis and help them avoid further law enforcement intervention or interaction. A Vertical DA handles cases individually tailored towards the needs of the juvenile and his/her family.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

A forty (40) hour School Resource Officer Summit was hosted by the Orange County Sheriff's Department and provided training for approximately 13 Orange County law enforcement agencies' SROs. Topics presented include but are not limited to: Behavioral indicators of targeted violence, threat assessment, school site assessment, cyber safety, recognizing violent ideology/extremism, signs of radicalization, tactical communications/active listening, availability/utilization of behavioral health resources, and de-escalation techniques. Team members also attended the National School Safety Conference (40 hrs.), Targeted Violence Prevention course (8 hrs.), and a Crisis Intervention for Youth course (8 hrs.).

-	
NOTES:	
NOILS.	

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (NSMART) – Central & Northern areas of Orange County								
. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program: \boxtimes Prevention \boxtimes Intervention \square Suppression \square Incapacitation								
2. Collaborating Partners:								
OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments		□Educ	ation					
Vertical DA				HCA In-Kind Clinic	cian			
		☐ Socia	al services					
	County Ivenile crime addressed by program: ners: OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments	County Ivenile crime addressed by program: Police Departments Police Departments	tvenile crime addressed by program: □ Prevention ners: □ OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments □ Educt Vertical DA	County Ivenile crime addressed by program: □ Prevention Intervention Intervention OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments □ Education	revenile crime addressed by program: Prevention □ Intervention □ Suppression Police Departments □ Education Vertical DA □ Mental health/health □ HCA In-Kind Clinic			

☐ Drug and alcohol

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Collaborative partners and agencies work together on incidents related to violence, threats, possession and/or use of weapons, unstable behaviors and suicidal actions or tendencies

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

☐ Other partner*

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME	
Reduce school-based violence and delinquency through involvement with youth and families in North Orange County.	 Conduct threat assessments at the school and/or community site. Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services. Investigate criminal acts and make arrests if necessary or recommend to a diversion program. Use of an evidence based threat assessment tool (CSTAG) to assist in determining the appropriate level of intervention needed Provide ongoing training and education in the field of threats of targeted violence on school grounds Family support and resource referrals through HCA Coordinate with the Office of the District Attorney and HCA on calls for service related to substantive threats. Consult with Vertical DA who is available 24/7 Provide assistance/mutual aid to non-SMART 	OCSD NSMART performed the following FY 21-22: -Conducted Threat Assessments on 113 calls for service -Diverted 19 youth prior to formal petition -Referred 14 youth to probation where they were not amenable to diversion -Booked 3 youth to Juvenile Hall HCA Total Cases: 49 From these, there were 54 Youths Served, and 59 Referrals, Confirmed linkages were 10	
Prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence	municipalities - Preempt likely instances of violence through threat assessment, education and awareness - Respond quickly and effectively 24/7 to violence or threats of violence on or around school campuses - Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine - Thoroughly analyze, and, when appropriate, refer for criminal charges to bring youth under the jurisdiction of the juvenile courts and rehabilitative efforts	-Facilitated intervention and service connections independent of law violation(s) to 36 youth -Provide CSTAG training to law enforcement and school administrators	
Divert youth away from legal system and/or link them to services	- Referral of delinquent / at-risk youth to diversion program (e.g. PRYDE), or other alternative services through HCA	Page 37 of 40	

^{*} Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families)

-For every participant, HCA does a 3 month follow up, and high risk or diverted participants get a 6 month follow up.	
·	

5. Program timeline:

On-going, continuous basis

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Success is measured through connection with resources, and/or successful completion of a diversion program.

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Early intervention and diversion to reduce exposure to the juvenile justice system. A full time, dedicated HCA Clinician has provided integral resources, specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation. This position can directly and positively impact a student in crisis and help them avoid further law enforcement intervention or interaction. A Vertical DA handles cases individually tailored towards the needs of the juvenile and his/her family.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

A forty (40) hour School Resource Officer Summit was hosted by the Orange County Sheriff's Department and provided training for approximately 13 Orange County law enforcement agencies' SROs. Topics presented include but are not limited to: Behavioral indicators of targeted violence, threat assessment, school site assessment, cyber safety, recognizing violent ideology/extremism, signs of radicalization, tactical communications/active listening, availability/utilization of behavioral health resources, and de-escalation techniques. Team members also attended the National Association of School Resource Officer Conference (40 hrs.), Targeted Violence Prevention course (8 hrs.), and a Crisis Intervention for Youth course (8 hrs.).

-	
NOTES:	
NOILS.	

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

JJCPA PROGRAM: School Mobile Assessment and Response Leam (SMART) – HCA Clinician							
ressed by program: 🛛 Preve	ention 🗵 Intervention	☐ Suppression	☐ Incapacitation				
promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and ies to ensure that County actionstice programs and strategies	Mental health/health Social services Drug and alcohol ad/or their families ons are fully coordinates:						
ng and measuring outcomes:							
PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCO	ME	MEASUREABLE OUTC	ОМЕ				
 Assists SMART office assessments, includin Conducts brief assess parent interview) Makes referral (s) to a behavioral health services Confirms linkage to services 	 Makes referral (s) to appropriate behavioral health services and supports Confirms linkage to services and supports with follow up calls at three and six 		 # of assists with threat assessments provided: 50 (North: 21; South: 29) # of brief assessments completed: 115 (in person or over the phone) # of individuals referred: 120 (70 not seen by HCA Clinician for initial threat assessment) # of individuals with confirmed linkages 26 				
 Conducts brief assess parent interview) Makes referral(s) to a and supports Confirms linkage to see 	sment (may include ppropriate services ervices and supports	This information was not separated out in the last FY but will be captured going forward.					
sive plan success							
n assessment:							
		ta is collected by the	clinician during				
Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):							
	Iressed by program: Preventions of the promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and gies to ensure that County actions ustice programs and strategies ies work together on incidents a suicidal actions or tendencies ing and measuring outcomes: PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCO elf- HCA Behavioral Health of the assessments, including to Conducts brief assessments including to Conducts brief assessments in the parent interview) Makes referral (s) to a behavioral health of the behavioral health of the conducts brief assessments in the parent interview) Makes referral(s) to a and supports Confirms linkage to so with follow up calls at months To Confirms linkage to so with follow up calls at months makes referral(s) to a and supports Confirms linkage to so with follow up calls at months makes referral(s) to a and supports To behavioral health services on the following the initial referrance or the following the initial referrance of the following the initial referrance or the following the following the initial referrance or the following the initial referrance or the following the follow	Prevention Intervention Inter	Education HCA HCA				

Referrals to resources are specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation. The more often the clinician can provide services in-person the stronger engagement the youth and their families are likely to have in the process of linking to services.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

No specific training has been provided by the clinician, though he regularly offers feedback related to behavioral health considerations to the rest of the SMART team when cases are discussed.

NOTES:

The Behavioral Health Clinician is an in-kind service provided by HCA with no JJCPA funding. A full-time clinician became available to SMART in October 2021. Linkages are usually confirmed via phone calls, which is a contributing factor as to why the number is low; it is often difficult to reach families. To address this, the clinician informs the families at the time of the brief assessment or in follow up conversations about his follow up call in 3 months, to encourage this continued engagement. Furthermore, the clinician also attempts to follow up with families face to face, especially in cases where the young person has greater needs to be linked to services. While it is likely that more families are making linkages, HCA will continue to look for ways for confirming linkages with these families.