AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL



Thursday, February 24, 2022, 3:30 P.M.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT Training Room 5 1001 S. Grand Ave. Santa Ana, California

Members of the public may attend and participate remotely following the instructions below.

STEVE SENTMAN, Chair Probation

KELLI BELTRAN Juvenile Court Representative

JARED DAHL Sheriff-Coroner

KATRINA FOLEY Orange County Board of Supervisors

LAURA JOSE Public Defender

MEGHAN MEDLIN At Large Community Representative

TODD SPITZER District Attorney **DEBRA BAETZ** Social Services Agency

HETHER BENJAMIN Community Based Organization Rep.

TOM DARÉ Local Law Enforcement

LYNN GARRETT Education Representative

VERONICA KELLEY Health Care Agency, Mental Health

NAZLY RESTREPO Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

VACANT Business Representative

The Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council welcomes you to this meeting. This agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The Council encourages your participation. If you wish to speak on an item contained in the agenda, please press *9 following the Chair's invitation from the public to speak. Once acknowledged and prompted by the Chair or Clerk, you may begin to speak. Except as otherwise provided by law, no action shall be taken on any item not appearing in the agenda. When addressing the Council, please state your name for the record prior to providing your comments.

**** INSTRUCTIONS FOR PUBLIC ATTENDING THE MEETING REMOTELY****

Members of the public may observe and participate in the meeting telephonically or via the internet as described below. To attend the meeting remotely, please call:

- iPhone one-tap: US: +16699009128, 85776337904# Passcode 351567# or + 12532158782, 85776337904# Passcode 351567# or
- Telephone: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): US: +1 669 900 9128 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656 Enter Webinar ID: 85776337904# Passcode 351567# (once you enter this code, you should be automatically connected to the call; you will remain on the line until meeting begins) or

AGENDA – ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL February 24, 2022 - PAGE 1

AGENDA

• Internet: Use the following link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85776337904?pwd=R1dqZkgrRGNiZExIWjBrZ0h2eEFRUT09

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for this meeting should notify the Clerk of the Board's Office 72 hours prior to the meeting at (714) 834-2206

All supporting documentation is available for public review in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors located in the Hall of Administration Building, 333 W. Santa Ana Blvd., 10 Civic Center Plaza, Room 465, Santa Ana, California 92701 during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 6)

At this time, members of the public may ask the Council to be heard on the following items as those items are called.

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Discussion of JJCPA budget for Probation
- 3. Discussion and approval of current year JJCPA budget for Sheriff
- 4. Receive and file JJCPA Program Requirements, Plan and Metrics Form and Program Statistics for FY 2020-21
- 5. Discussion and approval of FY 2022-23 proposed Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funding allocation and allow for adjustments between programs to maximize funding
- 6. Discussion of SB 823 as it relates to smaller California counties

PUBLIC & COUNCIL COMMENTS:

At this time members of the public may address OCJJCC on any matter not on the agenda but within the jurisdiction of the Council. The Council or Chair may limit the length of time each individual may have to address the Council.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

COUNCIL COMMENTS:

ADJOURNMENT

<u>NEXT MEETING</u>: April 28, 2022 Regular Meeting, 3:30 P.M.

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) FY 2021-22 BUDGET SUMMARY

			Α	В	С	D	E	F
		FY 21-22 FTE	FY 21-22 Adopted Budget 2/25/21 NOTE 3	Adjustments	FY 21-22 Adjusted Budget 4/29/21	Funding Request NOTE 5	FY 21-22 Adjusted Budget	FY 21-22 Total Q1 - Q4
Estimated Carryover Funds from Prior Year			3,300,000		3,300,000		6,263,234	6,263,234
Estimated FY 21-22 Net Interest			75,000		75,000		-	-
Anticipated Allocation for FY 2021-22			13,977,063		13,977,063		13,828,466	13,828,466
Total Estimated Funds Available			17,352,063		17,352,063		20,091,700	20,091,700
Programs Approved for Funding:								
Substance Use Programming	NOTE 4	50.97	7,723,134	(440,670)	7,282,464		7,282,464	1,543,473
Juvenile Recovery Court		6.88	880,879		880,879		880,879	167,319
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention		0.11	379,523		379,523		379,523	29,062
Truancy Response	NOTE 4	3.22	575,205	440,670	1,015,875		1,015,875	84,855
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - South		5.00	1,486,256		1,486,256		1,486,256	424,495
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - North (July - June 2022)	NOTES 2, 3	2.15	1,339,341		1,339,341	264,047	1,603,388	340,174
Youth Reporting Centers		30.62	4,338,773		4,338,773		4,338,773	676,612
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement		0.00	500,000		500,000		500,000	-
School Threat Assessment Team Training		0.00	-		-		-	-
Administrative Costs (0.5%)	NOTE 1		69,885		69,885		69,885	5,740
Total Funding Approved for Programs		98.95	17,292,996	-	17,292,996	264,047	17,557,043	3,271,730
Future Obligations Approved by Committee:								
OC Dept of Education					-		-	
Anticipated Balance of Funds Available			59,067		59,067		2,534,657	16,819,970

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

NOTE 1: Administrative Costs includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board. Government Codes 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2) indicates administrative costs is up to 0.5% of the total allocation for the year.

NOTE 2: On 8/29/19 and 10/24/19, the OCJJCC approved \$3,294,924 allocation for the SMART Team North pilot project for 2 years but spread over 3 FYs as follows: FY 19/20 6-month award (starting Jan 2020), FY 20/21 12-month award, and FY 21/22 6-month award (ending Dec 2021).

NOTE 3: On 2/25/21, the OCJJCC approved the FY 21-22 JJCPA budget and for CEO Budget to make adjustments between the programs for FY 21-22 as needed to maximize funding. The OCJJCC also approved to extension of the North SMART program with additional funding for the rest of the fiscal year to cover Jan - June 2022.

NOTE 4: On 4/29/21, the OCJJCC approved to restore \$440,670 back to the Truancy Response Program for FY 21-22. The \$440,670 restoration was agreed to be taken from Probation's Substance Use Programming allocation. **NOTE 5:** In the 10/28/21 JJCC meeting, member Jared Dahl requested to fully fund the OCSD's NSMART program for FY 21-22. On 1/20/22, the OCSD requested to increase the OCSD NSMART program by \$264,047.

ive costs is up to 0.5% of the total allocation for the year. I (starting Jan 2020), FY 20/21 12-month award, and

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) FY 2021-22 ALL PROGRAMS

			Α	В	С	D	E	F
Programs		FTE	Adopted Budget 2/25/21	Adjustments	Adjusted Budget 4/29/21	Funding Request	Adjusted Budget	Total
			NOTE 3	NOTE 3		NOTE 5		Q1 - Q4
Substance Use Programming	NOTE	10.07	• - - - - - - - - - -	• (110 0=0)	• • • • • • = = =		* • • • • • • = = =	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Probation		46.97		\$ (440,670)			\$ 6,694,555	
Health Care Agency		4.00	587,909	((()))	587,909		587,909	114,728
Total		50.97	7,723,134	(440,670)	7,282,464	-	7,282,464	1,543,473
Juvenile Recovery Court		0.00					074.077	
Probation		2.93	371,277		371,277		371,277	56,518
Health Care Agency		3.00	360,000		360,000		360,000	85,490
Public Defender		0.50	50,000		50,000		50,000	14,911
District Attorney		0.45	99,602		99,602		99,602	10,401
Total		6.88	880,879	-	880,879	-	880,879	167,319
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention								
Probation		0.11	15,842		15,842		15,842	2,676
Sheriff		0.00	363,681		363,681		363,681	26,386
Total		0.11	379,523	-	379,523	-	379,523	29,062
Truancy Response								
Probation		0.37	54,593		54,593		54,593	3,213
Public Defender		1.25	175,000		175,000		175,000	10,151
District Attorney	NOTE 4	1.60	345,612	71,000	416,612		416,612	71,491
OC Dept of Education	NOTE 4			369,670	369,670		369,670	-
Total		3.22	575,205	440,670	1,015,875	-	1,015,875	84,855
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (South)								
Sheriff		5.00	1,486,256		1,486,256		1,486,256	424,495
Total		5.00	1,486,256	-	1,486,256	-	1,486,256	
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (North)	NOTES 2, 3							
Probation		0.15	23,370		23,370		23,370	-
Sheriff	NOTE 5	1.00	1,032,550		1,032,550	264,047	1,296,597	277,532
District Attorney		1.00	283,421		283,421		283,421	62,642
Total		2.15	1,339,341	-	1,339,341	264,047	1,603,388	340,174
Youth Reporting Centers			.,,.		.,,.	,. 11	.,,	,
Probation		26.12	3,966,773		3,966,773		3,966,773	586,428
Health Care Agency		4.50	372,000		372,000		372,000	90,184
Total		30.62	4,338,773	-	4,338,773	-	4,338,773	676,612
		U UIUE	4,000,110	_	4,000,170	_	4,000,170	010,012
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement								
Probation		0.00	500,000		500,000		500,000	_
Total		0.00	500,000		500,000	_	500,000	
School Threat Assessment Team Training		0.00	500,000		300,000	-	500,000	-
School Threat Assessment Team Training Sheriff		0.00						
Total		0.00	-					
		0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Administrative Cast (0 E0/1	NOTE 4		CO 00E		0.005		CO 005	E 740
Administrative Cost (0.5%)		00.05	69,885 ¢ 17,202,006	¢	69,885	¢ 004.047	69,885	5,740
Total JJCPA Programs		98.95	\$ 17,292,996	ф -	\$ 17,292,996	\$ 264,047	\$ 17,557,043	\$ 3,271,730

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

HCA in-kind services for the School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (SMART) program are as follows: 1. FY 2021-22 Q1 July - Sep was \$15,328 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

2. FY 2021-22 Q2 Oct - Dec was \$15,159 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

NOTE 1: Administrative Costs includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board. Government Codes 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2) indicates administrative costs is up to 0.5% of the total allocation for the year. NOTE 2: On 8/29/19 and 10/24/19, the OCJJCC approved \$3,294,924 allocation for the SMART Team North pilot project for 2 years but spread over 3 FYs as follows: FY 19/20 6-month award (starting Jan 2020), FY 20/21 12-month award, and FY 21/22 6-month award (ending Dec 2021).

NOTE 3: On 2/25/21, the OCJJCC approved the FY 21-22 JJCPA budget allocations and for CEO Budget to make adjustments between the programs for FY 21-22 as needed to maximize funding. The OCJJCC also approved the extension of the North SMART program with additional funding for the rest of the fiscal year to cover Jan - June 2022.

NOTE 4: On 4/29/21, the OCJJCC approved to restore \$440,670 back to the Truancy Response Program for FY 21-22. The \$440,670 restoration was agreed to be taken from Probation's Substance Use Programming allocation. **NOTE 5:** In the 10/28/21 JJCC meeting, member Jared Dahl requested to fully fund the OCSD's NSMART program for FY 21-22. On 1/20/22, the OCSD requested to increase the OCSD NSMART program by \$264,047.

	FTE	Adopted Budget 2/25/21	Adjustments	Adjusted Budget 4/29/21	Funding Request	Adjusted Budget	Total
Probation	76.65	12,067,080	(440,670)	11,626,410	-	11,626,410	
Health Care Agency	11.50	1,319,909	-	1,319,909	-	1,319,909	
Public Defender	1.75	225,000	-	225,000	-	225,000	25,062
District Attorney	3.05	728,635	71,000	799,635	-	799,635	144,534
Sheriff	6.00	2,882,487	-	2,882,487	264,047	3,146,534	728,414
OC Dept of Education		-	369,670	369,670	-	369,670	-
Administrative Cost		69,885	-	69,885	-	69,885	5,740
Total	98.95	17,292,996	-	17,292,996	264,047	17,557,043	3,271,730

ITEM #4

JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

JJCPA PROGRAM:	Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention	ecentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program					
1. Component(s) of ju	venile crime addressed by program: $\ igtarrow$ P	revention 🛛 Intervention	□ Suppression	□ Incapacitation			
2. Collaborating Partners:							
🛛 Law enforcemen	t OCSD	Education					
Court		Mental health/health					
\boxtimes Probation	Non-Custody Intake DPO	□ Social services					
Other partner*	Pepperdine Resource, Youth Diversion and Education (PRYDE)	□ Drug and alcohol					
* Provides services the	* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families						
Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:							

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Reduce the number of at-risk youth who progress further in the juvenile justice system.	 Informal consultations among on-site operations staff for purposes of making more informed decisions about certain cases. Timely assessment and a progression of intervention services to youth and their families near their homes. Referral of DCI youth and their families to local resources, programs, and classes for appropriate intervention services when possible (e.g. PRYDE). 	 SATISFACTORY PROGRAM EXIT (including, but not limited to): Completion of sanction Performing community service hours Writing an essay/apology letter Paying restitution, if applicable. [Tracked by Probation] Satisfactory completion of PRYDE. See PRYDE statistics report. [Tracked by PRYDE]

5. Program timeline:

Youth referred to PRYDE receive services for an average of 5 months. Youth referred to Probation are given a 6-month sanction.

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

NOTES:

JJCPA PROGRAM:	Truancy Response Program	(TRP)
		(\)

1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program:

□ Suppression □ Incapacitation

2. Collaborating Partners:

Law enforcement		\boxtimes Education	OCDE, local school districts
⊠ Court	District Attorney, Public Defender	Mental health/health	
Probation	Truancy DPO (no involvement of Probation after FY 20-21)	\Box Social services	
\boxtimes Other partner*	HCA Contract - Waymakers, CCFSP, Boys & Girls Club	\Box Drug and alcohol	

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

School districts and Boys & Girls Club share all data on the success of their interventions and programs. For cases in Tier 3 (Truancy Court), all collaborating partners share updates at weekly staffing meetings before court.

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Stabilizing school attendance in order to increase the chances of future academic success	Provide families with supportive services aimed at reducing truancy.	 SATISFACTORY PROGRAM EXIT (including, but not limited to): Marked improvement in school attendance Attend DA Parent Meetings Attend Parent/Teen Empowerment Program workshops Completion of sanction [Tracked by DA, Probation, OCDE, local school districts, Boys & Girls Club, and/or other partners] See TRP Statistics attachment (Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 Statistics)
Reducing the number of youth who go on to commit crimes that result in the filing of formal petitions pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code (WIC) 602	 Refer youth and their families to services (e.g. counseling, parenting skills, basic housing and shelter services) provided by collaborating agencies. 	
The goal of the CCFSP program is to reduce behavioral health systems and impairments and demonstrate increase functioning across life domains.	 CCFSP will provide a "whatever it takes "approach to behavioral health treatment to address the needs of the client and family. 	CCFSP utilizes the youth outcome questionnaire to monitor behavioral health symptom and impairments, along with state required outcomes focused on school attendance, employment, psychiatric hospitalization, and incarceration.
Educating families regarding the importance of education and engagement	 Mandatory attendance of truant youth and their parents at school-based group meetings conducted by the District Attorney. 	 Tracking of parent and youth participation in Parent/Teen

 Court-ordered participation of both youth and parents in a Parent/Teen Empowerment Program workshops designed to coach parents in effective discipline methods for their children. 	
--	--

JJCPA PROGRAM: Truancy Response Program (TRP) – Continued

5. Program timeline:

Fiscal school year / varies based on youth participation in programming.

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

See attached pre- and post- program surveys used by the Boys & Girls Club of Garden Grove in Tier 2 (Truancy Mediation). Assesses social support, sense of purpose, self-esteem, coping skills, physical health, and healthy thinking.

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Parent Empowerment classes and Teen Groups were provided to mitigate risk factors. Parenting classes focus on Love and Affection, Addressing Problematic Behaviors, Active Supervision, and Healthy Relationships. Teen Groups focus on Love and Affection, Healthy Relationships, Healthy Coping Skills, and Career Exploration & Budgeting. In addition, the goal at Truancy Mediation is to bridge the communication between families and their school districts.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

Cases in truancy mediation have seen improvement in parent and school communication. Parents have enrolled their children in after-school programs, sports, and other community programs. Families have improved their overall communication and relationships. Parents are spending quality time with their children and showing interest in what their children are doing. Moreover, students are communicating to parents about their Teen Group experience. Parents shared that their students enjoy the Career Exploration & Budgeting class.

Through case management, Truancy Mediation worked with parents to reduce financial barriers, connect with counseling, and address any additional needs. Through individual counseling, liaisons taught students how to create S.M.A.R.T. goals. Once students understood S.M.A.R.T. goals, liaisons helped students create treatment goals. This empowered students to take control of their goals and overall experience in Truancy Mediation.

NOTES:

JJCPA PROGRAM:	outh Reporting Centers (YRCs)				
. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program:					
2. Collaborating Partners:					
Law enforcement		\boxtimes Education	OCDE		
Court		oxtimes Mental health/health	HCA		
\boxtimes Probation	Youth Reporting Centers – North & Central	\Box Social services			
□ Other partner*		oxtimes Drug and alcohol	HCA		
* Provides services that sp	pecifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offende	rs and/or their families			

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Collaboration between county partners, such as OCDE, HCA, and Probation Department

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Reduce the use of secure detention by providing a highly structured community-based alternative confinement program.	 Alternative monitoring (i.e. electronic monitoring) of youth in the community. Close supervision on-site and supervision in the community. On-site school with full academic program. Drug and alcohol use assessment and counseling. Mental health assessment and treatment. Cognitive behavioral intervention programs. Family services and parenting education. Gang intervention counseling. Community service and enrichment activities. On-site job coaches assist youth in seeking, obtaining, and maintaining employment as well as vocational training access. 	 SATISFACTORY PROGRAM EXIT (including, but not limited to): Acceptable program attendance Participation Acceptable behavior. [Tracked by Probation]
The goal of HCA services is to reduce behavioral health symptoms and impairments.	HCA will provide behavioral health treatment to address any mental health and/or substance use needs.	HCA will monitor behavioral health symptoms and impairments using the Youth Outcome Questionnaire.
Divert youth from formal court handling by providing them with programming and services that target criminogenic risk factors.	 Utilize best practices, cognitive-behavioral interventions and programming, including Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) and Decision Points to impact behavioral change in the youth. 	

JJCPA PROGRAM: Youth Reporting Centers (YRCs) - Continued

5. Program timeline:

45 to 90 days (*Note: Youth in program < 5 days are dropped from data analysis*)

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

NOTES:

JJCPA PROGRAM:	Juvenile Recoverv	Court	(JRC)	١
		Obuit		1

1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program: Prevention Intervention

 \boxtimes Suppression \square Incapacitation

2. Collaborating Partners:

Law enforcement		\boxtimes Education	OCDE
⊠ Court	District Attorney, Public Defender (other defense counsel)	Mental health/health	HCA, HCA Contract – Waymakers, CCFSP
\boxtimes Probation	JRC DPO	□ Social services	
⊠ Other partner*		oxtimes Drug and alcohol	НСА

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

The JRC team, comprised of the judge, district attorney, public defender or other defense counsel, probation officer, and counselors (e.g. school, mental health, community provider), meets weekly to review JRC participant cases and progress

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME		
Increase sobriety and reduce recidivism while reducing the reliance on incarceration	 Utilize a combination of substance use treatment, individual, group, and family therapy, sanctions, and incentives to achieve outcomes Participation in weekly individual and group therapy sessions Attendance at weekly self-help meetings Compliance with all court-ordered terms and conditions and regularly scheduled weekly, bi-monthly, or monthly court appearances for progress reviews Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success Upon graduation, all charges and stayed time are dismissed and wardship is terminated 	 Other activities to better sell, such as: Applying for jobs Attending cultural events (museums, theate Attending JRC sponsored athletic activities Attend self-help programs [Tracked by Probation] 		
	Weekly reporting to the probation officer for progress checks and drug testing			
Empower families of JRC participants	Family therapy			
The goal of HCA services is to reduce behavioral health symptoms and impairments.	HCA will provide behavioral health treatment to address any mental health and/or substance use needs.	HCA will monitor behavioral health symptoms and impairments using the Youth Outcome Questionnaire.		
The goal of the CCFSP program is to reduce behavioral health systems and impairments and demonstrate increase functioning across life domains.	• CCFSP will provide a "whatever it takes "approach to behavioral health treatment to address the needs of the client and family.	• CCFSP utilizes the youth outcome questionnaire to monitor behavioral health symptom and impairments, along with state required outcomes focused on school attendance, employment, psychiatric hospitalization, and incarceration.		

Prevent reoffending among JRC participants	Compliance with all court-ordered terms and conditions and regularly scheduled
during and after program	weekly, bi-monthly, or monthly court
	appearances for progress reviews

JJCPA PROGRAM:

Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) - Continued

5. Program timeline:

180 days

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success:

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

NOTES:

JJCPA PROGRAM:	Substance Use Programming					
1. Component(s) of juv	venile crime addressed by program:	🗆 Pre	evention	imes Intervention	oxtimes Suppression	\boxtimes Incapacitation
2. Collaborating Partne	ers:					
Law enforcement			Educ	cation	OCDE	
Court			⊠ Ment	tal health/health	HCA	
Probation	Youth Guidance Center (YGC)		🗆 Socia	al services		
□ Other partner*			🛛 Drug	and alcohol	HCA	

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

At monthly case conferences, a treatment team assigned to the youth—case counselor, supervisor, assigned field probation officer, parents, therapist and school transition specialist—reviews and documents short-term and long-term goals and progress with the youth

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
 Reduce the likelihood of recidivism among youthful offenders who have custody commitments and a history of drug and/or alcohol use (<i>Research has shown that strategies that target criminal thinking and substance use reduces the likelihood of reoffending by individuals assessed to be at high risk to recidivate</i>) Prevent youth from further delinquency and a pattern of adult crime 	 Comprehensive and intensive substance use assessment and treatment services, and drug counseling by behavioral health clinicians Integrated case assessment and planning involving unit staff, education staff and collateral resources Individual therapy focusing on the treatment of co-occurring disorders Gender-specific services/ treatment Cognitive behavioral therapy Family therapy (based on an assessment of needs by the clinician) Re-entry services: Assessment of academic skills and development of an individualized plan to address skill deficits by a school counselor Occupational training and job placement services Mentoring and counseling support services during post-release 	 SATISFACTORY PROGRAM EXIT (i.e. Completion of substance use programming – 100 days (boys) and 80 days (girls) [Tracked by Probation]
The goal of HCA services is to reduce behavioral health symptoms and impairments.	HCA will provide behavioral health treatment to address any mental health and/or substance use needs.	HCA will monitor behavioral health symptoms and impairments using the Youth Outcome Questionnaire.

JJCPA PROGRAM: Substance Use Programming - Continued

5. Program timeline:

A minimum of 100 days (boys) and 80 days (girls)

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

NOTES:

JJCPA PROGRAM:	School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART) - South					
1. Component(s) of juv	enile crime addressed by program:	Prevention	⊠ Intervention	□ Suppression	□ Incapacitation	
2. Collaborating Partne	ers:					
⊠ Law enforcement	OCSD	🗆 Educ	cation			
		Men	tal health/health	HCA		
Probation			al services			
□ Other partner*			and alcohol			

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Collaborative partners and agencies work together on incidents related to violence, threats, possession and/or use of weapons, unstable behaviors and suicidal actions or tendencies.

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME	
Reduce school-based violence and delinquency though involvement with youth and families in South Orange County.	 Conduct threat assessments at the school and/or community site. Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services. Investigate criminal acts and make arrests if necessary or recommend to a diversion program. 	 HCA clinician is tracking: Number of Mental Health Screenings Number of referrals and linkages OCSD performed the following: Conduct threat assessment on all calls for service – 120 total 63 in FY 20-21 57 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) Divert youth prior to formal petition – 25 total 8 in FY 20-21 17 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) Refer cases to probation where minor not amenable to diversion – 7 total 3 in FY 20-21 	
Prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence.	 Preempt likely instances of violence through threat assessment, education and awareness. Respond quickly and effectively to violence on or around school campuses. Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine. 	 OCSD performed the following: Facilitate intervention and service connections independent of law violation(s) – 58 total 27 in FY 20-21 31 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) Conduct CSTAG Trainings at elementary schools throughout the county. 	

5. Program timeline:

On-going, continuous basis.

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Success is measured through connection with resources, and/or successful completion of a diversion program.

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

A full-time, dedicated HCA clinician has provided integral resources, specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation. This position can directly and positively impact a student in crisis and help them avoid further law enforcement intervention or interaction. A Vertical DA handles cases individually tailored towards the needs of the juvenile and his/her family.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

A forty (40) hour School Resource Officer Summit was hosted by the Orange County Sheriff's Department and provided for approximately 14 Orange County law enforcement agencies' SROs. Topics presented include but are not limited to: Behavioral indicators of targeted violence, threat assessment, school site assessment, cyber safety, recognizing violent ideology/extremism, signs of radicalization, tactical communications/active listening, availability/utilization of behavioral health resources, and de-escalation techniques. Team members also attended the National Safe Schools Conference (40 hrs.), Targeted Violence Prevention course (8 hrs.), and a Crisis Intervention for Youth course (8 hrs.).

NOTES:

The Covid-19 pandemic impacted schools and closed many for the entire 20-21 school year. For the 21-22 school year, all Orange County schools returned to in-person, on campus learning. There has been a large increase in calls for services.

North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (NSMART) - Central & Northern areas of Orange County

1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program:

□ Suppression

□ Incapacitation

2. Collaborating Partners:

Law enforcement	OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments		
⊠ Court	DA	oxtimes Mental health/health	HCA
Probation		□ Social services	
Other partner*		\Box Drug and alcohol	

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Collaborative partners and agencies work together on incidents related to violence, threats, possession and/or use of weapons, unstable behaviors and suicidal actions or tendencies.

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Reduce school-based violence and delinquency though involvement with youth and families in North Orange County.	 Conduct threat assessments at the school and/or community site. Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services. Investigate criminal acts and make arrests if necessary or recommend to a diversion program. 	 HCA clinician is tracking: Number of Mental Health Screenings Number of referrals and linkages OCSD performed the following: Conduct threat assessment on all calls for service – 93 total 28 in FY 20-21 65 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) Divert youth prior to formal petition – 13 total 2 in FY 20-21 11 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) Refer cases to probation where minor not amenable to diversion – 14 total 4 in FY 20-21 10 in FY 21-22 (6
Prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence.	 Preempt likely instances of violence through threat assessment, education and awareness. Respond quickly and effectively to violence on or around school campuses. Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine. 	 mos) OCSD performed the following: Facilitate intervention and service connections independent of law violation(s) – 22 total 3 in FY 20-21

Contrained as a contraine	19 in FY 21-22 (6 mos) nduct CSTAG inings at elementary nools throughout the unty. nduct threat sessment on all calls service.
---	--

5. Program timeline:

Pilot program due to expire 6/30/2022. Requesting funding to continue support of program.

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Success is measured through connection with resources, and/or successful completion of a diversion program.

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):

A full-time, dedicated HCA clinician has provided integral resources, specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation. This position can directly and positively impact a student in crisis and help them avoid further law enforcement intervention or interaction. A Vertical DA handles cases individually tailored towards the needs of the juvenile and his/her family.

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

A forty (40) hour School Resource Officer Summit was hosted by the Orange County Sheriff's Department and provided for approximately 14 Orange County law enforcement agencies' SROs. Topics presented include but are not limited to: Behavioral indicators of targeted violence, threat assessment, school site assessment, cyber safety, recognizing violent ideology/extremism, signs of radicalization, tactical communications/active listening, availability/utilization of behavioral health resources, and de-escalation techniques. Team members also attended the National Association of School Resource Officers Conference (40 hrs.), Targeted Violence Prevention course (8 hrs.), and a Crisis Intervention for Youth course (8 hrs.).

NOTES:

North SMART became operational in July 2020. The Covid-19 pandemic impacted schools and closed many for the entire 20-21 school year, ultimately resulting in a massive decline in calls for service and/or threat assessment. For the 21-22 school year, all Orange County schools returned to in-person, on campus learning. There has been a large increase in calls for services. This is likely attributed to students re-entering the in-person school environment, mental health issues from the pandemic, and re-socializing with administrators/peers after extended at-home learning.

JJCPA PROGRAM: Orange County School Threat Assessment Team (OCSTAT)							
I. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program: 🛛 Prevention 🖾 Intervention 🗌 Suppression 🔲 Incapacitation							
2. Collaborating Partners:							
Law enforcement	OCSD, Orange County Municipal Police Departments	Education	Local school distri	cts			
⊠ Court	DA	Mental health/health	HCA				
\boxtimes Probation		□ Social services					
Other partner*		Drug and alcohol					

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Collaborative partners and agencies participate in monthly meetings.

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Reduce school-based violence and delinquency though involvement with youth and families.	 Participate in monthly meetings Provide ongoing training and education in the field of threats of targeted violence on school grounds. Participate in outreach to raise awareness and education in the community about threats of targeted violence on school grounds Enhance public safety and welfare of the public in protecting the rights of victims and be reducing juvenile crimes through effective prevention, intervention, and rehabilitative service in a just, honest, ethical, and efficient manner Thoroughly analyze and when appropriate file criminal charges to bring youth under the jurisdiction of the juvenile courts and rehabilitative efforts Refer appropriate cases to rehabilitative programs aimed at early intervention and reduction of risk of future harm to the community 	 Conduct monthly meetings to staff cases and discuss emerging trends. Provide CSTAG Trainings in elementary schools countywide. Provide refresher CSTAG Trainings to high schools, as needed. Identify youth who need service linkages. Divert cases from formal prosecution and adjudication, where appropriate. Petition youth and file criminal charges, when appropriate.

5. Program timeline:

On-going, continuous basis.

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:	
Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):	
A full-time, dedicated HCA clinician has provided integral resources, specific to the student's needs and/or familial situation. This position can directly and positively impact a student in crisis and help them avoid further law enforcement intervention interaction. This inclusion of the HCA clinician to OCSTAT helps the team view a youth and issues they may be experiencing from all perspectives. Positive intervention can often occur before a juvenile enters into the legal system.	
Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected subserves of training to measurable returne):	

Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):

464 School Administrative Staff members were trained in Comprehensive School Threat Assessment Guidelines (CSTAG) across Orange County. Those trained were from 44 High Schools, 44 Middle Schools, 1 Special Education School, and 10 Private Schools. 22 Administrative Staff members were trained as "Train the Trainers" (TTT).

NOTES:

The JJCPA completed its initial grant funding agreement for this project. 464 School Administrative Staff members were trained in the Comprehensive School Threat Assessment Guidelines (CSTAG) across Orange County. The schools and Orange County Department of Education found this so beneficial that they asked for the training to include staff for additional elementary schools countywide. We would also like to provide refresher CSTAG trainings to the high schools and middle schools as needed.

JJCPA PROGRAM: Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)					
1. Component(s) of ju	venile crime addressed by program:	Prevention	\boxtimes Intervention	□ Suppression	□ Incapacitation
2. Collaborating Partr	ners:				
□ Law enforcemer	t	🗌 Educ	cation		
Court		Men	tal health/health	HCA	
☑ Probation			al services		
□ Other partner*		🗆 Drug	and alcohol		

* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their families

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

Bi-weekly multi-system meetings with youth partner, individual mental health care worker and Probation to review progress towards case plan goals

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Immediately address any deleterious behavior, stabilize the family unit, and prepare the youth and his/her family for life beyond probation supervision (Research has indicated parent education along with risk, need, responsivity support to high-risk youthful offenders has a significant impact on reducing long term recidivism)	 Participation in bi-weekly multi-system meetings with youth partner, individual mental health care worker, and Probation to review progress towards case plan goals Attendance in weekly meetings with mental health care worker Regular reporting to probation officer for progress checks Regular attendance in pro-social activities (e.g., community service projects, regular school attendance) 	

5. Program timeline:

6. Metrics used to measure comprehensive plan success

Pre- and post-program participation assessment:

Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior):


Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):


NOTES:


Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) Probation Program Statistics


FY 2020-21 by Quarter

Decentralized Intake (DCI) - Probation Truancy Response Program (TRP) Youth Reporting Centers (YRCs) Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) Substance Use Programming











*Due to Covid, there were zero (0) entries into the TRP Program in Q1 and Q2.


**Effective January 2021, Assembly Bill 901 repealed Probation's role in the TRP Program. The bill transferred this responsibility to school districts, community-based organizations and the District Attorney's Office.


JJCPA PROBATION PROGRAM PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS FY2020-21


Age of JJCPA Program Participants at Program Entry by Program FY 2020-21













City of Residence of JJCPA Program Participants by Program FY 2020-21 (% of participants in key cities)



- YRC
- JRC



PRYDE

Pepperdine Resource, Youth Diversion, and Education

Located at the Orange County Sheriff-Coroner Department 20202 Windrow, Lake Forest, CA 92630 949-206-8600

Statistic Report

Program Director: Kenneth Woog, Psy.D., MBA

Report Date:	11/02/2021
Report Period From:	07/01/2020
То:	06/30/2021

07/01/2020 -06/30/2021

Only OCSD and allowed PRYDE Referrals

Referral Information The following numbers are based on the number of referrals received during the period covered by the present report

Referral By Month	Number	
January	3	
February	1	
March	23	
April	12	
Мау	14	
June	4	
July	6	
August	3	
September	13	
October	15	
November	11	
December	13	
Total	118	
	Manula	Deves
Referral Source	Number	Percent
OCSD Mandatory	74	62.7
OCSD Non-Mandatory	5	4.2
Parent	17	14.4
School Formal	4	3.4
School Informal	18	15.3

Case Status Information for the Overall Program The following numbers are based on the number of cases that were closed during the period covered by the present report.

<u>Case Closed Status</u>	Number	Percent
Successful	151	94.4
Unsuccessful	9	5.6
Total	160	100

Unsuccessful Cases

Reasons for Failure	Number	Percent
Failed to complete requirements	2	22.2
Non-Compliant	1	11.1
Re-offended while in diversion	2	22.2
Unable to contact	4	44.4

Waived Cases

Waived Cases	Number	Percent
Unspecified	2	4.0
Inappropriate Referral	11	22.0
Intake No Show: Ineligible new referrals	1	2.0
Non-Compliant	1	2.0
Re-offended while in diversion	1	2.0
Service refused by minor/parent	9	18.0
Unable to contact	25	50.0
Total	50	100

Page 4 of 22

Case Status Information for OCSD Referrals The following numbers are based on the number of cases that were closed during the period covered by the present report.

Case Closed Status	Number	Percent
Successful	103	95.4
Unsuccessful	5	4.6
Total Closed	108	100

Unsuccessful Cases

Reason for Failure	Number	Percent
Failed to complete requirements	1	20.0
Non-Compliant	1	20.0
Re-offended while in diversion	1	20.0
Unable to contact	2	40.0
Total	5	100

Waived Cases

Reason for Waived Cases	Number	Percent
Unspecified	2	8.0
Inappropriate Referral	8	32.0
Intake No Show: Ineligible new referrals	1	4.0
Service refused by minor/parent	3	12.0
Unable to contact	11	44.0
Total	25	100

Statistics of the Offenses Offense Categories

Offense Categories	Number	Percent
Assault	5	4.2
Curfew	4	3.4
Drugs: Alcohol	11	9.3
Drugs: Marijuana	17	14.4
Drugs: Other	3	2.5
Drugs: Tobacco	2	1.7
Incorrigible	5	4.2
Other	25	21.2
Theft	8	6.8
Threat	1	0.8
Traffic Violations	1	0.8
Trespassing	22	18.6
Vandalism	4	3.4
Weapon	10	8.5
-		

Cities Where Offense Occured

City of Offense	Number	Percent
Aliso Viejo	2	1.7
Dana Point	32	27.1
Ladera Ranch	3	2.5
Laguna Hills	4	3.4
Laguna Niguel	8	6.8
Lake Forest	17	14.4
Mission Viejo	24	20.3
Orange	2	1.7
Rancho Santa Margarita	7	5.9
San Clemente	10	8.5
San Juan Capistrano	8	6.8
Villa Park	1	0.8

07/01/2020 -06/30/2021

Demographics The following numbers are based on the number of referrals received during the period covered by the present report.

Age	Number	Percent
11	3	2.6
12	5	4.3
13	15	12.8
14	15	12.8
15	20	17.1
16	36	30.8
17	22	18.8
18	1	0.9

Gender

Gender	Number	Percent
Female	34	28.8
Male	84	71.2

Ethnicity

Ethnicity	Number	Percent
African-American	1	0.8
Asian	1	0.8
Caucasian	44	37.3
Hispanic	22	18.6
Unknown	5	4.2
Unspecified	45	38.1
-		

Demographics

Cities of Residence

City of Residence	Total	Percent
Aliso Viejo	1	0.8
Buckeye	1	0.8
Chino Hills	1	0.8
Dana Point	7	5.9
Foothill Ranch	1	0.8
Irvine	2	1.7
Jamul	1	0.8
Ladera Ranch	6	5.1
Laguna Beach	1	0.8
Laguna Hills	3	2.5
Laguna Niguel	10	8.5
Lake Forest	18	15.3
Litchfield Park	1	0.8
Mission Viejo	12	10.2
Orange	2	1.7
Rancho Santa Margarita	10	8.5
San Clemente	18	15.3
San Juan Capistrano	20	16.9
Santa Ana	1	0.8
Trabuco Canyon	2	1.7

Demographics Schools Attended

School Attended	Number	Percent
Unspecified	10	8.5
Access	3	2.5
Aliso Elementary	1	0.8
Aliso Niguel HS	2	1.7
Beckman HS	1	0.8
Bernice Ayers MS	6	5.1
Capistrano Valley HS	7	5.9
Cerro Villa MS	1	0.8
Cielo Vista	1	0.8
Dana Hills HS	13	11.0
El Toro HS	8	6.8
Junipero Serra HS	1	0.8
Laguna Beach HS	2	1.7
Lomarena	1	0.8
Los Alisos MS	3	2.5
Marco Forester MS	4	3.4
Mater Dei Catholic HS	1	0.8
Mission Viejo HS	1	0.8
Niguel Hills MS	1	0.8
Not listed HS	4	3.4
Not listed MS	1	0.8
Rancho Santa Margarita MS	1	0.8
Saddleback College	1	0.8
Saddleback High School	1	0.8
San Clemente HS	8	6.8
San Juan Hills HS	7	5.9
School Unknown	10	8.5
Serrano MS	6	5.1
Shorecliffs MS	1	0.8
Silverado Continuation High	1	0.8
Silverado HS	7	5.9
Tesoro HS	1	0.8
Trabuco Hills HS	2	1.7

Family Household Size

Household Size	Number	Percent
Unspecified	40	38.1
2	4	3.8
3	17	16.2
4	25	23.8
5	11	10.5
6	3	2.9
7	5	4.8

The information on this page is based on the number of intake assessments conducted during the period covered by the present report.

Single Parent Household

Single Parent Household?	Number	Percent
No	70	66.7
Yes	35	33.3

Demographics Family Income

Yearly Income	Number	Percent
\$10,000 or less	2	3.9
\$10,001-\$30,000	2	3.9
\$30,001-\$60,000	8	15.7
\$60,001-\$90,000	8	15.7
\$90,001-\$110,000	6	11.8
\$110,001 or more	25	49.0

(*Yearly income numbers are based on the families who chose to report this information.)

Family Dynamics

Juvenile's Parental Supervision

Minor Resides With	Total	Percent
Father & Step Mother	3	3.0
Father Only	4	4.0
Grandmother	1	1.0
Legal Guardian	1	1.0
Mother & Father	58	58.0
Mother & Grandparents	2	2.0
Mother & Step Father	2	2.0
Mother Only	19	19.0
Unknown	10	10.0

This information is based on intakes conducted during the specified period

Diversion Services Provided

The following information is based on the number of intake assessment and telephone or walkin assistance conducted during the period covered by the present report.

Intakes Completed:	105
Number of Walkins	0
Child Abuse Reports:	4

Diversion Requirements Assigned

Requirements	Number
12 Step Meetings	1
Academic & Career Exploration	8
Assignment	20
Community Service	28
Counseling (Group or Family)	1
Counseling (Individual)	82
Drug & Alcohol Education	24
Drug Testing	28
Mentoring	2
Other	18
Parenting Classes	1
Psychiatric Evaluation	2
Restitution	2

Number of Community Service Hours Assigned

Hours Assigned: 452

Amount of Restitution Assigned

Restitution Amount (\$): 2264

Program Names	Number	
417 Recovery	25	_
Alcoholics Anonymous	1	
California Youth Sevices	2	
Juvenile Alcohol & Drug Education	1	
PRYDE	93	
PRYDE Career Assessment	4	
Science of Addiction - PRYDE	16	
Western Youth Services	2	

Referrals Made as Recommendation

Program Names Total

Referral Information per City Referral Source

City Served	OCSD	School	Parent	Total	Percent
Aliso Viejo	2	0	0	2	1.7
Dana Point	31	0	1	32	27.1
Laguna Hills	2	1	0	3	2.5
Laguna Niguel	7	1	1	9	7.6
Lake Forest	7	7	2	16	13.6
Mission Viejo	17	6	1	24	20.3
Outliers	0	0	1	1	0.8
Rancho Santa Margarita	2	1	4	7	5.9
San Clemente	8	0	3	11	9.3
San Juan Capistrano	2	6	0	8	6.8
Unincorporated	1	0	4	5	4.2
Total	79	22	17	118	100

Total792217118100* Referral sources other than OCSD, School and Parent are not listed however are included in totals. Percentage calculated with
respect to the total number of referrals.

Diversion Status of Closed Cases

Aliso Viejo

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Open		1	25.0
Successful		3	75.0
Total		4	100
Waived Cases:	2		
Dana Point			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		24	92.3
Unsuccessful		2	7.7
Total		2.6	100
TOCAL		20	100
Waived Cases:	8		
Laguna Hills			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		8	100.0
Total		8	100
Waived Cases:	2		
Laguna Niguel	2		
Lagana Mgaon			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		6	100.0
Total		6	100
Waived Cases:	1		
Lake Forest	Ŧ		
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		13	86.7
Unsuccessful		2	13.3
Total		15	100
	<u>_</u>		
Waived Cases:	9		

Page 15 of 22

Mission Viejo

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		52	96.3
Unsuccessful		2	3.7
Total		54	100
Waived Cases:	11		
Rancho Santa Margarita			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		13	92.9
Unsuccessful		1	7.1
Total		14	100
Waived Cases:	5		
San Clemente			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		13	86.7
Unsuccessful		2	13.3
Total		15	100
Waived Cases:	3		
San Juan Capistrano			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		11	100.0
Total		11	100
Waived Cases:	3		
Stanton			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
		-	
Waived Cases:	0		

Villa Park

Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
Waived Cases:	0		
Yorba Linda			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Total		0	0
Waived Cases:	0		
Unincorporated Areas			
Case Closed Status		Total	Percent
Successful		7	100.0
Total		7	100
Waived Cases:	6		

Offenses Per City

Drug Offenses

City Served	Alcohol	Marijuana	Tobacco	Other Drugs	Total
Aliso Viejo	0	1	0	0	1
Dana Point	8	9	0	2	19
Laguna Niguel	0	1	0	0	1
Lake Forest	2	1	0	0	3
Mission Viejo	1	1	0	1	3
San Clemente	0	1	2	0	3
San Juan Capistrano	0	2	0	0	2
Unincorporated	0	1	0	0	1
Total	11	17	2	3	33

(If a city is not listed in one of the following tables, it means that no offense of the category was committed in that city during the studied period of time.)

Offenses Against People or Property

City Served	Assault	Theft	Threat	Vandalism	Weapon	Total
Aliso Viejo	0	0	0	0	1	1
Laguna Hills	0	0	0	2	1	3
Laguna Niguel	0	0	0	0	1	1
Lake Forest	2	2	0	0	1	5
Mission Viejo	1	5	0	0	4	10
Rancho Santa Margarita	1	0	0	0	0	1
San Clemente	0	1	0	0	1	2
San Juan Capistrano	1	0	1	2	0	4
Unincorporated	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total	5	8	1	4	10	28

Offenses Per City Other Offenses

City Served	Curfew	Incorrigible	Trespassing	Runaway	Total
Dana Point	3	0	5	0	12
Laguna Niguel	0	0	6	0	7
Lake Forest	0	0	0	0	8
Mission Viejo	0	1	7	0	11
Outliers	0	0	0	0	1
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	2	0	0	6
San Clemente	1	0	4	0	6
San Juan Capistrano	0	0	0	0	2
Unincorporated	0	2	0	0	3
-					
Total	4	5	22	0	56

(If a city is not listed in these tables, it means that no offense of the category was committed in that city during the studied period of time.)

Demographics of the Population Served per City Age of Juvenile

City Served	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Total
Aliso Viejo	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Dana Point	0	0	0	2	10	12	8	0	32
Laguna Hills	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Laguna Niguel	1	1	4	2	0	1	0	0	9
Lake Forest	0	1	0	4	2	6	3	0	16
Mission Viejo	0	2	1	3	2	7	8	1	24
Outliers	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	7
San Clemente	0	0	1	0	2	5	3	0	11
San Juan Capistrano	1	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	8
Unincorporated	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	5
Age Total	3	5	15	15	20	36	22	1	118
Note: Totals include all ages, even those not listed									

Demographics of the population served per City Ethnicity of Juvenile

City Served	African Am.	Asian	Caucasian	Hispanic	Native Am.
Aliso Viejo	0	0	0	1	0
Dana Point	1	0	20	8	0
Laguna Hills	0	0	2	0	0
Laguna Niguel	0	1	1	0	0
Lake Forest	0	0	4	4	0
Mission Viejo	0	0	10	3	0
Outliers	0	0	0	1	0
Rancho Santa Margarita	0	0	0	1	0
San Clemente	0	0	6	0	0
San Juan Capistrano	0	0	0	4	0
Unincorporated	0	0	1	0	0
Total	1	1	44	22	0

Gender of the Juvenile

City Served	Male	Female	Total
Aliso Viejo	2	0	2
Dana Point	21	11	32
Laguna Hills	3	0	3
Laguna Niguel	7	2	9
Lake Forest	11	5	16
Mission Viejo	16	8	24
Outliers	1	0	1
Rancho Santa Margarita	3	4	7
San Clemente	10	1	11
San Juan Capistrano	7	1	8
Unincorporated	3	2	5
Total	84	34	118

07/01/2020 -06/30/2021

This information is considered confidential and is intended for Orange County Sheriff's Department and Contract City use only and may not be reproduced or forwarded to unauthorized parties without the permission of the Pepperdine University PRYDE program.

The information provided in this report is not guaranteed to be 100% accurate and may contain errors. For more information on the data set provided, please contact Kenneth Woog, Psy.D., Program Director at 949-206-8600.

TIER 1 STATISTICS: JULY 1, 2020 – FALL 2021

DISTRICT	TOTAL SARBs	SUCCESSFUL (improved attendance)	UNSUCCESSFUL (referral to Probation or Tier 2 mediation; left district; aged out, etc.)	# OF FAMILIES WHO ATTENDED A DA PARENT MEETING
ACCESS	8	2	6	18
AESD (Anaheim Elementary School District)	118	49	69	0
AUHSD (Anaheim Union High School District)	0	0	0	60
BOUSD (Brea Olinda Unified School District)	0	0	0	0
BPSD (Buena Park School District)	55	17	38	15
CUSD (Capistrano Unified School District)	34	17	17	221
CESD (Centralia School District)	12	7	5	1
CSD (Cypress School District)	0	0	0	0
FSD (Fullerton School District)	75	36	39	68
GGUSD (Garden Grove Unified School District)	3	1	0	5
HBCSD (Huntington Beach City School District)	0	0	0	0
HBUHSD (Huntington Beach Union High School District)	4	1	3	13
IUSD (Irvine Unified School District)	23	10	13	266
LHCSD (La Habra City School District)	12	12	0	0
LAUSD (Los Alamitos Unified School District)	9	9	0	0
MSD (Magnolia School District)	15	6	9	0
NMUSD (Newport Mesa Unified School District)	12	8	4	41
OVSD (Ocean View School District)	11	2	9	0
OUSD (Orange Unified School District)	45	7	38	10
PYLUSD (Placentia Yorba Linda Unified School District)	53	49	4	3
SVUSD (Saddleback Valley Unified School District)	29	16	13	311
SAUSD (Santa Ana Unified School District)	99	84	15	146
SAVSD (Savanna Elementary School District)	0	0	0	0

TUSD (Tustin Unified School	36	17	19	5
District)				
WSD (Westminster Unified	1	0	1	0
School District)				
TOTAL	654	350	302	1183

*Available statistics are atypical based on prior years because of COVID-19. Many districts did fewer or no SARBs or DA Parent meetings in the 2020-2021 school year.

TIER 2 STATISTICS: JANUARY 2021 – FALL 2021

*Boys & Girls Club of Garden Grove became the CBO (Community Based Organization) handling Tier 2 (Truancy Mediation) in January 2021. No data prior to that date.

<u> January 2021 – June 30, 2021</u>

- Probation was transferring the cases they had received from school districts to the Boys & Girls Club of Garden Grove for truancy mediation
- Total number of referrals: 57
 - EC cases (students under 12): 28
 - ST cases (students 12 and older): 29
- Outcome of referrals:
 - o Successful completions (improved attendance): 16
 - Unsuccessful completions (attendance did not improve): 8
 - o Other: 24
 - Did not complete mediation for other reasons, such as family declining services, B&G
 Club could not contact, or referral was withdrawn, etc.

July 2021 - December 2021

- Total number of referrals: 67
 - EC cases (students under 12): 19
 - ST cases (students 12 and older): 48
- Outcome of referrals:
 - Successful completions (improved attendance): 5
 - Unsuccessful completions (attendance did not improve): 6
 - Remaining cases are still currently in truancy mediation
- Total number of parents referred to Parent Empowerment classes: 203
 - Referrals done in Tier 1: 123
 - Referrals done in Tier 2 during mediation: 80

Programs & Services Provided in Tier 2

- Case management
- Parent Empowerment classes (group sessions)
- Individual parenting classes (for those parents who are unable to attend the group sessions)
- Teen Group classes
- Individual counseling for students 12 and older

- School visits
- Incentives: Grocery gift cards, gas cards, art supplies, coloring books, toys, bicycles, books
- Resources: Holiday assistance, bill assistance, uniform assistance, school supplies

TIER 3 STATISTICS: JULY 2020 - FALL 2021

July 2020 - December 2021

- Total closed truancy cases (all dismissals): 35
 - o Successful dismissals (improved attendance): 28
 - Unsuccessful dismissals (attendance did not improve): 7

Programs & Services Provided in Tier 3

- Waymakers, which includes Wraparound services, counseling, etc.
- Parent Empowerment classes
- Teen Group classes for students 12 and older (began offering in Tier 3 in Fall 2021)

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) FY 2020-21 BUDGET SUMMARY

	FTE	FY 2020-21 Budget	Adjustment (NOTE 3)	FY 2020-21 Adjusted Budget	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q4 P.13	FY 20-21 TOTAL	Remaining
Total Carryover Funds from Prior Year		4,149,671		4,149,671						4,149,671	
Anticipated Allocation for FY 20-21		12,613,001		12,613,001						12,613,001	
Total Funds Available		16,762,672		16,762,672	-	-	-	-	-	16,762,672	0
Programs Approved for Funding:											
Substance Use Disorder	44.69	5,474,595	902,936	6,377,531	989,463	1,938,974	1,620,243	1,184,966	643,885	6,377,531	0
Juvenile Recovery Court	6.66	844,238	-	844,238	190,122	232,283	200,196	68,116	116,636	807,352	36,886
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention	0.08	372,985	-	372,985	2,953	87,969	116,471	4,560	140,643	352,596	20,389
Truancy Response	3.78	919,967	-	919,967	122,792	171,457	122,005	112,146	46,588	574,989	344,978
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - South	4.00	1,143,210	-	1,143,210	261,709	292,958	263,258	-	298,290	1,116,216	26,994
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - North (pilot-6 months)	2.00	1,647,462	-	1,647,462	216,249	291,661	276,142	67,464	239,537	1,091,053	556,409
Youth Reporting Centers	15.29	4,880,236	(902,936)	3,977,300	631,963	574,016	484,981	403,817	368,747	2,463,525	1,513,775
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)	0.00	500,000	-	500,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	500,000
School Threat Assessment Team Training (NOTE 1)	0.00	63,750	-	63,750	-	7,000	7,000	-	41,300	55,300	8,450
Administrative Costs (0.5%) (NOTE 2)		63,065	-	63,065	6,687	4,793	4,211	-	7,656	23,347	39,718
Total Funding Approved for Programs for FY 2020-21	76.50	15,909,508	-	15,909,508	2,421,939	3,601,110	3,094,508	1,841,069	1,903,281	12,861,907	3,047,601
Future Obligations Approved by Committee											
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - North (pilot - 6 months)		823,731		823,731							823,731
Anticipated Balance of Funds Available		29,433		29,433	(2,421,939)	(3,601,110)	(3,094,508)	(1,841,069)	(1,903,281)	3,900,765	

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

NOTE 1: Indicates one-time funding request

NOTE 2: Administrative Cost per GC 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2). Includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board.

NOTE 3: At the 4/23/2020 OCJJCC meeting, the Council approved for CEO Budget to make adjustments beween the programs for FY 20-21 as needed to maximize funding.

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) FY 2020-21 ALL PROGRAMS

			Adi	ustments	Adju	sted									ĺ
PROGRAM	FTE	Approved Budget		NOTE 2	Bud		Q	1 Actual	Q	2 Actual	Q3 Actual	Q4 Actual	Q4 P.13	Total Actual	Balance
Substance Use Disorder						•									
Probation	40.69	\$ 4,964,595	\$	885,936	\$ 5.8	350,531	\$	874,622	\$	1,796,042 \$	1.496.160	\$ 1,184,966	\$ 498,741	\$ 5,850,531	0
Health Care Agency		510,000	*	17.000		27.000	*	114,841	•	142,932	124,083	-	145,144	527,000	0
	44.69	5,474,595		902,936	6,3	377,531		989,463		1,938,974	1,620,243	1,184,966	643,885	6,377,531	0
Juvenile Recovery Court				,	.,.	,				1	11 -	1 - 1	/		
Probation	2.71	346,477			3	846,477		80,006		98,171	77,032	53,723	36,245	345,177	1,300
Health Care Agency	3.00	360,000			3	860,000		83,605		105,887	100,887	-	69,622	360,000	0
Public Defender	0.50	50,000				50,000		8,784		10,447	10,766	-	10,769	40,766	9,234
District Attorney	0.45	87,761				87,761		17,727		17,778	11,511	14,393	-	61,409	26,352
Total	6.66	844,238		-	8	844,238		190,122		232,283	200,196	68,116	116,636	807,352	36,886
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention															
Probation	0.08	22,280				22,280		2,953		3,111	2,441	4,560	735	13,800	8,480
Sheriff	0.00	350,705			3	350,705		-		84,858	114,030	-	139,908	338,796	11,909
Total	0.08	372,985		-	3	872,985		2,953		87,969	116,471	4,560	140,643	352,596	20,389
Truancy Response															
Probation	1.03	440,670			4	40,670		44,668		83,746	35,400	31,345	36,323	231,482	209,188
Public Defender		175,000				75,000		8,017		9,493	12,109	-	10,265	39,884	135,116
District Attorney		304,297				804,297		70,107		78,218	74,496	80,801	-	303,623	674
	3.78	919,967		-	9	19,967		122,792		171,457	122,005	112,146	46,588	574,989	344,978
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (South)															
Probation		10,139				10,139		-		-	-	-	-	-	10,139
Sheriff		1,133,071				33,071		261,709		292,958	263,258	-	298,290	1,116,216	16,855
	4.00	1,143,210		-	1,1	43,210		261,709		292,958	263,258	-	298,290	1,116,216	26,994
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (North)															
Probation		85,781				85,781		-		-	-	-	-	-	85,781
Sheriff		1,296,597				296,597		187,501		260,612	245,092	-	239,537	932,741	363,856
District Attorney		265,084				265,084		28,748		31,050	31,051	67,464	-	158,312	106,772
	2.00	1,647,462		-	1,6	647,462		216,249		291,661	276,142	67,464	239,537	1,091,053	556,409
Youth Reporting Centers															
Probation		4,533,233		(885,936)		647,297		550,338		468,212	378,194	403,817	332,961	2,133,522	1,513,775
Health Care Agency		347,003		(17,000)		330,003		81,625		105,804	106,787	-	35,786	330,003	0
	15.29	4,880,236		(902,936)	3,9	77,300		631,963		574,016	484,981	403,817	368,747	2,463,525	1,513,775
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement															
Probation		500,000				500,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	500,000
	0.00	500,000		-	5	500,000		-		-	-	-	-	-	500,000
School Threat Assessment Team Training															
Sheriff		63,750				63,750		-		7,000	7,000	-	41,300	55,300	8,450
Total	0.00	63,750		-		63,750		<u> </u>		7,000	7,000	-	41,300	55,300	8,450
Administrative Cost (0.5%) (NOTE 1)		63,065				63,065		6,687		4,793	4,211		7,656	23,347	39,718
Total JJCPA Program Expenses	76.50	\$ 15,909,508	\$	-	\$ 15,9	09,508	\$	2,421,939	\$	3,601,110 \$	3,094,508	\$ 1,841,069	\$ 1,903,281	\$ 12,861,907	3,047,601

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

HCA in-kind services for the School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (SMART) program are as follows:

FY 2020-21 actuals for period July - September 2020 was \$11,355 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

FY 2020-21 actuals for period October - December 2020 was \$32,933 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

FY 2020-21 actuals for period January - March 2021 was \$28,521 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

FY 2020-21 actuals for period April - June 2021 was \$21,750.04 for 1 Behavioral Health Clinician II.

NOTE 1: Administrative Cost per GC 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2). Includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board.

NOTE 2: At the 4/23/2020 OCJJCC meeting, the Council approved for CEO Budget to make adjustments between the programs for FY 20-21 as needed to maximize funding.

			Adjustments								
	FTE	Approved Budget	NOTE 2	Adjusted Budget	Q1 Actual	Q2 Actual	Q3 Actual	Q4 Actual	Q4 P.13	Total Actual	Balance
Probation			-	10,903,175	1,552,587	2,449,282	1,989,227	1,678,411	905,005	8,574,512	2,328,663
Health Care Agency	11.50		-	1,217,003	280,072	354,623	331,757	-	250,551	1,217,003	0
Public Defender	1.75	225,000	-	225,000	16,801	19,940	22,875	-	21,034	80,650	144,350
District Attorney	2.95	657,142	-	657,142	116,583	127,045	117,058	162,658	-	523,343	133,799
Sheriff	5.00	2,844,123	-	2,844,123	449,209	645,428	629,380	-	719,035	2,443,052	401,071
Administrative Cost		63,065	-	63,065	6,687	4,793	4,211	-	7,656	23,347	39,718
Total	76.50	15,909,508	-	15,909,508	2,421,939	3,601,110	3,094,508	1,841,069	1,903,281	12,861,907	3,047,601

Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)

FY 2022-23 Proposed Budget Allocation

	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2022-23
		Approved Adjusted		
		Budget		Proposed Budget
	Actuals	4/29/21	Dept Requested	Allocation
Estimated Carryover Funds from Prior Year	4,149,671	3,375,000	2,534,657	2,534,657
Anticipated Allocation for FY 2022-23	12,613,001	13,977,063	14,359,351	14,359,351
Total Funds Available	16,762,672	17,352,063	16,894,008	16,894,008
Programs Approved for Funding:				
Substance Use Programming	6,377,531	7,282,464	7,054,873	6,729,439
Juvenile Recovery Court	807,352	880,879	728,508	694,903
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention	352,596	379,523	470,342	448,646
Truancy Response NOTE 2	574,989	1,015,875	969,708	945,304
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - South	1,116,216	1,486,256	1,617,064	1,626,297
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team - North	1,091,053	1,339,341	1,600,725	1,538,445
Youth Reporting Centers	2,463,525	4,338,773	4,549,019	4,339,177
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)	-	500,000	500,000	500,000
School Threat Assessment Team Training	55,300	-	100,000	0
Administrative Costs (0.5%) NOTE 1	23,347	69,885	71,797	71,797
Total Funding Approved for Programs	12,861,909	17,292,996	17,662,036	16,894,008
Anticipated Balance of Funds Available	3,900,763	59,067	(768,028)	0

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

NOTE 1: Administrative Costs includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board. Calculation of 0.5% per Government Codes 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2).

NOTE 2: On 4/29/21, the OCJJCC approved \$440,670 allocation for continuance of the truancy response programming and services to the OC Department of Education (OCDE). As a result, on 1/11/22,

the BOS approved the Subrecipient Agreement with OCDE for administration of the TRP on an annual basis at the discretion of the OCJJCC (ASR 21-001140).

NOTE 3: The projected cost for HCA's in-kind services for SMART program for FY 2022-23 is \$67,973 for a Behavioral Health Clinician II.

Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) FY 2022-23 Proposed Budget Allocation

	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2022-23
PROGRAMS	Actuals	Approved Adjusted Budget 4/29/21	Dept Requested	Proposed Budget Allocation
Substance Use Programming				
Probation		\$ 6,694,555	\$ 6,418,199	
Health Care Agency		587,909	636,674	607,305
Total	6,377,531	7,282,464	7,054,873	6,729,439
Juvenile Recovery Court Probation	345,177	371,277	407,286	388,498
Health Care Agency	,	360,000	177,230	169,055
Public Defender		50,000	50,000	47,694
District Attorney	-,	99,602	93,992	89,656
Total	807,352	880,879	728,508	694,903
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention				
Probation	13,800	15,842	18,342	17,496
Sheriff		363,681	452,000	431,150
Total		379,523	470,342	448,646
Truancy Response				
Probation	231,482	54,593		
Public Defender	39,884	175,000	175,000	166,927
District Attorney		416,612	354,038	337,707
OC Dept of Education NOTE 2		369,670	440,670	440,670
Total	574,989	1,015,875	969,708	945,304
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (South)				
Sheriff	, ,	1,486,256	1,617,064	1,626,297
Total	1,116,216	1,486,256	1,617,064	1,626,297
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (North)		00.070	07.540	00.050
Probation		23,370	27,519	26,250
Sheriff District Attornov	932,741 158,312	1,032,550 283,421	1,296,597 276,609	1,248,346
District Attorney	1,091,053	1,339,341	1,600,725	263,849 1,538,445
Youth Reporting Centers	1,031,033	1,553,541	1,000,723	1,000,440
Probation	2,133,522	3,966,773	3,891,788	3,712,264
Health Care Agency	, ,	372,000	657,231	626,914
Total	2,463,525	4,338,773	4,549,019	4,339,177
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)				
Probation		500,000	500,000	500,000
Total	-	500,000	500,000	500,000
School Threat Assessment Team Training	EE 000		100.000	0
Sheriff Total	,	-	100,000 100,000	0 0
	55,300	-	100,000	U
Administrative Cost (0.5%) NOTE 1	23,347	69,885	71,797	71,797
Program Total	\$ 12,861,909		\$ 17,662,036	
	Ψ 12,001,309	ψ 17,252,590	Ψ 17,002,030	Ψ 10,034,000

	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2022-23
DEPARTMENT SUMMARY	Actuals	Approved Adjusted Budget 4/29/21	Dept Requested	Proposed Budget Allocation
Probation	8,574,512	11,626,410	11,263,134	10,766,641
Sheriff	2,443,053	2,882,487	3,465,661	3,305,794
Health Care Agency	1,217,003	1,319,909	1,471,135	1,403,273
Public Defender	80,650	225,000	225,000	214,621
District Attorney	523,344	799,635	724,639	691,212
OC Dept of Education	-	369,670	440,670	440,670
Administrative Cost (0.5%)	23,347	69,885	71,797	71,797
Department Total	12,861,909	17,292,996	17,662,036	16,894,008
		-		
Estimated JJCPA Available Funding	\$ 16,792,672	\$ 17,352,063	\$ 16,894,008	\$ 16,894,008
Variance Between Dept Total & Available	\$ 3,930,763	\$ 59,067	\$ (768,028)	\$0

Totals may not foot due to rounding.

NOTE 1: Administrative Costs includes administrative support services provided by CEO Budget and Clerk of the Board. Calculation of 0.5% per GC 30062(c)(1) and 30062(d)(2).

NOTE 2: On 4/29/21, the OCJJCC approved \$440,670 allocation for continuance of the truancy response programming and services to the OC Department of Education (OCDE). As a result, on 1/11/22, the BOS approved the Subrecipient Agreement with OCDE for administration of the TRP on an annual basis at the discretion of the OCJJCC (ASR 21-001140).

Path: S:\CEO Budget\Public Protection & Community Services\Program I\JJCPA\FY 22-23\Dept Funding Requests\ File: JJCPA Funding Request FY 22-23 Proposed Budget Options Tab: For JJCC use detail