SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

Thursday, April 22, 2021, 3:30 P.M.

STEVE SENTMAN, Chair

Probation

KELLI BELTRAN

Juvenile Court Representative

DOUG CHAFFEE

Orange County Board of Supervisors

TOM DARÉ

Local Law Enforcement

JEFFREY NAGEL

Health Care Agency, Mental Health

NAZLY RESTREPO

Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

DARREN THOMPSON

Public Defender

DEBRA BAETZ

Social Services Agency

HETHER BENJAMIN

Community Based Organization Rep.

JARED DAHL

Sheriff-Coroner

LYNN GARRETT

Education Representative

MEGHAN MEDLIN

At Large Community Representative

TODD SPITZER

District Attorney

VACANT

Business Representative

ATTENDANCE: Members Baetz, Beltran, Benjamin, Chaffee, DaRe, Garrett, Medlin, Restrepo, Sentman, Spitzer and Thompson (all Members participated via Zoom)

EXCUSED: Members Dahl and Nagel

CLERK OF THE COUNCIL: Jamie Ross & Sonia Acuna, Deputies (participated via Zoom)

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 5)

1. Welcome and Introductions

CHAIR SENTMAN CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 3:30 P.M. AND CALLED ROLL; CLERK CONFIRMED QUORUM

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

2. Discussion and approval of report – Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council – Ad Hoc Committee Recommendations: Building an Effective and Meaningful Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan, Focus Area 4 (*Continued from 10/22/20, Item 2; and 2/25/21, Item 3*)

11 712345689 10 12 13

X X

APPROVED AS AMENDED TO INCLUDE LOGIC MODEL TO "JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, PLAN AND METRICS" FORM; MEMBERS BENJAMIN, MEDLIN AND RESTREPO TO PROVIDE EXAMPLES OF LOGIC MODELS AT 7/22/21, REGULAR MEETING; COUNCIL TO HAVE STANDING ITEM AT EACH MEETING TO REVIEW PROGRAM FORMS

3. Review and approve transition from existing Truancy Response Program services to a new contract/scope for Truancy Response Program; and approve reallocation of funds

<u>DISCUSSED; NO ACTION TAKEN; CONTINUED TO 4/29/21, 1:30 P.M. SPECIAL MEETING</u>

4. Discussion of variance in Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funding between FY 2021-22 and FY 2020-21

NO DISCUSSION OR ACTION TAKEN; CONTINUED TO 4/29/21, 1:30 P.M. SPECIAL MEETING

5. Discussion and approval of Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) JJCPA-Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG) FY 2021-22 Consolidated Annual Plan, as presented, and authorize CEO Budget to make minor administrative changes as needed in order to meet established submittal date of 5/1

NO DISCUSSION OR ACTION TAKEN; CONTINUED TO 4/29/21, 1:30 P.M. SPECIAL MEETING

PUBLIC & COUNCIL COMMENTS: N/A

PUBLIC COMMENTS: N/A

COUNCIL COMMENTS: N/A

ADJOURNED: MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:32 P.M. DURING DISCUSSION OF ITEM 3 AND SET

TO RESUME AT 4:42 P.M.; MEETING UNABLE TO RESUME DUE TO TECHNICAL

DIFFICULTIES WITH ZOOM; CHAIR SCHEDULED SPECIAL MEETING FOR 4/29/21,

1:30 P.M., TO RESUME REMAINDER OF AGENDA (ITEMS 3-5)

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

*** KEY ***

Left Margin Notes

1 Debra Baetz A = Abstained2 Kelli Beltran X = Excused3 Hether Benjamin 4 Doug Chaffee 5 Jared Dahl 6 Tom DaRé 7 Lynn Garrett 8 Meghan Medlin 9 Jeff Nagel N = No10 Nazly Restrepo C.O. = Council Order11 Steve Sentman 12 Todd Spitzer 13 Darren Thompson

(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)

/s/

STEVE SENTMAN Chair

/s/

Jamie Ross, Deputy Clerk of the Council



STEVEN J. SENTMAN CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

BRYAN PRIETO
ASSISTANT CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

Item 2

TELEPHONE: (714) 569-2000

1055 N. MAIN STREET, 5^{TH} FLOOR SANTA ANA, CA 92701

MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 10260 -SANTA ANA, CA 92711-0260

DATE:

April 15, 2021

TO:

Steven J. Sentman, Chair - Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

Members, Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

FROM:

Bryan Prieto, Chair Singe County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council - Ad Hoc Committee

SUBJECT:

Ad Hoc Committee Findings/Recommendations in re: Measuring the Effectiveness of the

OCJJCC Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan

On behalf of the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (OCJJCC) Ad Hoc Committee, I am pleased to present our recommendations on how the local comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plan (Local Plan) can/should be measured. The attached report will outline how the recommended measurement process (including a new form) came to be and why the Ad Hoc Committee supports its use. All Ad Hoc Committee members will be present and available to address any questions, concerning our recommendation, at the regularly scheduled April 22, 2021 meeting of the OCJJCC.

I would like to personally extend my thanks and appreciation to all OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee members namely, Kimberly Doyle, Lynn Garrett, Meghan Medlin, Jose Pelayo, Nazly Restrepo, Ken Santini, Dawn Smith, and Darren Thompson. The attached recommendation would not exist were it not for the significant contributions of all the above-mentioned individuals. Additionally, I would like to thank Jamie Ross from Clerk of the Board for coordinating/facilitating the Ad Hoc Committee meetings. Finally, I want to acknowledge Probation Research Analyst IV Lisa Sato for her work in creating the new (recommended) form that we believe will greatly assist in not only assessing the success of the current Local Plan, but will be an important tool for the OCJJCC to measure the success of any future program(s) ultimately incorporated into later versions of the Local Plan.

MEASURING EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL COMPREHENSIVE MULTIDISCIPLINARY JUVENILE JUSTICE PLAN (LOCAL PLAN)

Introduction

On February 25, 2021, during its regularly scheduled meeting, the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (OCJJCC) received/reviewed a revised report submitted by its Ad Hoc Committee. The report entitled "Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council – Ad Hoc Committee Recommendations *Building an Effective and Meaningful Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan*" highlighted a number of recommendations for the OCJJCC to consider when developing a plan to reduce juvenile crime and provide services to the plan's targeted youthful population. Although the revised report would be accepted, the Ad Hoc Committee was directed to reconvene and bring back recommendations on what metrics should be measured and how often these metrics should be reviewed. These recommendations would be considered during the regularly scheduled meeting of the OCJJCC on April 22, 2021.

In light of the above directive, the Ad Hoc Committee (virtually) met on (5) five occasions throughout the months of March and April and deliberated (along with members of the public) on the types of metrics that would be meaningful for the OCJJCC when considering the effectiveness of the Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan (Local Plan). Ultimately, it was decided that a newly created form would be recommended for use by the OCJJCC to 1) identify key metrics the coordinating council wanted to review and; 2) establish a time frame when individual programs would be scheduled to provide report out information on these metrics. The advantage of this new form is the OCJJCC can utilize it immediately and measure the effectiveness of all programs currently incorporated into the existing Local Plan. Additionally, the form allows the OCJJCC some flexibility in the future when balancing between budgetary challenges and whether to add/delete programs from the Local Plan.

Assuming the recommended action is taken by the OCJJCC, the Ad Hoc Committee also urges the committee members to create a program rating/evaluation form and calendar regular reviews of the Local Plan using the group's existing meeting schedule. Some type of rating form (created by the OCJJCC) would allow all interested parties to determine how well programs are assisting the OCJJCC with achieving its Local Plan goals. Additionally, regularly planned reviews of the Local Plan will allow the OCJJCC to review key metrics and make program changes to the Local Plan as needed.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks go to all members of the OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee members (please see insert) and the public for taking the time to discuss this important topic. Additionally, thanks go to Jamie Ross (Clerk of the Board) for coordinating/facilitating the March/April meetings on behalf of the group. Finally, much thanks and appreciation go to Research Analyst IV Lisa Sato. She was able to summarize and

¹ https://ocprobation.ocgov.com/sites/ocpr/files/2021-03/02-25-21%20JJCC%20minutes%20packet.pdf

ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL AD HOC COMMITTEE

Bryan Prieto, Chair Probation Kimberly Doyle
District Attorney

Alcohol Rep.

Lynn Garrett
O.C. Dept. of Education

Meghan Medlin At Large Community

Jose Pelayo Sheriff-Coroner

Nazly Restrepo Community Based Drug/ Ken Santini Social Services Agency Dawn Smith Health Care Agency

Rep.

Darren Thompson

Offices of the Public Defender

encapsulate the questions/concerns of the group and incorporate these ideas into the group's (recommended) form (see Appendix 1).

Summary of OCJJCC Prior Actions

On July 23, 2020, during its regularly scheduled meeting, the OCJJCC created an Ad Hoc Committee to review its Local Plan. The review came about because of audit findings released by the state auditor in May of 2020. In general the state auditor indicated that counties (that were under review) had weak oversight (both at the state and local level), approved inappropriate or outdated comprehensive plans, and misreported or failed to include outcomes that supported the use of Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funds.²

In the subsequent weeks, the Ad Hoc Committee, chaired by probation, met and ultimately produced a report for the OCJJCC to review during its regularly scheduled meeting on October 22, 2020. The report, entitled "Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council – Ad Hoc Committee Recommendations Building an Effective and Meaningful Comprehensive Multiagency Juvenile Justice Plan" outlined (5) five findings/recommendations on the: 1) OCJJCC, 2) Target population, 3) OCJJCC Comprehensive Plan, 4) Metrics to Measure Success, and 5) JJCPA Funding. While the report was accepted, the OCJJCC approved to have subject area #3 (including its recommendation) stricken. An updated report was to be provided during the regularly scheduled meeting of the OCJJCC on February 25, 2021.

At the February meeting, the OCJJCC accepted the updated report but required further study on subject area #4 (noted in the revised report as subject area #3 – Metrics to Measure Success). Following deliberations, the OCJJCC directed the Ad Hoc Committee to reconvene and provide feedback on the metrics that should be measured and timeframes when these metrics ought to be reviewed. The results of that analysis would be reviewed during the regularly scheduled meeting of the OCJJCC on April 22, 2021.

Summary of OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee Actions

As a result of the directive the Ad Hoc Committee, once again chaired by probation, coordinated with Clerk of the Board to host (3) three (virtual) regularly scheduled public meetings on March 17, March 30, and April 6, 2021. Additionally, (2) two (virtual) special public meetings occurred on March 24, and April

² https://www.auditor.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2019-116.pdf

14, 2021. During these meetings, committee members along with members of the public discussed what metrics would be of value to the OCJJCC and the time frames these metrics should be reviewed.

While the task appeared straight forward, the Ad Hoc Committee's conversations quickly brought to light the complicators that make the evaluation of Local Plan effectiveness more complex. Timing, for example, is one of the major hurdles. Ad Hoc Committee members shared that because it does take time (sometimes months) to determine whether a Local Plan program is effective, the OCJJCC is left to decide (just prior to submission to the State) whether a program should continue to receive funding whether or not that program has been able to produce any outcome data. Additionally, because each program monitors a variety of metrics, it would be difficult to pigeonhole each program into one "uniform" set of outcome data.

In the end, the Ad Hoc Committee agreed that, like any other provider responding to a bid for service, the existing/future program administrators would be responsible for 1) identifying the type of program they are offering, 2) the partnerships they are leveraging to accomplish the program goal, 3) how information is being shared, 4) the metrics they are monitoring/why the metrics are important and, 5) what evidence-based, or promising practice they are utilizing in support of the Local Plan. Additionally, any newly adopted report out process should allow the OCJJCC flexibility (as well as the program administrators) to prepare outcome reports that are easy to follow and quickly allow the audience to make some determination on the program's effectiveness. With these two issues in mind, the OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee voted to adopt/recommend the usage of a new form that came about because of the group's conversations.

OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee Recommendation

In order to align efforts with existing legislation, the Ad Hoc Committee reiterates and recommends that any/all Local Plan programs should be based on approaches that have demonstrated an effectiveness in reducing delinquency and juvenile crime in the areas of prevention, intervention, suppression and/or incapacitation. Additionally, Local Plan programs should be collaborative and implement an information sharing process that allows for the constant monitoring and alteration of programs as needed to increase the positive development of program participants while also remaining focused on the reduction of delinquency and juvenile crime. Finally, through its collaborative efforts, the OCJJCC should adopt measures that illustrate how the Local Plan and its collective strategies contribute to the wellbeing of its targeted youthful population and the overall safety of the community at large.

With the above in mind, the Ad Hoc Committee recommends the immediate adoption and usage of the attached form (see Appendix #1). If adopted, any interested party can quickly determine the type of program provided, the key partners in the program strategy, the type of collaboration (including how information is shared), and the key metrics that are being monitored. The form allows for flexibility for the OCJJCC to schedule a review process and tailor this review to each program provider based on the metrics being measured and the time frame needed to produce outcome reports. The form can be immediately used with existing Local Plan programs to monitor effectiveness. Additionally, the form can be utilized when considering the addition/deletion of programs in the future due to budgetary shortfalls and/or legislative changes that impact the services provided by the Local Plan.

April 2021 | **4**

In addition to the above, the Ad Hoc Committee encourages the OCJJCC to consider two other actions. First, the OCJJCC should consider calendaring key events (within its existing regular meeting schedule) to allow for the routine review of the Local Plan throughout the year. Second, the OCJJCC should create a rating sheet or evaluation form that may be used by the OCJJCC or program administrators alike to determine how successful programs have been in achieving Local Plan goals.

Calendaring key events throughout the year will greatly assist with the ongoing development of the Local Plan. If, to illustrate just one example, the OCJJCC chose to review metrics during the regularly scheduled July meeting the group could then consider the addition of new programs (when/if needed) during the October meeting. Within this scenario any adjustments to the Local Plan (including the budget) could be made well before the next Fiscal Year's Local Plan proposal is submitted for acceptance by the state.

Assuming a standing review period is established, a program evaluation form or rating sheet would be a very useful tool in determining a program's ability to meet Local Plan goals. An evaluation form/rating sheet created/agreed upon by the OCJJCC could be used to assist in the establishment of corrective action plans if/when programs fall short of expectations. Also, the OCJJCC could leverage this evaluation form/rating sheet when determining how the Local Plan can maintain its effectiveness despite changes in the juvenile justice landscape (e.g., legislative changes or budget constraints impacting program operations).

CONCLUSION

On February 25, 2021, the OCJJCC directed the Ad Hoc Committee to bring back recommendations on Local Plan metrics and when these outcome measures should be reviewed. After a few meetings, the Ad Hoc Committee voted to recommend the adoption of a new form by the OCJJCC, creation of a program rating sheet/evaluation form and routine review of the Local Plan throughout the existing OCJJCC meeting schedule. This new form in addition to the other recommended actions, the Ad Hoc Committee believes, will greatly assist the OCJJCC and its Local Plan program administrators with managing, monitoring, and continuing to develop cost effective strategies that will effectively address the needs of youth, reduce juvenile delinquency and/or juvenile crime, and ultimately make for a safe community.


Appendix #1 JJCPA PROGRAM REQUIRMENTS, PLAN & METRICS

JJCPA PROGRAM:				
1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addres	sed by program: \square Prevention \square	Intervention Suppression Incapacitation		
2. Collaborating Partners:				
□ Law enforcement □ Education				
□ Juvenile Court □ Mental health/health				
☐ Probation	☐ Social se	ervices		
☐ Other partner*	☐ Drug and			
* Provides services that specifically target at-pro	mise juveniles, juvenile offenders and/or their fami	ilies		
 Information sharing systems/strategies measuring the success of juvenile just 		y coordinated and designed to provide data for		
4. Program goals and plans for achieving	and measuring outcomes:			
PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME		
5. Program timeline:				
6. Reporting data/outcomes:				
Pre- and post-program participation a	ssessment:			
Tracking improvement of protective fa	actors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduc	ce problematic behavior):		
σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ σ	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···		
Tracking the amount and influence of	any training that was provided (i.e. me	asure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns):		
7. Reporting period (Mark all that apply):				
☐ Quarterly ☐ Yearly				
☐ Bi-annually ☐ Other (please s	pecify):			
NOTES:				

Please attach any relevant evidence-based, evidence-informed and/or promising practices support documentation

JJCPA Program Criteria, Plan & Metrics

Page 1



Changes Due to AB 901
Presenter ADA Kimberly Doyle
April 22, 2021

Re-Engagement of Youth into society through school

- Youth and families will re-engage in our system this year.
 - Substance abuse
 - Behavioral issues
 - Mental Health Issues
 - Child abuse issues

JJCCPA program funding is prepared for reengagement for Target Populations

- Target Populations (page 9
 Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Counsel Report)
- At promise youth
 - Truancy falls within the Target Populations of JJCCPA
 - Excessively absent from school or doing poorly in school. (13825.4 P.C.)

Current JJCC Programming

- TRP Truancy Response Program
- SMART School Mobile
 Assessment Resource Team
- NSMART North School Mobile Assessment Resource Team
- STAT- School Threat Assessment Team and Training

AB 901

- Most notable change for this discussion:
- AB 901 encourages the use of Community Based Organizations for programming and services and removes the probation department from the Truancy Program.

Truancy

Three Tier Process

GOALS:

- 1. Re-engagement
- 2. Isolate issues at an early stage
- 3. Connect youths and their parents to necessary services

Tier 1: School Attendance Review Board (SARBS)

There are currently 28 SARBS, and participants vary with each school district

Ideal Partners (as defined by EC 48321):


- 1. School District Child Welfare and Attendance
- 2. School Principal or Assistance Principal
- 3. School Nurse or Medical Professional
- 4. Social Services Agency Representative
- 5. Mental Health Provider:
 - 1. HCA
 - 2. Waymakers for parents and youth

- 6. Community/Family Liaison/Peer Group
- 7. Special Education Representative (IEP, Academic Resource)
- 8. Boys and Girls Club of Garden Grove
- 9. OCDE


Parent meetings: DA meets with parents to go over legal consequences of what could happen to the youth and/or parent. Timing varies by school district – some districts have parent meetings before SARB, some after.

Completion:

- 1. Successful completion
- 2. Unsuccessful completion: 15-20 absences. This varies by school district.
- 3. Referred to informal CBO diversion



Total Number of SARBs by District 2019-2020



AESD FSD NMUSD Anaheim Elementary School District Fullerton School District Newport-Mesa Unified School District (K-6)OVSD GGUSD AUHSD Ocean View School District Garden Grove Unified School District Anaheim Union High School District HBCSD PYLUSD BOUSD Huntington Beach City (Elementary) Placentia Yorba Linda Unified School School District Brea-Olinda Unified School District District HBUHSD SVUSD BPSD Buena Park School District Huntington Beach Union High School Saddleback Valley Unified School District District CUSD SAUSD IUSD Capistrano Unified School District Irvine Unified School District Santa Ana Unified School District CESD LHCSD SAVSD Centralia Elementary School District (Cerritos) La Habra City School District Savanna Elementary School District CSD LBUSD TUSD Cypress School District Laguna Beach Unified School District Tustin Unified School District FVSD LAUSD WSD Westminster Unified School District Fountain Valley School District Los Alamitos Unified School District FJUHSD LJSD OCDE/ACCESS Fullerton Joint Union High School Lowell Joint School District

MSD

Magnolia School District

District

Tier 2: Informal /Community Based Organization (CBO) Diversion for Parents and Youths EC and ST (Prior to AB 901, Probation informally handled ST cases only)

- 1. EC=Education Code 28293 Parent(s): Youth under age of 12
- 2. ST=Welfare and Institution Code 601: Youths age 12 and above

Ideal Suggested Partners:


- 1. CBO (s)
- 2. Community Based Resources mental health for parents and youths

Completion:

- 1. Successful completion
- 2. Unsuccessful completion
- 3. Referred to DA for filing review

Example of Incentives:

- 1. Bus Passes
- 2. Target gift cards
- 3. Specific to Youth's Needs



EC=Education Code 28293 ST=Welfare and Institution Code 601

Pre and Post AB 901 handling of SARB referrals

Prior to AB 901 SARB referrals:

- Probation only could receive referrals for ST cases per code.
- EC cases sent directly to DA
- FY 19/20 pre COVID
 - 80 referral ST cases to probation (Informal diversion)
 - 79 EC cases to DA (No diversion)

Post AB 901 SARB referrals:

- All EC and ST referred to CBO for handling, no restrictions for diversion.
- FY 21/22 anticipate:
 - All ST and EC referred to CBO for diversion. Both parents and youth will receive informal diversion.

• **ASKS**:

- Request JJCCPA committee make a directive to CEO for bid for informal handling/diversion by CBO.
 - Once bidding process completed, JJCC selects CBO and directs CEO to procure funds for CBO.
- Request JJCCPA review existing FY 21/22 budget and make adjustments for funding of selected CBO for Tier 2.

Tier 3: Formal Request for Filing of a Petition

- 1. ST Welfare and Institution Code 601 Youth
- 2. EC 28293 (12 and under) parent

Ideal Partners:

- 1. District Attorney
- 2. TRP Court
- 3. Boys and Girls Club of Garden Grove
- 4. Waymakers
- 5. Orange County Department of Education
- 6. Child Welfare and Attendance from appropriate district
- 7. Social Services Agency

Completion:

Successful Completion: attends school

Example of Incentives:

- 1. Bus Passes
- 2. Target Gift Cards
- 3. Specific Incentives for Youth's needs.

Asks

- Due to changes in AB 901:
- DA is <u>not asking</u> for additional funding for the following:
 - Approximately 30 District Attorneys to attend, based on availability, 1500 SARBS in Tier 1.
- DA is <u>asking</u> for additional funding for the following:
 - Full Time Paralegal

Paralegal – TRP Responsibilities funded by JJCCPA prior to AB 901 to Probation:

- Additional Duties of District Attorney Paralegal without Probations informal involvement per AB 901:
 - Provide support with statistical analysis and report preparation
 - Work with court collaborative coordinator to assure matters are calendared correctly for each week and remain within the court's guidelines
 - Provide support for DDA reviewing cases on calendar weekly, contact school districts or local school sites for updated information regarding student attendance and student/family needs
 - Review and audit files for status updates and reach out to district or school site personnel for updates
 - Review, update and maintain the data for the Truancy Tracker
 - Generate summary of TRP recommendations for Truancy Judges
 - Notifications letters to schools informing schools of outcome of Truancy Cases.

Additional Duties of District Attorney Paralegal due to AB 901 generally and TRP 3 Tier approach:

- Responsible for scheduling and coordination of 30 DDA's to attend 1500 SARB meetings county wide per school year (New function in Tier 1 due to AB 901)
- Aid the DDA with the TRP program organization
- Schedule and communicate with TRP team weekly for Zoom staffing meetings
- Participate in TRP weekly staffing meetings
- Provide the DDA with support for weekly court appearances
- Collaboration between county partners such as, Juvenile Court, Social Services Agency, Public Defender, Probation Department and community partners such as OCDE, Waymakers and local school districts

Item 3

DA Paralegal – TRP Responsibilities increased due to AB 901

- Provide support with statistical analysis and report preparation
- Aid the DDA with the TRP program organization
- Schedule and communicate with TRP team weekly for Zoom staffing meetings
- Participate in TRP weekly staffing meetings
- Provide the DDA with support for weekly court appearances
- Responsible for scheduling and coordination of 30 DDA's to attend 1500 SARB meetings county wide per school year
- Work with court collaborative coordinator to assure matters are calendared correctly for each week and remain within the court's guidelines
- Provide support for DDA reviewing cases on calendar weekly, contact school districts or local school sites for updated information regarding student attendance and student/family needs
- Review and audit files for status updates and reach out to district or school site personnel for updates
- Collaboration between county partners such as, Juvenile Court, Social Services Agency, Public Defender, Probation Department and community partners such as OCDE, Waymakers and local school districts
- Review, update and maintain the data for the Truancy Tracker

Description:

TRP is a cooperative effort to address the problem of chronic truancy in Orange County schools. TRP focuses on chronically truant youth and their families in a Three Tier Approach who have failed to respond to the traditional efforts at the school district level "SARB". A primary goal of TRP is to reduce school truancies and absences in order to increase the chance of youths' future success. The program places youth at risk for delinquency and aims to reduce the number of youths who go on to commit a crime resulting in a formal 602 application. TRP provides progression of interventions up to and including formal court action.

Services provided within TRP include three tiers:

First Tier SARB:

- Mandatory attendance of truant youth and their parents at school-based group parent meetings conducted by the District Attorney.
- District Attorney to attend SARB meetings based on availability and invitation by individual district "SARB".
- Community Partners attend SARB based on availability and invitation by individual district "SARB".
- Referrals for services, such as counseling, parenting skills, and basic housing and shelter needs provided by collaborating agencies and individual district "SARB".

Second Tier CBO informal intake and diversion

- Referral to CBO from SARB for a TRP intake evaluation for informal handling.
- Placement in one of several "pre-court" TRP interventions monitored by CBO.

Third Tier Formal Filing:

- Referral by SARB "School Districts" to DA for potential Filing
- Court-ordered placement of the youth on 725 W&I and/or prosecution of the parents. If terminated unsuccessfully, may result in 602 W&I.
- Court-ordered participation of both youth and parents in a Parent Empowerment Program workshop designed to coach parents in effective discipline methods for their children.
- Referrals for services, such as counseling, parenting skills, and basic housing and shelter needs provided for truancy court families by collaborating agencies.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.
- Collaboration between court partners, such as District Attorney, Juvenile Court, SSA,
 Public Defender, and community partners (OCDE, HCA, Waymakers, Boy's and Girl's Club of Garden Grove, and local school districts).

2011 Realignment JJCPA Funding Request FY 2021-22

Please list all programs and services to be offered by your agency/department for FY 2021-22 to be funded by 2011 Realignment. These are existing programs and/or services needing continued funding in support of established goals and objectives. Please ensure that the amount requested for each program and/or service identified is inclusive of any and all associated salaries and benefits, services and supplies, and any other associated expenses.

Agency/Department Name: District Attorney - Public Administrator

Contact Name/Phone: Kalpana Chakrabarti - (714) 347-8436

Program(s)/Service(s)	Brief Description	Amount Requested
Truancy Response Team Program		\$461,021
· ·	Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$452,788
	Operating Expenses	\$8,233
Juvenile Recovery Court Program		\$99,602
, ,	Salaries & Employee Benefits	\$97,416
	Operating Expenses	\$2,186
School Mobile Assessment and		\$283,421
Resposne Team (SMART)	Salaries and Employee Benefits	\$283,421
	Total JJCPA Funding Requeste	d \$844,044

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY TRUANCY RESPONSE TEAM PROGRAM

Recommended Budget Summary Fiscal Year 2021-2022

OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES	
	SALARIES	
0101	Salaries	272,553
0103	Holiday Comp	0
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	13,737
·	TOTAL SALARIES	286,290
	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
0200	Retirement	98,459
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	1,712
0206	Retiree Medical	3,935
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	1,720
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	1,091
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	602
0306	Health Insurance	46,455
0308	Dental Insurance	1,060
0309	Life Insurance	108
0310	AD&D	48
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	937
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	2,919
0401	Medicare	3,952
0402	Executive Car Allowance	0
0403	Optional Benefit Plan	3,500
	TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	166,498
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	452,788
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES	
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge	4,212
0742	Cell Phones	540
1600	Memberships	637
1800	Office Expense	500
2400	Special Departmental Expenses	500
2600/2700	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences	500
2601	Private Auto Mileage	1,344
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	8,233
	C. INDIRECT COST	
	INDIRECT COST DISALLOWED BY CEO	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	461,021
	POSITION	
2336AY	Deputy Attorney IV	1.00
0514SM	Office Supervisor B	0.25
0522CL	Office Technician	0.25
2303GE	Paralegal	1.00
	TOTAL	2.50

Note: Due to staff transfers, budget can fluctuate between each FY. Only actual will be charged.

Budget Breakdown by Units

 Unit 2000
 Unit 7000

 Truancy
 417,061
 43,960
 461,021

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY TRUANCY RESPONSE TEAM PROGRAM COMPARE BUDGETS FY2021-22 vs. FY2020-21

OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET	BUDGET
		FY2021-22	FY2020-21
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES		
	SALARIES		
0101	Salaries	272,553	182,649
0103	Overtime	0	239
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	13,737	10,020
	TOTAL SALARIES	286,290	192,908
0200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	00.450	60.522
0200	Retirement	98,459	60,523
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	1,712	205
0206	Retiree Medical	3,935	1,606
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	1,720	1,572
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	1,091	0
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	602	1,026
0306	Health Insurance	46,455	31,665
0308	Dental Insurance	1,060	1,068
0309	Life Insurance	108	48
0310	AD&D	48	24
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	937	312
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	2,919	2,109
0401	Medicare	3,952	2,647
0402	Executive Car Allowance	0	0
0403	Optional Benefit Plan	3,500	2,000
	TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	166,498	104,805
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	452,788	297,713
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES		
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge	4,212	2,527
0742	Cell Phones	540	540
1600	Memberships	637	637
1800	Office Expense	500	500
2400	Special Departmental Expenses	500	500
2600/2700	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences	500	500
2601	Private Auto Mileage	1,344	1,380
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	8,233	6,584
	C. INDIRECT COST	0.00%	0.00%
	INDIRECT COST TOTAL	0	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	461,021	304,297
	POSITION		ı
2336AY	Deputy Attorney IV	1.00	1.00
0514SM	Office Supervisor B	0.25	0.25
0522CL	Office Technician	0.25	0.25
2303GE	Paralegal	1.00	
	TOTAL	1.50	1.50

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY JUVENILE RECOVERY COURT PROGRAM

Recommended Budget Summary Fiscal Year 2021-2022

OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES	
	SALARIES	
0101	Salaries	58,539
0103	Holiday Comp	0
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	3,232
•	TOTAL SALARIES	61,771
	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
0200	Retirement	21,416
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	139
0206	Retiree Medical	391
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	516
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	234
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	181
0306	Health Insurance	9,799
0308	Dental Insurance	318
0309	Life Insurance	32
0310	AD&D	14
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	94
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	613
0401	Medicare	849
0402	Executive Car Allowance	0
0403	Optional Benefit Plan	1,050
0.103	TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	35,645
		55,515
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	97,416
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES	
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge	758
0742	Cell Phones	162
1600	Memberships	191
2600/2700	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences	500
2601	Private Auto Mileage	575
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	2,186
	C. INDIRECT COST	
	INDIRECT COST DISALLOWED BY CEO	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	99,602
	POSITION	
222644	Domitta Attaina IV	0.30
2336AY 0558CL	Deputy Attorney IV	0.30
USSACL	Attorney's Clerk I TOTAL	0.15 0.45
	IOIAL	0.45

Note: Due to staff transfers, budget can fluctuate between each FY. Only actual will be charged.

Budget Breakdown by Units

	Unit 2000	Unit 7000	Total
Juvenile Court Recovery	86,960	12,642	99,602

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY JUVENILE RECOVERY COURT PROGRAM COMPARE BUDGETS

FY2021-22 vs. FY2020-21

ODI	CATECORY	BUDGET	PLIDCET
OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET FY2021-22	BUDGET FY2020-21
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES	112021 22	112020 21
	SALARIES		
0101	Salaries	58,539	53,502
0103	Overtime	0	24
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	3,232	5,676
	TOTAL SALARIES	61,771	59,202
	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS		
0200	Retirement	21,416	17,627
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	139	123
0206	Retiree Medical	391	427
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	516	475
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	234	0
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	181	305
0306	Health Insurance	9,799	3,355
0308	Dental Insurance	318	482
0309	Life Insurance	32	14
0310	AD&D	14	7
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	94	94
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	613	629
0401	Medicare	849	776
0402	Executive Car Allowance	1.050	1 200
0403	Optional Benefit Plan TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1,050 35,645	1,200 25,514
	TOTAL EMPLOTEE BENEFITS	33,043	25,514
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	97,416	84,716
		07,120	0.,,,,
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES		
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge	758	1,264
0742	Cell Phones	162	324
1600	Memberships	191	382
2600/2700	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences	500	500
2601	Private Auto Mileage	575	575
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	2,186	3,045
	C. INDIRECT COST	0.00%	0.00%
	INDIRECT COST TOTAL	0	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	00.003	97.761
	TOTAL BUDGET	99,602	87,761
	POSITION		
2336AY	Deputy Attorney IV	0.30	0.30
0558CL	Attorney's Clerk I	0.15	
0522CL	Office Technician		0.15
	TOTAL		
<u> </u>	TOTAL	0.45	0.30

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY SCHOOL MOBILE ASSESSMENT & RESPONSE TEAM (NORTH)

Recommended Budget Summary Fiscal Year 2021-2022

OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES	
	SALARIES	_
0101	Salaries	172,000
0101	Holiday Comp	0
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	9,885
010 1, 0103	TOTAL SALARIES	181,885
	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	
0200	Retirement	63,401
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	0
0206	Retiree Medical	516
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	1,720
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	688
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	602
0306	Health Insurance	25,404
0308	Dental Insurance	1,060
0309	Life Insurance	108
0310	AD&D	48
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	0
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	1,995
0401	Medicare	2,494
0402	Executive Car Allowance	0
0403	Optional Benefit Plan	3,500
0.103	TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	101,536
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	283,421
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES	
0740		0
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge Cell Phones	0
1600		
2600/2700	Memberships Travel Training/Moetings & Conferences	0
2600/2700	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences Private Auto Mileage	0
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	0
	C. INDIRECT COST	
	INDIRECT COST DISALLOWED BY CEO	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	283,421
	POSITION	
2336AY	Deputy Attorney IV	1.00
2550/11	TOTAL	1.00
<u> </u>	-	

Note: Due to staff transfers, budget can fluctuate between each FY. Only actual will be charged.

Budget Breakdown by Units

Unit 2000 Unit 7000 w/ICRP 283,421

ORANGE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY SCHOOL MOBILE ASSESSMENT & RESPONSE TEAM (NORTH)

Recommended Budget Summary Fiscal Year 2021-2022

OBJ.	CATEGORY	BUDGET	BUDGET
		FY2021-22	FY2020-21
	A. PERSONAL SERVICES	_	
	SALARIES		
0101	Salaries	172,000	157,766
0103	Holiday Comp	0	0
0104/0105	Vacation Payoff	9,885	9,067
	TOTAL SALARIES	181,885	166,833
	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS		
0200	Retirement	63,401	52,386
0205	1.62% Retirement ER Contribution	0	0
0206	Retiree Medical	516	636
0207	Health Reimbursement Account	1,720	1,572
0204	County Paid Executive Deferred Comp	0	0
0301	Unemployment Insurance	688	0
0305	Salary Continuance Insurance	602	1,026
0306	Health Insurance	25,404	24,528
0308	Dental Insurance	1,060	1,068
0309	Life Insurance	108	48
0310	AD&D	48	24
0319	Health & Welfare Insurance	0	0
0352	Workers' Compensation Ins General	1,995	2,051
0401	Medicare	2,494	2,286
0402	Executive Car Allowance	0	0
0403	Optional Benefit Plan	3,500	2,000
	TOTAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	101,536	87,625
	PERSONAL SERVICES TOTAL	283,421	254,458
	B. OPERATING EXPENSES	_	
0740	Enterprise Telephone Service Charge	0	0
0742	Cell Phones	0	0
1600	Memberships	0	0
2600/2700 2601	Travel - Training/Meetings & Conferences	0	0
2001	Private Auto Mileage	0	0
	OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	0	
	C. INDIRECT COST		
	INDIRECT COST DISALLOWED BY CEO	0	0
	TOTAL BUDGET	283,421	254,458
	POSITION		
2336AY	Deputy Attorney IV	1.00	1.00
	TOTAL	1.00	1.00
<u> </u>			

Budget Calculations

TRUANCY RESPONSE PROGRAM

Personal Services

			REG SAL OBJ	MPP/PIP	OTHER OBJ	OTHER OBJ	OTHER	Total Retirement	DCMATCH	RRMBR OBJ	HLTH REIMB	RETIRE	DEF COMP	UNEMP	SAL CONT	HEALTH	DENTAL	LIFE OBJ	AD&D OBJ	OTHER	MEDICARE OBJ	EXEC CAR	ОВР ОВЈ		ОВЈ	
Position Code	Employee Title	Budget FTE	0101	OBJ 0110	0111 Fixed	0111 Percent	OBJ 0111	0200	OBJ 0205	0206	OBJ 0207	PCT	OBJ 0204	OBJ 0301	OBJ 0305	OBJ 0306	OBJ 0308	0309	0310	OBJ 0319	0401	OBJ 0402	0403	WC Cost	0103 HC 0104/0105	Total S&EB
R79431	2336AY	1.00	172,000.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	63,401.00	0.00	516.00	1,720.00	38.16%	0.00	688.00	602.00	25,404.00	1,060.00	108.00	48.00	0.00	2,494.00	0.00	3,500.00	1,995.00	9,885.00	283,421.00
R50809	0514SM	0.25	14,961.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	5,511.75	0.00	508.75	0.00	40.24%	0.00	59.75	0.00	3,837.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	156.00	217.00	0.00	0.00	31.50	573.00	25,855.75
R08027	0522CL	0.25	10,600.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	3,659.00	212.00	360.50	0.00	39.92%	0.00	42.50	0.00	1,650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	156.25	153.75	0.00	0.00	22.25	406.00	17,262.25
R1806638	2303GE	1.00	74,992.08	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	25,887.40	1,499.58	2,550.20	0.00	39.92%	0.00	300.33	0.00	15,564.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	625.00	1,087.53	0.00	0.00	870.23	2,873.00	126,249.33
Total:		2.50	272,553.08	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	98,459.15	1,711.58	3,935.45	1,720.00)	0.00	1,090.58	602.00	46,455.00	1,060.00	108.00	48.00	937.25	3,952.28	0.00	3,500.00	2,918.98	0.00 13,737.00	452,788.33

Operating Expenses

Cost Per Unit	Unit	FTE	Cost
\$45.01	12	1.00	540.00
\$140.40	12	2.50	4,212.00
\$637.00	1	1.00	637.00
\$500.00	1		500.00
\$500.00	1		500.00
\$500.00	1		500.00
\$0.56	2400		1,344.00
			8,233.00
	\$45.01 \$140.40 \$637.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00	\$45.01 12 \$140.40 12 \$637.00 1 \$500.00 1 \$500.00 1 \$500.00 1	\$45.01 12 1.00 \$140.40 12 2.50 \$637.00 1 1.00 \$500.00 1 \$500.00 1 \$500.00 1

TOTAL FY 20-21 PROGRAM COST	461.021.33

JUVENILE RECOVERY COURT PROGRAM

Personal Services

			REG SAL OBJ	MPP/PIP	OTHER OBJ	OTHER OBJ	OTHER	Total Retirement	DCMATCH	RRMBR OBJ	HLTH REIMB	RETIRE	DEF COMP	UNEMP	SAL CONT	HEALTH	DENTAL	LIFE OBJ	AD&D OBJ	OTHER	MEDICARE OBJ EX	XEC CAR	OBP OBJ		0	ВЈ	
Position Code	Employee Title	Budget FTE	0101	OBJ 0110	0111 Fixed	0111 Percent	OBJ 0111	0200	OBJ 0205	0206	OBJ 0207	PCT	OBJ 0204	OBJ 0301	OBJ 0305	OBJ 0306	OBJ 0308	0309	0310	OBJ 0319	0401 Ol	BJ 0402	0403	WC Cost	0103 HC 01	104/0105	Total S&EB
R79431	2336AY	0.30	51,600.00	0.0	0 0.	0.0	0.00	19,020.30	0.00	154.80	516.00	38.16%	0.00	206.40	180.60	7,621.20	0 318.00	32.40	14.40	0.00	748.20	0.00	1,050.00	598.50		2,966.00	85,026.80
R77271	0558CL	0.15	6,938.55	0.0	0 0.	0.0	0.00	2,395.20	138.75	235.95	0.00	39.92%	0.00	27.75	0.00	2,178.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	93.75	100.65	0.00	0.00	14.55		266.00	12,389.15
Total:		0.45	58,538.55	0.0	0 0.	0.0	0.00	21,415.50	138.75	390.75	516.00)	0.00	234.15	180.60	9,799.20	0 318.00	32.40	14.40	93.75	848.85	0.00	1,050.00	613.05	0.00	3,232.00	97,415.95

Position R1605892 is a Part-time position therefore, Full FTE is only 0.30 in the budget sheet.

Operating Expenses

Total:				2,186.00
Private Auto Mileage	\$0.58	1000		575.00
Travel	\$500.00	1		500.00
Bar Fee/Membership	\$637.00	1	0.30	191.00
Enterprise Telephone Service	Charge \$140.40	12	0.45	758.00
Monthly Cell Phone Service	\$45.01	12	0.30	162.00
Description	Cost Per Unit	Unit	FTE	Cost

TOTAL FY 20-21 PROGRAM COST	99,601.95

										Total																			
					REG SAL OBJ		OTHER OBJ	OTHER OBJ 0111		Retirement	: F	RMBR OBJ H	HLTH REIMB				SAL CONT	HEALTH OBJ			AD&D OBJ		MEDICARE EX	XEC CAR	WC C	de WC Rate		Pro	ojection
Unit	Position Code	Calc FTE Entered FTE Pos C	Count Position Title Employee ID	Employee Name Employee Title	0101	MPP/PIP OBJ 0110	0111 Fixed	Percent	OTHER OBJ 0111	0200	DCMATCH OBJ 0205	0206	OBJ 0207	RETIRE PCT	DEF COMP OBJ 0204	UNEMP OBJ 0301	OBJ 0305	0306	DENTAL OBJ 0308	LIFE OBJ 0309	0310	OTHER OBJ 0319	OBJ 0401 C	BJ 0402 OBP 0	BJ 0403 Fr. P	4 Fr. P.5	WC Cost T	Total S&EB Rate Group C	Jode
0262000	R79431	1 1.00	1 2336AY 0000067687	BURCHELL, COLBY 2336AY	172,000	0	0	(0 0	63,40	11 0	516	1,720	38.161%	(688	602	25,404	1,060	108	48	0	2,494	0	3,500	09 0.01160	1,995	273,536 Grp 2 Pln FY	22 SB Step 13
0267000	R50809	1 1.00	1 0536CL 0000079357	ROSPLOCK, MARCI 0514SM	59,844	0	0	(0 0	22,04	7 0	2,035	0	40.241%	(239	0	15,348	0	0	0	624	868	0	0	05 0.00210	J 126	101,131 Grp 2 Pln FY	22 SB Step 11
0267000	R08027	1 1.00	1 0522CL 0000093437	BURROUGHS, LARA 0522CL	42,400	0	0	(0 0	14,63	6 848	1,442	0	39.920%	(170) (6,600	0	0	0	625	615	0	0	05 0.00210	J 89	67,425 Grp 2 Pln FY	22 SB Step 6
0262000	R1806638	1 1.00	1 2303GE 0000089848	WASHINGTON, MISTY 2303GE	73,163	0	0	(0 0	25,25	6 1,463	2,488	0	39.920%	(293	0	15,564	0	0	0	625	1,061	0	0	09 0.01160	849	120,762 Grp 2 Pln FY	22 SB Step 4

Source: S0050_-_One_Year_SBFS_Report 1-9-21 (Vacancy Factor manually removed. SBFS version is not final version.)

JUVENILE RECOVERY COURT PROGRAM

													Total																	
								REG SAL OBJ		OTHER OBJ	OTHER OBJ 0111		Retirement	t	RRMBR OBJ	HLTH REIMB				SAL CONT	HEALTH OBJ			AD&D OBJ		MEDICARE	EXEC CAR		WC Code WC Rate	Projection
Unit	Position Code	Calc FTE Entere	ed FTE Pos Count	Position Title	Employee ID	Employee Name	Employee Title	0101	MPP/PIP OBJ 0110	0111 Fixed	Percent	OTHER OBJ 0111	0200	DCMATCH OBJ 0205	0206	OBJ 0207	RETIRE PCT	DEF COMP OBJ 0204	UNEMP OBJ 0301	OBJ 0305	0306	DENTAL OBJ 0308	LIFE OBJ 0309	0310	OTHER OBJ 031	OBJ 0401	OBJ 0402 O	BP OBJ 0403	Fr. P.4 Fr. P.5 WC	Cost Total S&EB Rate Group Code
0262000	R79431	1	1.00	1 2336AY	0000067687	BURCHELL, COLBY	2336AY	172,000	0	0	C		63,40	0 0	516	1,720	38.158%		0 68	8 602	25,404	1,060	108	48	(2,494	0	3,500	09 0.01160	1,995 273,536 Grp 2 Pln FY 22 SB Step 13
0267000	R77271	1 1	1.00	1 0559CL	0000090544	CHAVEZ, JOSE	0558CL	46,257	0	0	C) (15,96	68 925	1,573	0	39.920%		0 18	5 0	14,520	0	a	0	625	671	0	0	05 0.00210	97 80,821 Grp 2 Pln FY 22 SB Step 5

Source: S0050_-_One_Year_SBFS_Report 1-9-21 (Vacancy Factor manually removed. SBFS version is not final version.)

Max Step Deputy Attorney IV used in place of Mary Meloch, who is an Extra Help Deputy Attorney IV.



April 14, 2021

Request for Funding:

Boys & Girls Clubs of Garden Grove to be contracting body for Truancy Response Program

Program Name:

Truancy Response Program

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

TRP focuses on family education, support and resources to reduce truancy. Truancy has been shown to be shown to be a first step to a lifetime of concerns including, crime, unemployment, incarceration, and drug abuse. TRP incorporates the family into the treatment process and focuses on providing supportive services, case management, and parenting classes. TRP services are rooted in the Protective Factors framework that has been shown to increase positive outcomes for children/families and reduce the likelihood of child abuse and neglect.

Description:

TRP is a cooperative effort to address the problem of chronic truancy in Orange County. TRP focuses on chronically truant youth and their families who have failed to respond to intervention efforts at the school district level. Per AB 901 Boys and Girls Club has been asked by probation to take over their functions for intake and informal handling of the youth. The primary goal of TRP is to reduce school truancies and absences in order to increase the chance of youths' future success. The program places youth at risk for delinquency and aims to reduce the number of youth who go on to commit a crime resulting in formal 602 application. TRP provides progression of interventions up to an including formal court action. TRP will also utilize the use of interns/volunteers who are enrolled in Master or Bachelor level programs. Interns will be introduced into the Juvenile Justice system and be provided school credit for their service.

Services to be provided within TRP:

- Attendance at District Level SARB meetings
- Resource for District Level SARB meetings
- School site/Virtual Intake with Field Liaison and truant youth and parents
- Referral to BGCGG for a TRP intake for support, treatment planning/goal setting, and intervention
- Placement in parenting classes, life skills programs, or other contract interventions monitored by BGCGG

- Participation of youth and parents in Parent Empowerment Program designed to coach parents in effective discipline methods for their children
- Referrals for services such as counseling, parenting skills, basic needs provided by collaborating agencies.
- Monitoring of youth success utilizing individualized incentives
- Appropriate sealing of any records if deemed necessary
- Collaboration between county partners such as District Attorney, Juvenile Court, Social Services
 Agency, Public Defender, Probation Department and community partners (OC Department of
 Education, Waymakers, and local school districts)

Description of Participants:

TRP Program Director -

- Oversee and provide support, direction, and guidance for all aspects of TRP
- Supervise and train staff associated with TRP
- Evaluation and reporting to the DA office, Probation, SSA, Waymakers, OCDE, and others
- Participate in CWA meetings
- Regular auditing of files to ensure consistency
- Assist in the identification of additional partners to SARB process to create Collaborative Teams
- Administrative outreach and networking with School Districts and other high-level stakeholders to raise awareness and create systemic change for truancy

Field Liaisons -

- Case management of referrals
- Conduct standardized intake of referrals and set up treatment plan/contract with youth and families
- Provide wellness checks
- Provide psychoeducation and education on Truancy laws and school system
- Monitor Attendance
- Provide Parent Education as needed
- Timely reporting
- Attend district level SARB
- Participate in outreach events
- Actively build community level resource networks in appropriate SPA
- Maintain accurate and up to date case records of all services/contacts
- Attend court and TRP staffing as needed
- Recommend youth for incentives
- Collaborate with school district CWA's to ensure youth success
- Make recommendations to CWA for filing to bring youth under jurisdiction of juvenile court rehabilitative efforts
- Participate in Team Meetings regarding client progress

Parent/Teen Empowerment Instructors

- Provide Parent and Teen Empowerment Courses
- Report data back to field liaison
- Participate in Team Meeting regarding client progress
- Ensure curriculum is followed and add relevant/timely information
- Make reminder phone calls
- Create and distribute certificates of completion

Intake Coordinator -

- Single point of entry for referrals from SARB
- Gather information and create client files
- Screen for Serious Emotional Disturbance and appropriate referral
- Tracking and data entry of referrals
- Assignment of referrals to appropriate Field Liaison
- Make appointments/register for classes and/or intakes
- Data evaluation

Clinical/Field Instructor

- Oversee Master level interns assigned to project
- Oversee BA level interns assigned to project
- Complete field visits with universities
- Provide clinical supervision individual/group
- Provide training as applicable

Vice President Community Impact

- Oversee all aspects of TRP program
- Ensure contractual obligations are met
- Collaborate and network to encourage broader awareness and participation in Truancy support
- Leverage resources to enhance success of program

Interns/Volunteers

- Provide support for parent and teen empowerment classes
- Assist with Wellness Calls
- Provide support for psychoeducational classes
- Attend SARB if needed
- Attend Outreach events
- Case Manage SARB level youth

Finance Manager

- Invoicing
- Supply Purchasing
- Monitoring expenditures
- Prepare audit

Proposed Annual Budget for Truancy Response Program												
Boys & Girls Clubs of Garden Grove												
FTE Detail by Position Type												
	FTE	VP, Community Impact Programs	0.10									
	FTE	TRP Program Director	1.00									
	FTE	Intake Coordinator	0.50									
	FTE	Field Liaison	1.00									
	FTE	Field Liaison	1.00									
	FTE	Field Liaison	1.00									
	FTE	Parent Instructor	1.00									
	FTE	Clinical Supervisor	0.25									
	FTE	Finance and Accounting	0.10									
			-									
		Sub-Total FTE Detail by Position	5.95									
Salary & Employee Benefits												
	S&EB	VP, Community Impact Programs	14333.00									
	S&EB	TRP Program Director	62317.00									
	S&EB	Intake Coordinator	20030.00									
	S&EB	Field Liaison	51189.00									
	S&EB	Field Liaison	51189.00									
	S&EB	Field Liaison	51189.00									
	S&EB	Parent Instructor	44512.00									
	S&EB	Clinical/Field Supervisor	27820.00									
	S&EB	Finance and Accounting	5564.00									
			-									
			-									
		Sub-Total Salary & Employee Benefits	328143.00									
Services & Supplies												
	S&S	Cell phones 4@\$500/year	2000.00									
	S&S	Database Usage \$300/month	3600.00									
	S&S	Office Supplies \$2000/year	2000.00									
	S&S	Individualized Incentives	35000.00									
	S&S	Mileage - 3600/per year	2088.00									
	S&S	Training & Conferences	1500.00									
	S&S	One Time Equipment - 3 Laptop	2500.00									
	S&S	One Time Equipment - Zoom Camera	1500.00									
	S&S	Teleconferencing annual subscription	350.00									

		-
	Sub-Total Service & Supplies	50538.00
	Sub-Total Expenses	378681.00
Indirect Costs		
	Insurance, human resources, audit	22720.86
		-
	Sub-Total Indirect Costs	22720.86
	Total Expenses	401401.86

^{*}Annual budget – does not reflect 3% COLA increase

Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) Budget Variance Details

	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22		
PROGRAM DETAILS	Approved Budget	Approved Budget	Variance	Explanation on Variance
Substance Use Disorder				
Probation	\$ 4,964,595	\$ 7,135,225	\$ 2,170,630	Variance due to fully funding current program services as described in the JJCPA plan that are applicable and critical to the program.
Health Care Agency	510,000	587,909	77,909	Increases in salary & employee benefits (S&EB)
Total Substance Use Disorder	5,474,595	7,723,134	2,248,539	
Juvenile Recovery Court				
Probation	346,477	371,277	24,800	Variance due to an increase in salaries and benefits and contracted services for drug testing.
Health Care Agency	360,000	360,000	-	
Public Defender	50,000	50,000	-	
District Attorney	87,761	99,602	11,841	Increases in S&EB
Total Juvenile Recovery Court	844,238	880,879	36,641	
Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention				
Probation	22,280	15,842	(6,438)	Variance due to a decrease in Probation staffing currently assigned to the program.
Orange County Sheriff's Department	350,705	363,681	12,976	Anticipated increase in cost of services.
Total Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention	372,985	379,523	6,538	
Truancy Response				
Probation	440,670	54,593	(386,077)	Variance due to a decrease in all Probation services to be provided for the program resulting from the passage of AB 901, effective September 30, 2020.
Public Defender	175,000	175,000	-	
District Attorney	304,297	345,612	41,315	Increases in S&EB
Total Truancy Response	919,967	575,205	(344,762)	
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (South)				
Probation	10,139	•	(10,139)	Variance due to support previously provided by Probation's Research Department no longer being necessary for the program.
Orange County Sheriff's Department	1,133,071	1,486,256	353,185	Increase in S&EB costs and addition of 1 Sergeant position
Total SMART (South) Team	1,143,210	1,486,256	343,046	
School Mobile Assessment & Response Team (North)				
Probation	85,781	23,369	(62,412)	currently assigned to the program.
Orange County Sheriff's Department	1,296,597	1,032,551	(264,046)	FY 2021-22 allocated funds for North SMART was for six (6) months vs FY 2020-21 allocated funds was for one year. FY 2021-22 Budget also includes re-budget from FY 2020-21 unspent funds.
District Attorney	265,084	283,421	18,337	Increases in S&EB
Total SMART (North) Team	1,647,462	1,339,341	(308,121)	
Youth Reporting Centers				
Probation	4,533,233	3,966,773	(566,460)	provided for the program which includes a reduction in contracted services resulting from identifying alternative resources, and a reduction in staffing assigned to the program.
Health Care Agency	347,003	372,000	24,997	Increases in S&EB
Total Youth Reporting Centers	4,880,236	4,338,773	(541,463)	
Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)				
Probation	500,000	500,000	-	
Total ARRIVE	500,000	500,000	-	
School Threat Assessment Team Training				
Orange County Sheriff's Department	63,750	-	(63,750)	No budget allocation for FY 2021-22
Total School Threat Assessment Team	63,750	-	(63,750)	
Administrative Cost (0.5%)	63,065	69,885	6,820	
	03,003	09,000	0,020	
Total Funding Proposed for Programs	\$ 15,909,508	\$ 17,292,996	\$ 1,383,488	

	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22		
DEPARTMENT SUMMARY	Approved Budget	Approved Budget	Variance	Explanation on Variance
Probation	10,903,175	12,067,079		Variance primarily due to full funding for SUD and aligning
				Probation's budget with changes in levels of services due to
				legislation, assigned staffing, and contracted services.
Orange County Sheriff's Department	2,844,123	2,882,488		FY 2021-22 Budget is higher than FY 2020-21 Budget due to the
				increased S&EB, anticipated increase in cost of services and the
				addition of one Sergeant position.
Health Care Agency	1,217,003	1,319,909	102,906	Increases in S&EB
Public Defender	225,000	225,000	-	
District Attorney	657,142	728,635	71,493	Increases in S&EB
Administrative Cost (0.5%)	63,065	69,885	6,820	
Total Funding Proposed for Departments	15,909,508	17,292,996	1,383,488	

Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act & Youthful Offender Block Grant (JJCPA-YOBG)

Consolidated Annual Plan


Date:		
County Name:	Orange	
Contact Name:	Dat Thom	a:
Telephone Num	ber: 71	4-834-2:
E-Mail Address:	1	

Instructions:

Government Code Section 30061(b)(4) and Welfare & Institution Code Section 1961(b) call for consolidation of the annual plans required for JJCPA and YOBG.

Please submit your most up-to-date consolidated plan. The following is a standardized template for a consolidated county plan. If you find it helpful to use this template, please do so. Each field must be completed before submitting your plan to the BSCC. If you have nothing to report for a field, please indicate "N/A." At the end of the template please press the "Submit" button to be recorded with the BSCC. Your work will be saved each time you log in, if you need to make any edits.

Your submission will be posted, as submitted, to the BSCC website.



Juvenile Justice Plan

Part I. Countywide Service Needs, Priorities and Strategy

- A. Assessment of Existing Services
- **B. Identifying and Prioritizing Focus Areas**
- **C. Juvenile Justice Action Strategy**
- **D. Comprehensive Plan Revisions**

Part II. Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)

- A. Information Sharing and Data Collection
- **B. Juvenile Justice Coordinating Councils**
- C. Funded Programs, Strategies and/or System Enhancements

Part III. Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG)

- A. Strategy for Non-707(b) Offenders
- **B. Regional Agreements**
- C. Funded Programs, Placements, Services, Strategies and/or System Enhancements

Part I. Service Needs, Priorities & Strategy

A. Assessment of Existing Services

Include here an assessment of existing law enforcement, probation, education, mental health, health, social services, drug and alcohol, and youth services resources that specifically target atrisk juveniles, juvenile offenders, and their families.

Orange County law enforcement consists of 26 city police agencies, the Orange County Sheriff's Department, the Probation Department, the District Attorney, and the Public Defender's Office.

In addition to local school districts, the Orange County Department of Education (OCDE) provides educational services. OCDE provides alternative schools for youth who have been unsuccessful in the local school districts and in the Probation Department operated juvenile facilities.

The Orange County Health Care Agency (HCA) provides mental health and substance use therapy at community clinics throughout Orange County, in youth reporting centers, and in juvenile facilities. HCA and the Orange County Social Services Agency (SSA) also provide wraparound services for youth involved in the juvenile dependency/delinquency system.

There are many community-based organizations that collaborate in working with system-involved youth and their families, including the Orange County Bar Foundation, Waymakers, Padres Unidos, Boy's and Girl's Club of Garden Grove, Project Kinship, various faith-based organizations and many other secular human service organizations.

Describe what approach will be used to facilitate collaboration among the organizations listed above and support the integration of services.

Juvenile Justice Services are coordinated by various multi-agency collaborative groups, including the Orange County Juvenile Justice Commission, the Orange County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, and the Orange County Superior Court Blue Ribbon Commission. These bodies make determinations and provide oversight in the use of resources and the initiatives undertaken to address juvenile dependency/delinquency services.

B. Identifying and Prioritizing Focus Areas

Identify and prioritize the neighborhoods, schools, and other areas of the county that face the most significant public safety risk from juvenile crime.

One of the priorities has been to focus intervention and services to the zip codes identified as having the highest arrest rates in Orange County. These zip codes are all located in the cities of Santa Ana and Anaheim, the two (2 largest cities by population in Orange County). These zip codes include areas with diverse populations and problems with gang activity, narcotics sales, and abuse. The Probation Department, the local law enforcement and our community-based partners have targeted these areas for human services interventions, as well as law enforcement suppression activities.

C. Juvenile Justice Action Strategy

Describe your county's juvenile justice action strategy. Include an explanation of your county's continuum of responses to juvenile crime and delinquency as well as a description of the approach used to ensure a collaborative and integrated approach for implementing a system of swift, certain, and graduated responses for at-risk youth and juvenile offenders.

The Orange County Juvenile Justice Strategy begins with a citation or arrest by a law enforcement agency. The Probation Department screens cases through the Non-Custody and Custody Intake process.

Should formal court handling be required, the court has various dispositional options: informal handling, deferred entry of judgment, or wardship; and with or without probation supervision. Whenever possible, youth are diverted from the juvenile justice system. The Probation Department operates under the risk/needs responsivity principle where the focus is on working with high-risk youth. Graduated sanctions are used to ensure an appropriate response to delinquent behavior. The Probation Department has developed non-custodial sanctions, including Youth Reporting Center locations (day reporting centers providing on-site school and treatment programs). Probation Officers act as case managers, making referrals to community-based organizations or other county agencies to provide services, such as mental health, counseling, substance use counseling, gang intervention, or wraparound services. Probation Officers also provide cognitive-behavioral interventions proven effective at reducing recidivism,

such as Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS). Probation Officers also offer incentives to youth for positive behavior and compliance with terms and conditions of probation. Should a court order a commitment to a juvenile facility, the Probation Department operates Juvenile Hall for secure detention, as well as two (2) camp facilities where committed youth receive treatment services. The treatment provided includes mental health counseling, substance use counseling, sex offender treatment, and cognitive-behavioral programs, including Aggression Replacement Training, Thinking for a Change, Decision Points, and EPICS.

The Orange County Probation Department has been involved with Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative resulting in a significant drop in overall juvenile crime and the use of secure and non-secure detention beds, and a significant increase in the use of diversion programs and evidence-based practices proven to reduce recidivism.

D. Comprehensive Plan Revisions

Describe how your Plan has been updated for this year.

The Annual Plan is a collaborative effort across county departments and highlights the programming in place under the JJCPA. The Annual Plan's main county contributors include the District Attorney's Office, the Health Care Agency, the Sheriff's Department, the Public Defender's Office, and the Probation Department. County departments request funding under the JJCPA programs based on State available funding. Funding requests are evaluated by the County Budget Office and a recommendation is made to the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council. Each year, the Annual Plan, including the budget allocations, is approved by the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, which includes input from the non-county/community-based organizations.


Part II. Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA)

A. Information Sharing and Data

Describe your information systems and their ability to facilitate the sharing of data across agencies within your county. Describe the data obtained through these systems and how those data are used to measure the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies.

The Probation Department operates a client management system that was developed internally. The system includes a validated risk/needs assessment to determine a youth's risk to recidivate. The system provides access to a comprehensive set of data on Court orders, recidivism, probation violations, contact information, family information, interventions, resource referrals, substance use, gang involvement, and special needs. Information is shared with HCA and SSA based on the need to share data in order to provide appropriate services. The Probation Department's Research Division extracts data from the system to provide required reports and to assist management in making data-driven decisions.

B. Juvenile Justice Coordinating Councils



• Yes

If no, please list the current vacancies that exist on your JJCC, when those vacancies occurred, and your plan for filling them.

The Orange County JJCC (OCJJCC) has a current vacant council member, the Business Representative. The OCJJCC is expected to fill this seat.

C. Funded Programs, Strategies and/or System Enhancements

Using the spaces below describe each program, strategy and/or system enhancement that will be supported with funding from JJCPA, identifying anything that is co-funded with Youthful Offender Block (YOBG) funding. At the end of each program description press the "Add Funded Programs" button to include additional programs. If you wish to remove a program, please use the "Remove Funded Programs" button.

JJCPA Funded Program, Strategy and/or System Enhancement

Please use the "Add Funded Programs" button to add as many times as needed to capture every program, strategy and system enhancement you plan to fund next year.

Program Name:

Juvenile Recovery Court

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) is based on a model where an interactive judicial officer leads an interdisciplinary team, including the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation, HCA clinicians, and parents to address a youth's substance use issues. The model has been shown effective nationally. The research conducted by the Probation Department has shown reduced recidivism and substance use.

Description:

JRC is a collaborative program for youthful offenders demonstrating an escalating pattern of drug and alcohol use. JRC provides intensive supervision and treatment for substance use to these youth as an alternative to incarceration. There are five (5) programs phases, including an initial 30-day orientation period. The primary JRC goals are to increase sobriety and reduce recidivism while reducing the reliance on incarceration. Participants can complete the program in a minimum of six (6) months. When a youth graduates, all charges and stayed time are dismissed and wardship is terminated.

Services provided within JRC include:

- Participation in weekly individual and group therapy sessions.
- Attendance at weekly self-help meetings.
- Weekly reporting to the probation officer for progress checks and drug testing.
- Regular attendance in school with no behavior problems reported.
- Compliance with all court-ordered terms and conditions and regularly scheduled weekly, bimonthly, or monthly court appearances for progress reviews.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Orange County Board of Supervisors.
- Collaboration between county partners, such as District Attorney, Health Care Agency, Juvenile Court, Public Defender, Probation Department, and community partners (Parent Empowerment Program).

Program Successes:

A youth began his journey to recovery on October 17, 2019, after joining the JRC program at the age of 17. He grew up in a home where he experienced and lived through domestic violence. After his parent's relationship ended, the youth, his mother, and younger brother moved in with his maternal grandmother. When the youth was 14, his father relinquished all parental rights and cut off all contact with the youth, which was about the same time the youth's mother passed away. The youth and his younger brother continued living with his maternal grandmother, but the youth's life began to spiral downward. He went from being a high school football star to the known druggie on campus. He began using alcohol, marijuana, and cocaine daily and experimented with LSD, Xanax, methamphetamine, mushrooms, Ecstasy, and Lean. He began having mental health issues and was hospitalized twice for suicide attempt. In 2019, the youth's drug use escalated to a point where he committed crimes to support his addiction. This eventually

led him to being placed on probation and given the opportunity to participate in the JRC program. Upon entering the program, he received a plethora of support. Specifically, he was assigned a JRC/HCA therapist, participated in JRC/HCA group therapy, enrolled into a wraparound program, participated in character building programs, had weekly court appearances, and was drug tested a minimum of 3 times per week. The youth responded extremely well to the program's structure and became one of the program's top participants. He had a few setbacks in the program by testing positive for Cocaine on one occasion and violating a protective order, but nothing that took him off track. He successfully completed the JRC program on May 6, 2020, and was a high school graduate, employed part-time, and enrolled in community college.

Program Name:

Decentralized Intake/Sheriff's Prevention Program

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The Decentralized Intake (DCI) Program is modeled after diversion programs, which attempt to minimize the effects of labeling, associated with offending and limit the opportunities youth have to associate with antisocial peers by reducing their contact and exposure to the juvenile justice system. Evidence-based principles of the Risk/Needs/Responsivity model support minimizing intervention by the juvenile justice system for lower risk offenders.

Description:

DCI increases the level of counseling and diversion services for at-risk youth in the unincorporated areas and cities serviced by the Sheriff's Department. DCI staff offers timely assessment and a progression of intervention services to youth and their families near their homes. The primary goal of DCI is to reduce the number of at-risk youth that progress further in the juvenile justice system through prompt assessment and linkage to appropriate services at the earliest possible point.

Services provided within DCI include:

- Expedited processing of youth arrested and referred to needed resources.
- Referral of DCI youth and their families to local resources, programs, and classes for appropriate intervention services when possible.
- Informal consultations among the on-site operations staff for purposes of making more informed decisions about certain cases.
- Collaboration between county partners, such as Sheriff's Department, Probation Department, and community partners (Pepperdine Resource, Youth Diversion and Education (PRYDE)).

Program Successes:

On Feb 1, 2021, a youth was placed on a DCI contract and directed to pay restitution. The youth was initially arrested for stealing alcohol from a grocery store. Since that incident, the youth's mother reported that the youth has done much better at home and got a part-time job. Subsequently, the youth paid the restitution in full and his informal contract was fulfilled. Subsequently, the youth satisfactorily completed DCI, his petition was dismissed, and his record was sealed.

Program Name:

Truancy	Res	ponse	Prod	ram

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The Truancy Response Program (TRP) focuses on family education, support, and resource referrals to reduce truancy. Parent education and support programs have been shown to have a statistically significant impact on recidivism. Truancy has also been shown to be a stepping-stone to substance use and criminal behavior. By providing families with supportive services aimed at reducing truancy, criminal behavior is reduced.

Description:

TRP is a cooperative effort to address the problem of chronic truancy in Orange County schools. TRP focuses on chronically truant youth and their families in a Three Tier Approach who have failed to respond to the traditional efforts at the school district level "SARB". A primary goal of TRP is to reduce school truancies and absences in order to increase the chance of youths' future success. The program prioritizes youth at risk for delinquency and aims to reduce the number of youths who go on to commit a crime resulting in a formal 602 application. TRP provides progression of interventions up to and including formal court action.

Services provided within TRP include three tiers:

First Tier SARB:

- Mandatory attendance of truant youth and their parents at school-based group parent meetings conducted by the District Attorney.
- District Attorney to attend SARB meetings based on availability and invitation by individual district "SARB".
- Community Partners attend SARB based on availability and invitation by individual district "SARB".
- Referrals for services, such as counseling, parenting skills, and basic housing and shelter needs are provided by collaborating agencies and individual district "SARB".

Second Tier CBO informal intake and diversion:

- Referral to CBO from SARB for a TRP intake evaluation for informal handling.
- Placement in one of several "pre-court" TRP interventions monitored by CBO.

Third Tier Formal Filing:

- Referral by SARB "School Districts" to DA for potential filing.
- Court-ordered placement of the youth on 725 W&I and/or prosecution of the parents. If terminated unsuccessfully, may result in 602 W&I.

Court-ordered participation of both youth and parents in a Parent Empowerment Program workshop designed to coach parents in effective discipline methods for their children.

Referrals for services, such as counseling, parenting skills, and basic housing and shelter needs are provided for truancy court families by collaborating agencies.

Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Collaboration between court partners, such as District Attorney, Juvenile Court, SSA, Public Defender, and community partners (OCDE, HCA, Waymakers, Boy's and Girl's Club of Garden Grove, and local school districts).

Program Name:

School Mobile Assessment and Response Team

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART) is an early intervention and prevention program. SMART is focused on involvement with families and youth to prevent school-based violence and delinquency. The use of a threat assessment tool assists in determining the appropriate level of intervention needed. Family support, resource referrals, and diversion have all been shown to be effective in reducing delinquent behaviors.

Description:

SMART was established to reduce crime and violence by youth on, near, or affecting school campuses in the Southern areas of Orange County. SMART works in conjunction with Orange County Municipal Police Departments, various collaborative partners, and agencies on incidents related to violence, threats, possession and/or use of weapons, unstable behaviors and suicidal actions or tendencies. SMART members respond day or night to calls from school and community personnel reporting violence or threats of violence. Each call for service results in an assessment of the situation, a threat assessment as needed (including home searches for weapons) and referrals to law enforcement, diversion programs, or other alternative services. The goal of SMART is to prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence through education and awareness, preempting likely instances of violence through threat assessment, and responding quickly and effectively to violence on or around school campuses.

Services provided within SMART include the following:

- Conduct threat assessments at the school and/or community site
- Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services
- Investigate criminal acts and make arrests if necessary or recommend to a diversion program
- Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine
- Collaboration between county partners, such as Orange County Sheriff's Department, Probation Department, District Attorney, Health Care Agency, and community partners (local school districts).

Program Name:

North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (NSMART) is an early intervention and prevention program focused on involvement with families and youth to prevent school-based violence and delinquency. The use of a threat assessment tool assists in determining the appropriate level of intervention needed. Family support, resource referrals, and diversion have all been shown to be effective in reducing delinquent behaviors.

Description:

NSMART is established to reduce crime and violence by youth on, near, or affecting school campuses in the Central and Northern areas of Orange County. NSMART works in conjunction with Orange County Municipal Police Departments, various collaborative partners, and agencies on incidents related to violence, threats, possession and/or use of weapons, unstable behaviors and suicidal actions or tendencies. NSMART members respond day or night to calls from school and community personnel reporting violence or threats of violence. Each call for service results in an assessment of the situation, a threat assessment as needed (including home searches for weapons) and referrals to law enforcement, diversion programs, or other alternative services. The NSMART goal is to prevent and/or detect the precursors to violence through education and awareness, preempting likely instances of violence through threat assessment, and responding quickly and effectively to violence on or around school campuses.

Services provided within NSMART include:

- Conduct threat assessments at school and/or community site.
- Refer at-risk youth to appropriate community resources for assessment and intervention services.
- Investigate criminal acts and make arrests, if necessary, or recommend the juvenile to a diversion program.
- Maintain safety and security to the school and return staff and students to their daily routine.
- Work with the dedicated Orange County Deputy District Attorney as a member of NSMART.
 A specifically trained Deputy District Attorney will assist with handling threats of targeted violence on school grounds.

Program Name:

Orange County School Threat Assessment Team

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The Orange County School Threat Assessment Team (OCSTAT) is an intervention and prevention program focused on involvement with families and youth to prevent school based violence and delinquency. The use of a threat assessment tool assists in determining the appropriate level of intervention needed. Family support, resource referrals, and diversion have all been shown to be effective in reducing delinquent behaviors.

Description:

OCSTAT is a collaboration between county partners, such as Sheriff's Department, Orange County Municipal Police Departments, Probation Department, District Attorney, HCA, and community partners (local school districts).

Services provided within OCSTAT include:

- Participate in monthly meetings.
- Provide ongoing training and education in the field of threats of targeted violence on school grounds.
- Participate in outreach to raise awareness and education in the community about threats of targeted violence on school grounds.
- Enhance public safety and welfare of the public in protecting the rights of victims and be reducing juvenile crimes through effective prevention, intervention, and rehabilitative service in a just, honest, ethical, and efficient manner.
- Thoroughly analyze and when appropriate file criminal charges to bring youth under the jurisdiction of the juvenile courts and rehabilitative efforts.
- Refer appropriate cases to rehabilitative programs aimed at early intervention and reduction of risk of future harm to the community.

Program Name:

Υ	outh	Re	portin	g Ce	nters

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The Youth Reporting Centers (YRCs) are day reporting centers that include a multidisciplinary team. The OCDE provides school instruction and HCA clinicians provide individual and group therapy for youth. Probation Department utilizes best practices, cognitive-behavioral interventions and programming, including Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) and Decision Points to impact behavioral change in the youth. Probation Officers refer youth in violation of their terms and conditions of probation to the YRC in lieu of filing for formal violation. This diverts youth from formal court handling as well as provides them with programming and services that target criminogenic risk factors.

Description:

The YRCs mission and goal is to reduce the use of secure detention by providing a highly structured community-based alternative confinement program. The staff at the YRC strive to promote lawful and productive lifestyles of its students by providing proven intervention and programming.

The YRCs operate within the local community to provide the youth population with the opportunity to modify poor behavior and learn the skills needed to comply with their court orders and terms of probation. The youth attend a full academic program and participate in afternoon group counseling, individual counseling, and random drug testing with an emphasis on obtaining and maintaining sobriety. On-site job coaches assist youth in seeking, obtaining, and maintaining employment as well as vocational training access. The YRCs also provide an alternative to the traditional incarceration model. Youth receive support services during the day and return home on alternative monitoring versus confinement in a juvenile facility.

Services provided within the YRCs include:

- On-site school.
- Drug and alcohol use assessment and counseling.
- Mental health assessment and treatment.
- Cognitive behavioral intervention programs.
- Family services and parenting education.
- Gang intervention counseling.
- Community service and enrichment activities.
- Meals.
- Transportation to and from home to the site.
- Close supervision on the site and supervision in the community.
- Alternative monitoring (such as electronic monitoring) of youth in the community.

- Accountability Commitment program.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.
- Collaboration between county partners, such as OCDE, HCA, and Probation Department.

Program Successes:

During the COVID-19 pandemic, program services were largely provided remotely due to State ordered closures. Throughout this period, staff remained receptive to assisting program-enrolled youth utilizing all available resources. Site staff were able to prepare and assist youth in proper set up for remote learning prior to the start of the school year. Stations were organized for youth to pick up their remote learning supplies and staff were able to assist the youth in setting up equipment, such as computers and hot spots. This enabled youth to become familiar and confident in using the equipment prior to remote classes beginning. Staff also worked with youth to sew cloth face coverings at a time when procuring masks was not yet easily accessible at stores.

Program Name:

Substance Use Programming

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

Substance Use Programming includes programs tailored to both male youth and female youth. Programs are based on the Therapeutic Community model for substance use treatment programs with the addition of the Aggression Replacement Training cognitive-behavior program specific to addressing criminal recidivism. Youth in the program receive individual therapy focusing on the treatment of co-occurring disorders and cognitive-behavioral therapy. Family therapy is provided based on an assessment of needs by the clinician. Research has shown that strategies that target criminal thinking and substance use reduces the likelihood of reoffending by individuals assessed to be at high risk to recidivate.

Description:

Substance Use Programs provide intensive drug and alcohol use intervention for male and female youthful offenders who have custody commitments and a history of drug and/or alcohol use. Substance Use Programming provides gender-specific services in a custodial setting. The primary goals of the programs are to reduce the likelihood of these offenders to recidivate, avoiding further delinquency and a pattern of adult crime. The program integrates a multidisciplinary intervention and education model that is based on a national substance use treatment program.

Services provided within the Substance Use Programs include:

- Comprehensive and intensive substance use assessment and treatment services, drug counseling by clinical psychologists, and alcohol and drug use services counseling by clinicians trained to treat substance use disorders.
- Integrated case assessment and planning involving unit staff, education staff and collateral resources
- Multidisciplinary education lab that provides computerized diagnostic evaluation of reading, language arts, and math competencies.
 - Occupational training and job placement services.
- Assessment of academic skills and development of an individualized plan to address skill deficits by a school counselor.
- Gender-specific programming that includes individualized and group counseling services and women's issues discussion groups.
 - Expanded use of the Just Beginnings parenting education curriculum.
 - Mentoring and counseling support services during post-release.
 - Centralized oversight of the program by a unit coordinator.
- Monthly case conferences with the youth and treatment team to discuss youth's progress in the program and transition plan for release back into the community.

- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.
- Collaboration between county partners, such as HCA, Probation Department, community partners (Department of Education/Safe Schools, Project Kinship, Santiago Canyon College, Cypress College, Fullerton College), and the Orange County Bar Foundation.

Program Successes:

Probation's camp facility, Youth Guidance Center (YGC), houses female youth that have been court ordered to the Sobriety Through Education and Prevention program (STEP), a program within Substance Use Programming. Over the past year, the camp has had four female youth successfully graduate and receive their High School Diplomas. A small ceremony to recognize the youths' achievements included pictures in their caps and gowns. One female graduate has since continued her education with online college courses, successfully maintaining passing grades, and family relationships. Another female graduate transitioned to a residential/sober living home. She continues to remain sober and successful in the program.

Program Name:

Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement

Evidence Upon Which It Is Based:

The Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE) program focuses on family strength training and individualized support to wards of the court that are at risk of reincarceration. This program intends to target and provide services to juvenile probationers (i.e., ages 12 to 18) that do not meet the eligibility criteria for similar types of programs, such as the Youthful Offender Wraparound (YOW) program. Youth in the ARRIVE program will be required to participate in individualized and multi-systemic team meetings to review progress on case plan goals. Research has indicated parent education along with risk, need, responsivity support to high risk youthful offenders has a significant impact on reducing long term recidivism.

Description:

The ARRIVE program is a collaborative program consisting of county agencies (e.g., Probation Department, HCA) and contracted community partners that offers individualized/group support to probation youth and their families that exhibit an increase in probation violation type of behavior (e.g., drug use, truancy, criminal behavior). The primary focus of the ARRIVE program is to immediately address any deleterious behavior, stabilize the family unit, and prepare the youth and his/her family for life beyond probation supervision.

Mandatory requirements for youth in the ARRIVE program include:

- Participation in bi-weekly multi-system meetings with youth partner, individual mental health care worker, and Probation to review progress towards case plan goals.
 - Attendance in weekly meetings with mental health care worker.
 - Regular reporting to probation officer for progress checks.
- Regular attendance in pro-social activities (e.g., community service projects, regular school attendance).
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Part III. Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG)

A. Strategy for Non-707(b) Offenders

Describe your county's overall strategy for dealing with non-707(b) youthful offenders who are not eligible for commitment to the Division of Juvenile Justice. Explain how this Plan relates to or supports that strategy.

The Probation Department employs various strategies to address non-707(b) offenders. Probation provides secure detention as well as community supervision, including the use of youth reporting centers, electronic monitoring for at-home pre-adjudicated youth, and the facilitation of foster care placement for youthful offenders.

In custody and community, supervised youth are provided a broad range of treatment and rehabilitative programs to meet individual needs. Staff are trained in Evidence Based Practices and provide supervision based on a validated risk/needs assessment to determine an appropriate level of intervention. Probation collaborates with various community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, and many other secular human service organizations.

B. Regional Agreements

Describe any regional agreements or arrangements to be supported with YOBG funds.

Orange County does not currently have regional agreements as part of its YOBG funded services. Being a large urban county, the needs of the youth in Orange County are significant and there is no need for a regional approach to services with other counties at this time.

C. Funded Programs, Placements, Services, Strategies and/or System Enhancements

Using the spaces below, describe the programs, placements, services, strategies, and system enhancements to be funded through the YOBG program. Explain how they complement or coordinate with the programs, strategies and system enhancements to be funded through the JJCPA program.

At the end of each program description press the "Add Funded Programs" button to include additional programs. If you wish to remove a program, please use the "Remove Funded Programs" button.

YOBG Funded Program, Placement, Service, Strategy and/or System Enhancement

Please use the "Add Funded Programs" button to add as many times as needed to capture every program, placement, service, strategy, and system enhancement you plan to fund next year.

Program Name:

Juvenile Facilities Programming

Nature of Coordination with JJCPA:

N/A

Description:

Juvenile Facilities Programming provides institutional and camp programming at the Juvenile Hall facility and Camp facilities. Each facility provides similar evidence-based cognitive-behavioral treatment programs. Youth participate in a tiered phase level system of various programs. Programs provide continuum of response for the in-custody treatment of youth. Camps target youth based on age, gender, criminogenic risk factors and/or commitment length. Specific programs within the facilities target youth who require a higher level of need for transition and reentry services. Programs include, but are not limited to, sex offender therapy and counseling, pre-camp readiness, gang intervention, Progressive Rehabilitation in a Dynamic Environment (PRIDE), and Leadership Education through Active Development (LEAD).

Services provided within Juvenile Facilities Programming include:

- Cognitive behavioral treatment programs to assist in-custody youth with their rehabilitation.
- Aggression preplacement training.
- Decision Points and Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS).
- Just Beginnings parenting program and baby visits sponsored by the Youth Law Center (available to all eligible youth).
 - Individual and group counseling.
 - Therapy provided by a licensed clinician.
 - Drug/Alcohol & Mental Health counseling.
 - Educational & Vocation services to address each youth's social and behavioral needs.
 - Assistance for college enrollment, employment and family reunification.
 - Other evidence-based programming.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success, including incentives as included in Probation Juvenile Incentives program as approved by the Board of Supervisors.
- Collaboration between county partners, such as HCA, Probation Department, community partners (Department of Education/Safe Schools, Project Kinship, Padres Unidos, Santiago Canyon College), and the Orange County Bar Foundation.

YOBG Funded Program, Placement, Service, Strategy and/or System Enhancement

Program Name:

Pre-Detention and Pre-Disposition Program	

Nature of Coordination with JJCPA:

N/A			

Description:

The Pre-Detention and Pre-Disposition Program provides a continuum of strategies to reduce the use of incarceration while providing for electronic monitoring and supervision of youth at home while awaiting adjudication of their cases. Using a validated risk assessment instrument to determine which youth can be safely released home under this program protects the community and allows secure detention beds to be used only for high-risk offenders. All participants in the program are supervised utilizing electronic monitoring equipment. This allows pre-adjudicated wards to be served in a community-based setting rather than being detained with youth assessed to be high-risk offenders. Youth are held accountable to the rules of the program and expected to attend school according to their school's schedule as well as comply with all counseling orders from the court.

Services provided within the Pre-Detention and Pre-Disposition Program include:

- Supporting youth in the community and in their homes.
- Face-to-face contact between officers and youth assigned to their caseloads.
- Risk assessment tools used to screen youth for eligibility in the program.
- Effective Practices in Community Supervisions (EPICS).
- Electronic Monitoring, which includes 24/7 GPS and radio frequency monitoring for select youthful offenders as a deterrent and enhancement tool in community supervision.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.

YOBG Funded Program, Placement, Service, Strategy and/or System Enhancement

Program Nan	1e:			
Community S	Supervision			
Nature of Coo	ordination with J.	JCPA:		
N/A				

Description:

Formal supervision provides reentry and post-release community supervision for youth who have committed high-risk offenses that may have resulted in commitments to the Division of Juvenile Justice of California prior to realignment. Designated probation officers work with youth representing the highest risk and needs. The primary goal is to provide reentry services and effective supervision prior to and/or following release from a juvenile facility.

The youth served are supervised on probation caseloads and are wards of the court or under prewardship programs, such as diversion and non-ward probation. The youth receive risk and need assessments, which are used to assess level of supervision. Probation officers are trained in the use of Evidence-Based Practices.

Services provided within Community Supervision include:

- Thinking for a Change (T4C), EPICS, and Decision Points, which assist youth in successful reentry into the community.
 - Progress checks and random drug testing.
- Electronic Monitoring, which includes 24/7 GPS and radio frequency monitoring for select youthful offenders as a deterrent and enhancement tool in community supervision.
- Reentry/Aftercare Services, which includes field supervision of wards who are released into the community by conducting random home calls, resource referrals and case management services to youth and their families.
- Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success utilizing incentives as included in the Probation Juvenile Incentives program approved by the Board of Supervisors.