#### SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

# SPECIAL MEETING AD HOC COMMITTEE ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

Wednesday, March 17, 2021, 4:00 P.M.

Bryan Prieto, Chair

Probation

**Lynn Garrett** 

**Education Representative** 

Jose Pelayo

Sheriff-Coroner

**Ken Santini** 

Social Services Agency

**Darren Thompson**Public Defender

**Kimberly Doyle** 

District Attorney

Meghan Medlin

At Large Community Representative

**Nazly Restrepo** 

Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

**Dawn Smith** 

Health Care Agency

ATTENDANCE: Members Doyle, Garrett, Pelayo, Prieto, Restrepo, Santini, Smith and Thompson (all members participated via Zoom)

EXCUSED: Member Medlin

CLERK OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE: Jamie Ross & Sonia Acuna, Deputy Clerks (participated via Zoom)

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS**: (Items 1 - 5)

1. Welcome and Introductions

# MEETING CALLED TO ORDER AT 4:03 P.M.; CHAIR CALLED ROLL AND CONFIRMED QUORUM

2. Review purpose of ad hoc committee

**DISCUSSED** 

3. Discussion of future meetings and ad hoc committee designees and approval of meeting schedule

512346789 APPROVED TO HOLD MEETINGS ON TUESDAYS AT 1:00 P.M.: 3/23/21, 3/30/21, 4/6/21 AND 4/13/21

ALTERNATES NAMED: DISTRICT ATTORNEY – HEIDI GARREL; EDUCATION – KATY RAMEZANI; SHERIFF – RACHEL PUCKETT; PROBATION – NONE; COMMUNITY BASED DRUG & ALCOHOL – NONE; SOCIAL SERVICES – NONE; HEALTH CARE – TO BE NAMED LATER; PUBLIC DEFENDER – LAURA JOSE

#### **SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES**

4.	Discussion of existing metrics and existing/potential report out options to review County multi-disciplinary
	plan

#### **DISCUSSED**

5. Discussion and approval of process towards achieving ad hoc committee goals/outcomes

Kimberly Doyle

512346789 x APPROVED TO USE EXISTING PLAN AND CONTINUE TO LOOK AT AVAILABLE DATA TO OVERLAY TO EXISTING PLAN; IDENTIFY GOALS AND ANY EXISTING METRICS/OUTCOMES THAT MAKE UP EXISTING PLAN; USE FORMAT AS PRESENTED; EACH AGENCY TO BRING BACK DATA TO NEXT MEETING

ADJOURNMENT: 5:15 P.M.

Jamie Ross, Deputy

Clerk of the Ad Hoc Committee

\*\*\* KEY \*\*\*

#### Left Margin Notes

2 Lynn Garrett X = Excused
3 Meghan Medlin N = No
4 Jose Pelayo C.O. = Committee Order
5 Bryan Prieto
6 Nazly Restrepo
7 Ken Santini
8 Dawn Smith
9 Darren Thompson

(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)

BRYAN PRIETO
Chair

A = Abstained

# Special Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

#### Agenda Item 4:

Discussion of existing metrics and existing/potential report out options to review County multi-disciplinary plan

Wednesday, March 17, 2021 at 4pm

# JJCPA PROGRAMS FY 2020-21

- Decentralized Intake (DCI)/Sheriff's Prevention Program
- Youth Reporting Centers (YRC)[North & Central]
- Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC)
- Substance Use Programming [Youth Guidance Center (YGC)]
- School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART)
- North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (NSMART)
   [Central & Northern areas of Central Orange County]
- Orange County School Threat Assessment Team (OCSTAT)
- Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)

# EXISTING METRICS Quarterly Statistics

#### JJCPA PROGRAMS

- Decentralized Intake
   (DCI) Probation
- Truancy Response (TRP)
- Youth Reporting Centers (YRC)
- Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC)
- Substance Use Programming

#### PROGRAM ACTIVITY

✓ Number of Program Participants



PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

- √ Age
- √ Gender
- ✓ Ethnicity
- √ City of Residence


✓ Number of Program Exits

✓ Number of Program Entries

- ✓ Satisfactory Program Exits During Quarter
- ✓ Median Days in Program During Quarter


✓ Probation Research will continue to provide quarterly statistics for these programs to correlate with budget data compiled by CEO's Office

# PROGRAM ACTIVITY - By Quarter






Q3-2020 & Q4-2020



# JJCPA Satisfactory Program Exits During Quarter


(% of participants who exited program during quarter) Q3–2020 & Q4-2020




# PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS - By Quarter

# Age of JJCPA Program Participants at Program Entry

(% of participants)
Q3-2020 & Q4-2020 Combined





#### **Gender of JJCPA Program Participants**

(% of participants)
Q3-2020 & Q4-2020 Combined

## POTENTIAL METRICS

In determining relevant outcome metrics to measure success of each program, each funded program should be assessed in terms of the guidelines set forth by the OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee

#### PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee Report, February 2021

- Be based on approaches effective in reducing delinquency and addressing any components of juvenile crime—PREVENTION, INTERVENTION, SUPPRESSION and/or INCAPACITATION
- 2. **COLLABORATE AND INTEGRATE SERVICES** of all existing resources that target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and their families
- 3. Employ INFORMATION SHARING SYSTEMS to ensure County actions are coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies
- 4. Adopt **GOALS RELATED TO OUTCOME MEASURES** to determine the effectiveness of the local juvenile justice action strategy

#### Determine relevant metrics based on . . .

**WHY**: Purpose of program

- **WHO**: Which collaborative agencies/partners are involved in program and what do they consider a useful measure of program success
- HOW: What data are available and how can data be shared

WHAT: What are relevant outcome metrics that relate to the goals of the program

# POTENTIAL METRICS

1. Component(s) of juv	enile crime addressed by program:	Prevention   Intervention	N Suppression	☐ Incapacitatio
D: Which collaborativ program success	e agencies/partners are involved	d in program and what o	do they consider	a useful meası
2. Collaborating Partner	rs:			
☐ Law enforcement		⊠ Education	OCDE	
⊠ Court	District Attorney, Public Defender (other defense counsel)	☐ ☐ Mental health/health	HCA	
□ Probation	Field Supervision DPO	☐ Social services		
⊠ Other partner*	Waymakers, Boys and Girls Club	☐ Drug and alcohol		
		ders and/or their families		

The JRC team, comprised of the judge, district attorney, public defender or other defense counsel, probation officer, and counselors (e.g. school, mental health, community provider), meets weekly to review JRC participant cases and progress

# POTENTIAL METRICS

# Using example of **Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC)** . . .

WHAT: What are relevant outcome metrics that relate to the goals of the program

#### 4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

PROGRAM GOAL	PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME	MEASUREABLE OUTCOME
Rehabilitate JRC participants with substance use issues	<ul> <li>The Juvenile Recovery Court program utilizes a combination of substance use treatment, individual, group, and family therapy, sanctions, and incentives to achieve outcomes</li> <li>Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' success</li> </ul>	Satisfactory completion of the following JRC program requirements (i.e. program graduation):  • Attending group and individual therapy  • Going to school  • Completing JRC assignments  • Other activities to better self, such as:  • Applying for jobs  • Attending cultural events (museums, theater)  • Attending JRC sponsored athletic activities  • Attend self-help programs  [Tracked by Probation]
	Weekly reporting to the probation officer for progress checks and drug testing	Sober days [Potential measure by Probation]
Empower families of JRC participants	Family therapy	
Prevent reoffending among JRC participants during and after program	Compliance with all court-ordered terms and conditions and regularly scheduled weekly, bi-monthly, or monthly court appearances for progress reviews	<ul> <li>Rate of Probation Violations (PV) and/or New Law Violation (NLV) arrests among program participants during program</li> <li>Rate of New Law Violation (NLV) arrests within 6 months to 1 year after exit (Note: Use of this measure is dependent on the rate of sealing of records for participants exiting program)</li> <li>[Potential measure(s) by Probation]</li> </ul>

## METRICS USED TO MEASURE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN SUCCESS

Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council Ad Hoc Committee Report, February 2021

- Pre- and post-program participation assessment to measure program participants against themselves
- Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior)
- Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns)

For each funded program, it will be also be important to get input from Collaborative Agencies/Partners in regard to the following:

- ☐ What is relevant to be measured and can feasibly be measured in a pre-/post-program assessment?
- What protective factors are relevant and can be measured?
- What are relevant and measurable returns?