Notice and Call of a Special Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

A Special Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council will convene on Wednesday, March 17, 2021, at 4:00 p.m., via Zoom.

The items of business to be conducted at this meeting are:

As outlined on attached agenda

Opportunity will be provided, before or during the consideration of each item of business, for members of the public to directly address the Council regarding that business.

/s/

BRYAN PRIETO Chair

AGENDA

SPECIAL MEETING AD HOC COMMITTEE ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

Wednesday, March 17, 2021, 1:00 P.M.



MEETING HELD BY ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE ONLY

Pursuant to the provisions of California Governor's Executive Order N-29-20, issued on March 17, 2020, this meeting will be held by Zoom and teleconference. Members of the public may attend and participate by following the instructions below.

Bryan Prieto, Chair Probation

Lynn Garrett Education Representative

Jose Pelayo Sheriff-Coroner

Ken Santini Social Services Agency Kimberly Doyle District Attorney

Meghan Medlin At Large Community Representative

Nazly Restrepo Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

Dawn Smith Health Care Agency

Darren Thompson Public Defender

The Ad Hoc Committee for the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council welcomes you to this meeting. This agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. The Committee encourages your participation. If you wish to speak on an item contained in the agenda, please press *9 following the Chair's invitation from the public to speak. Once acknowledged and prompted by the Chair or Clerk, you may begin to speak. Except as otherwise provided by law, no action shall be taken on any item not appearing in the agenda. When addressing the Committee, please state your name for the record prior to providing your comments.

**** INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTENDING THE MEETING BY TELECONFERENCE****

Members of the public may observe and participate in the meeting telephonically. To attend the meeting via teleconference please call:

- iPhone one-tap: US: US: +16699009128, 86861980670#, *500600# or +12532158782, 86861980670#, *500600#
- Or Telephone: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
 - +1 669 900 9128 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 558 8656 or +1 301 715 8592

Webinar ID: 868 6198 0670

Passcode: 500600 (once you enter this code, you should be automatically connected to the call; you will remain on the line until meeting begins).

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86861980670?pwd=eUpXU0ZvdHIMNnZybVdpUmM5UStLQT09

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for this meeting should notify the Clerk of the Board's Office 72 hours prior to the meeting at (714) 834-2206

AGENDA – March 17, 2021 PAGE 1

AGENDA

All supporting documentation is available for public review in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors located in the Hall of Administration Building, 333 W. Santa Ana Blvd., 10 Civic Center Plaza, Room 465, Santa Ana, California 92701 during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 5)

At this time, members of the public may ask the Committee to be heard on the following items as those items are called.

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Review purpose of ad hoc committee
- 3. Discussion of future meetings and ad hoc committee designees and approval of meeting schedule
- 4. Discussion of existing metrics and existing/potential report out options to review County multi-disciplinary plan
- 5. Discussion and approval of process towards achieving ad hoc committee goals/outcomes

ADJOURNMENT

Special Meeting of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

Agenda Item 4: Discussion of existing metrics and existing/potential report out options to review County multi-disciplinary plan

Wednesday, March 17, 2021 at 4pm

JJCPA PROGRAMS FY 2020-21

- Decentralized Intake (DCI)/Sheriff's Prevention Program
- Youth Reporting Centers (YRC) [North & Central]
- Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC)
- Substance Use Programming [Youth Guidance Center (YGC)]
- School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (SMART)
- North School Mobile Assessment and Response Team (NSMART) [Central & Northern areas of Central Orange County]
- Orange County School Threat Assessment Team (OCSTAT)
- Active Recidivism Reduction Initiative via Engagement (ARRIVE)

EXISTING METRICS Quarterly Statistics

JJCPA PROGRAMS

- Decentralized Intake (DCI) – Probation
- Truancy Response (TRP)
- Youth Reporting Centers (YRC)
- Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC)
- Substance Use Programming


PROGRAM ACTIVITY

- ✓ Number of Program Participants
- ✓ Number of Program Entries
- ✓ Number of Program Exits
- ✓ Satisfactory Program Exits During Quarter
- ✓ Median Days in Program During Quarter

- PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS
- ✓ Age
- ✓ Gender
- ✓ Ethnicity
- ✓ City of Residence

 Probation Research will continue to provide quarterly statistics for these programs to correlate with budget data compiled by CEO's Office


PROGRAM ACTIVITY – By Quarter



PROGRAM ACTIVITY – By Quarter

47

JJCPA Program Exits During Quarter Q3–2020 & Q4-2020



100% 100% 71% 68% 63% 60% 53% 50% 20% 14% DCI SUBSTANCE USE TRP YRC **JRC** (PROBATION) PROGRAMMING Q3-2020 100 14 53 20 63 Q4-2020 71 68 50 100 60


JJCPA Satisfactory Program Exits During Quarter

(% of participants who exited program during quarter) Q3–2020 & Q4-2020

PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS – By Quarter

Age of JJCPA Program Participants at Program Entry

(% of participants) Q3-2020 & Q4-2020 Combined





Gender of JJCPA Program Participants (% of participants) Q3-2020 & Q4-2020 Combined

POTENTIAL METRICS

In determining relevant outcome metrics to measure success of each program, each funded program should be assessed in terms of the guidelines set forth by the OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee

PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS OCJJCC Ad Hoc Committee Report, February 2021

- 1. Be based on approaches effective in reducing delinquency and addressing any components of juvenile crime—**PREVENTION**, **INTERVENTION**, **SUPPRESSION** and/or **INCAPACITATION**
- 2. COLLABORATE AND INTEGRATE SERVICES of all existing resources that target at-promise juveniles, juvenile offenders and their families
- 3. Employ **INFORMATION SHARING SYSTEMS** to ensure County actions are coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies
- 4. Adopt **GOALS RELATED TO OUTCOME MEASURES** to determine the effectiveness of the local juvenile justice action strategy

Determine relevant metrics based on . . .

WHY: Purpose of program

- WHO: Which collaborative agencies/partners are involved in program and what do they consider a useful measure of program success
- HOW: What data are available and how can data be shared

WHAT: What are relevant outcome metrics that relate to the goals of the program

POTENTIAL METRICS

Using example of Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) . . .

WHY: Purpose of program

Suppression 1. Component(s) of juvenile crime addressed by program:
Prevention
Intervention Incapacitation

WHO: Which collaborative agencies/partners are involved in program and what do they consider a useful measure of program success

2. Collaborating Partners:			
Law enforcement		⊠ Education	OCDE
⊠ Court	District Attorney, Public Defender (other defense counsel)	Mental health/health	HCA
⊠ Probation	Field Supervision DPO	□ Social services	
⊠ Other partner*	Waymakers, Boys and Girls Club	□ Drug and alcohol	
* Provides services that specifically target at-promise juveniles juvenile offenders and/or their families			

HOW What data are available and how can data be shared

3. Information sharing systems/strategies to ensure that County actions are fully coordinated and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies:

The JRC team, comprised of the judge, district attorney, public defender or other defense counsel, probation officer, and counselors (e.g. school, mental health, community provider), meets weekly to review JRC participant cases and progress

POTENTIAL METRICS

Using example of Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) ...

outcome metrics

goals of the

program

PROGRAM GOAL PLAN TO ACHIEVE OUTCOME MEASUREABLE OUTCOME The Juvenile Recovery Court program Satisfactory completion of the following JRC Rehabilitate JRC utilizes a combination of substance use program requirements (i.e. program graduation): WHAT: What are relevant participants with substance use issues treatment, individual, group, and family Attending group and individual therapy therapy, sanctions, and incentives to · Going to school achieve outcomes that relate to the Completing JRC assignments Regular monitoring of youthful offenders' • Other activities to better self, such as: success Applying for jobs Attending cultural events (museums, theater) o Attending JRC sponsored athletic activities Attend self-help programs [Tracked by Probation] · Weekly reporting to the probation officer Sober davs for progress checks and drug testing [Potential measure by Probation] Empower families of JRC Family therapy participants · Compliance with all court-ordered terms Rate of Probation Violations (PV) and/or New Prevent reoffending and conditions and regularly scheduled among JRC participants Law Violation (NLV) arrests among program during and after program weekly, bi-monthly, or monthly court participants during program appearances for progress reviews Rate of New Law Violation (NLV) arrests within 6 months to 1 year after exit (Note: Use of this measure is dependent on the rate of sealing of records for participants exiting program) [Potential measure(s) by Probation]

4. Program goals and plans for achieving and measuring outcomes:

METRICS USED TO MEASURE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN SUCCESS Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council Ad Hoc Committee Report, February 2021

- Pre- and post-program participation assessment to measure program participants against themselves
- Improvement of protective factors (i.e. factors that may mitigate or reduce problematic behavior)
- Amount and influence of any training that was provided (i.e. measure expected outcomes of training to measurable returns)

For each funded program, it will be also be important to get input from Collaborative Agencies/Partners in regard to the following:

- What is relevant to be measured and can feasibly be measured in a pre-/postprogram assessment?
- □ What protective factors are relevant and can be measured?
- □ What are relevant and measurable returns?