## SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

#### REGULAR MEETING AD HOC COMMITTEE ORANGE COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL

Wednesday, September 16, 2020, 1:00 P.M.

**Bryan Prieto, Chair** Probation

**Lynn Garrett** Education Representative

Jose Pelayo Sheriff-Coroner

Ken Santini Social Services Agency

**Darren Thompson** Public Defender Kimberly Doyle District Attorney

Meghan Medlin At Large Community Representative

**Nazly Restrepo** Community Based Drug & Alcohol Rep.

**Dawn Smith** Health Care Agency

ATTENDANCE: Members Doyle, Medlin, Pelayo, Prieto, Restrepo, Santini, Smith, Thompson and DeGarmoe (Alternate for Garrett) (all members participated via Zoom)

EXCUSED: Member Garrett

CLERK OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE: Jamie Ross, Deputy (participated via Zoom)

#### ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 2)

#### 1. Welcome and Introductions <u>CHAIR CALLED ROLL AND CONFIRMED QUORUM</u>

- 2. Discussion of slate of Ad Hoc Committee goals/outcomes:
  - a. Recommendation regarding existing Orange County Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (OCJJCC) membership/composition,
  - b. Recommendation regarding a definition of "at risk" ("at promise") youth,
  - c. Recommendation regarding how the required annual comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plan (comprehensive plan) should be established/modified,
  - d. Recommendation regarding how the comprehensive plan is/should be measured for success, and
  - e. Recommendation regarding how Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funds should be used

#### DISCUSSED; CHAIR PRIETO TO PROVIDE A REPORT OUT OF AD HOC COMMITTEE DISCUSSIONS AT NEXT MEETING ON 10/14/20, 1:00 P.M.

MINUTES – September 16, 2020 PAGE 1

## SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

#### CHAIR PRIETO NOTED CORRECTION TO JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA) PROGRAM STATISTICS ON PAGE 4, WHERE THE MALE AND FEMALE PERCENTAGES WERE REVERSED FOR THE SUBSTANCE USE PROGRAMMING

#### MEMBER THOMPSON ASKED IF CITY STATISTICS ARE AVAILABLE BY ZIP CODE

#### MEMBER MEDLIN SUGGESTED A WORKING GROUP FOR THE JJCC SIMILAR TO THE WORKING GROUP FOR CCP

#### MEMBER DOYLE SUGGESTED NEED TO BE SPECIFIC WHEN REVIEWING A PROGRAM AS PART OF PLAN – OBJECTIVE, DESCRIPTION, PARTNERS, HOW INFORMATION IS SHARED, DEMONSTRATED EFFECTIVENESS, WHAT OUTCOMES ARE WE JUDGING AGAINST

#### MEMBERS SUGGESTED USING A BROAD DEFINITION OF "AT PROMISE"

#### PUBLIC & COMMITTEE COMMENTS:

PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

COMMITTEE COMMENTS: None

ADJOURNMENT: 2:50 P.M.

\*\*\* KEY \*\*\*

#### Left Margin Notes

A = Abstained

C.O. = Committee Order

X = Excused

N = No

- 1 Kimberly Doyle
- 2 Lynn Garrett
- 3 Meghan Medlin
- 4 Jose Pelayo
- 5 Bryan Prieto
- 6 Nazly Restrepo
- 7 Ken Santini
- 8 Dawn Smith
- 9 Darren Thompson
- 10 Betsy DeGarmoe (Alternate)

(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)

/s/

BRYAN PRIETO Chair


/s/


Jamie Ross, Deputy Clerk of the Ad Hoc Committee


> MINUTES – September 16, 2020 PAGE 2


Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) Program Statistics


Decentralized Intake (DCI) - Probation Truancy Response (TRP) Youth Reporting Centers (YRC) Juvenile Recovery Court (JRC) Substance Use Programming























# Juvenile Statistics

## Juvenile Arrests, Percent Change and Rates Per 100,000 Youth 10 to 17 Years Old Orange County and California

|      |              | JUVENILE                       | JUVENILE ARREST RATES |                                |               |              |
|------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
|      | ORANGE       | COUNTY                         | CALIFORNIA            |                                | ORANGE COUNTY | CALIFORNIA   |
| YEAR | Arrest Total | % Change from<br>Previous Year | Arrest Total          | % Change from<br>Previous Year | Arrest Rate*  | Arrest Rate* |
| 2009 | 14,341       |                                | 204,294               |                                | 4,010         | 4,502        |
| 2010 | 13,485       | -6%                            | 185,506               | -9%                            | 3,809         | 4,145        |
| 2011 | 10,797       | -20                            | 149,273               | -20                            | 3,069         | 3,352        |
| 2012 | 8,566        | -21                            | 120,352               | -19                            | 2,457         | 2,718        |
| 2013 | 6,892        | -20                            | 96,718                | -20                            | 2,076         | 2,370        |
| 2014 | 6,580        | -5                             | 86,636                | -10                            | 1,994         | 2,134        |
| 2015 | 4,829        | -27                            | 71,792                | -17                            | 1,422         | 1,725        |
| 2016 | 4,521        | -6                             | 62,646                | -13                            | 1,332         | 1,500        |
| 2017 | 3,770        | -17                            | 56,127                | -10                            | 1,104         | 1,335        |
| 2018 | 2,729        | -28                            | 46,339                | -17                            | 823           | 1,121        |

\* Per 100,000 youth 10-17 years old

Source: Criminal Justice Statistics Center, California Department of Justice State of California Department of Finance

## Percent of Juvenile Arrests by City, Youth 10 to 17 Years Old

2018

|                        | Arrests 2018<br>10-17 Years Old | % of Total Arrests |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| SANTA ANA              | 435                             | 15.9%              |
| ANAHEIM                | 325                             | 11.9               |
| GARDEN GROVE           | 217                             | 8.0                |
| ORANGE                 | 156                             | 5.7                |
| FULLERTON              | 121                             | 4.4                |
| TUSTIN                 | 118                             | 4.3                |
| BUENA PARK             | 102                             | 3.7                |
| NEWPORT BEACH          | 96                              | 3.5                |
| HUNTINGTON BEACH       | 85                              | 3.1                |
| WESTMINSTER            | 80                              | 2.9                |
| LA HABRA               | 70                              | 2.6                |
| FOUNTAIN VALLEY        | 65                              | 2.4                |
| MISSION VIEJO          | 56                              | 2.1                |
| BREA                   | 55                              | 2.0                |
| COSTA MESA             | 54                              | 2.0                |
| PLACENTIA              | 46                              | 1.7                |
| IRVINE                 | 45                              | 1.6                |
| SAN CLEMENTE           | 39                              | 1.4                |
| LAKE FOREST            | 35                              | 1.3                |
| SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO    | 25                              | 0.9                |
| RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA | 23                              | 0.8                |
| LAGUNA BEACH           | 21                              | 0.8                |
| ALISO VIEJO            | 20                              | 0.7                |
| LAGUNA NIGUEL          | 18                              | 0.7                |
| LA PALMA               | 15                              | 0.5                |
| DANA POINT             | 13                              | 0.5                |
| LAGUNA HILLS           | 12                              | 0.4                |
| CYPRESS                | 11                              | 0.4                |
| STANTON                | 9                               | 0.3                |
| SEAL BEACH             | 3                               | 0.1                |
| VILLA PARK             | 3                               | 0.1                |
| LOS ALAMITOS           | 2                               | 0.1                |
| LAGUNA WOODS           | 0                               | 0.0                |
| YORBA LINDA            | 0                               | 0.0                |
| OC SHERIFF             | 331                             | 12.1               |
| OTHER                  | 23                              | 0.8                |
| TOTAL                  | 2,375                           | 100.0%             |

Source: Criminal Justice Statistics Center, California Department of Justice

# Juvenile Offender Data Individuals In-Custody in a Juvenile Facility

|   | 17 & Under | 18 & Older | TOTAL      |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Total Bookings  | 1,420      | 235        | 1,655      |
| Total Population (unique youth)                               | 823        | 157        | 980        |
| Demographics  |            |            |            |
| Males   | 662        | 129        | 791        |
| Females   | 161        | 28         | 189        |
| 15 & Under  | 362        |            | 362        |
| 16 years  | 236        |            | 236        |
| 17 years  | 225        |            | 225        |
| 18-20 years   |            | 157        | 157        |
| 21 & older  |            |            | -          |
| Hispanic  | 623        | 124        | 747        |
| White   | 82         | 17         | 99         |
| Black   | 69         | 9          | 78         |
| Asian-Pacific Islander  | 25         | 4          | 29         |
| Other   | 21         | 2          | 23         |
| Unknown   | 3          | 1          | 4          |
| Type of offense (non-unique youth booked into custody)        |            |            |            |
| Probation Violation   | 301        | 312        | 613        |
| Felony Person   | 272        | 114        | 386        |
| Felony Property   | 182        | 86         | 268        |
| Misdemeanor Property  | 50         | 19         | 69         |
| Other Misdemeanor   | 44         | 25         | 69         |
| Misdemeanor Person  | 61         | 7          | 68         |
| Misdemeanor Drugs   | 10         | 8          | 18         |
| Other Felony  | 12         | 4          | 16         |
| Misdemeanor Weapons   | 8          | 2          | 10         |
| Felony Drugs  | 4          | 1          | 5          |
| Felony Weapons  | 1          |            | 1          |
| Category of offense<br>(non-unique youth booked into custody) |            |            |            |
| Felony Violation  | 471        | 205        | 676        |
| Misdemeanor Violation   | 173        | 61         | 234        |
| Number of Juveniles considered a HIGH RISK to<br>recidivate   | 241        | 234        | 475        |
| Number of Juveniles considered to be CSEC                     | 14         | 234<br>16  | 30         |
| Average Juvenile to PO ratio                                  | 14         | 10         | 30<br>20:1 |
| Median # of bookings into juvenile facilities                 | 2          | 3          | 20.1       |
| # of Juveniles booked 4 or more times (top 5%)                | 164        | 180        | 344        |
| Average length of stay  | 39         | 96         | 59         |
| Average daily cost to house a juvenile offender               | \$1,015.43 | 50         | 00         |

5/1/2019 - 4/30/20

Source: Orange County Probation Department

## Profile of Actively Supervised Juveniles

As of 6/30/20

| Actively Supervised<br>(excludes Warrants and Informal Probation) | 954          |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| GENDER  |              |  |  |  |
| Male  | 83%          |  |  |  |
| Female  | 17           |  |  |  |
| AVERGE AGE (years)  | 16 years old |  |  |  |
| ETHNICITY   |              |  |  |  |
| White   | 15%          |  |  |  |
| Hispanic  | 73           |  |  |  |
| Black   | 6            |  |  |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander  | 4            |  |  |  |
| Other   | 2            |  |  |  |
| INITIAL CONVICTED OFFENSE   |              |  |  |  |
| FELONY  | 62%          |  |  |  |
| Person  | 41           |  |  |  |
| Property  | 12           |  |  |  |
| Drug  | 2            |  |  |  |
| Other   | 7            |  |  |  |
| MISDEMEANOR   | 38%          |  |  |  |
| INITIAL RISK FACTORS  |              |  |  |  |
| Average Initial Risk Score  | 21           |  |  |  |
| INITIAL RISK CLASSIFICATION*                                      |              |  |  |  |
| High  | 79%          |  |  |  |
| Medium  | 18           |  |  |  |
| Low   | 3            |  |  |  |
| PRIOR RECORD  |              |  |  |  |
| No prior arrests or apps for pet                                  | 47%          |  |  |  |
| Prior arrests, apps for pet or informal prob                      | 41           |  |  |  |
| Prior pets sustained  | 12           |  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE ABUSE (Drugs)   |              |  |  |  |
| No known use/occasional use                                       | 17%          |  |  |  |
| Occasional excessive use  | 54           |  |  |  |
| Dependency  | 29           |  |  |  |
| AGE AT FIRST CONVICTION   |              |  |  |  |
| 15 and younger  | 49%          |  |  |  |
| 16 and older  | 51           |  |  |  |

\* Juvenile Initial Risk Classification Score Ranges: 0-5 (Low); 6-14 (Medium); 15+ (High)

Source: Orange County Probation Department