

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING ORANGE COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP



Thursday, January 24, 2019, 2:00 P.M.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
Training Room 5
1001 S. Grand Ave.
Santa Ana, California

STEVE SENTMAN, Chair
Chief Probation Officer

DON BARNES
Sheriff-Coroner

TODD ELGIN
Chief of Police, Garden Grove

JEFF NAGEL
Health Care Agency

SHARON PETROSINO
Public Defender

TODD SPITZER
District Attorney

ATTENDANCE: Members Nagel, Petrosino, Sentman, Spitzer, Balicki (Alternate for Barnes), and Whitman (Alternate for Elgin)

EXCUSED: Members Barnes and Elgin

COUNTY COUNSEL: Wendy Phillips, Deputy

CLERK OF THE PARTNERSHIP: Jamie Ross & Ritz Leyva, Deputy Clerks

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS: (Items 1 - 7)

At this time, members of the public may ask the Partnership to be heard on the following items as those items are called.

1. Welcome and Introductions

CHAIR SENTMAN WELCOMED NEW MEMBERS AND INTRODUCED NEW CCP COORDINATOR, ROBERT DORROUGH

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

2. Discussion and approval of the FY 2019-20 proposed AB 109 funding allocation
76123458

xx N

APPROVED BUDGET OPTION 1

DIRECTED TO REDISTRIBUTE THE PERCENT ALLOCATION FOR CEO/CCP COORDINATOR / SUPPORT PROPORTIONATELY TO REMAINING DEPARTMENTS AS SHOWN IN THE REVISED ITEM 2 ATTACHMENT

DIRECTIVE MADE TO USE "CCP PLANNING FUNDS" / BSCC SURVEY FUNDS TO REIMBURSE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CCP COORDINATOR POSITION

DIRECTIVE MADE TO CCP COORDINATOR TO SET A CCP OFF-SITE MEETING TO FURTHER DISCUSS DIRECTION OF CCP COMMITTEE AND PROGRAMS AIMED AT REDUCING RECIDIVISM, RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS SHOULD DISCUSS ACCOMPLISHMENTS, SHORTFALLS, ETC.

DIRECTIVE MADE TO CCP COORDINATOR TO RETURN TO COMMITTEE WITH A PLAN FOR THE ALLOCATION OF THE BUDGET APPROVED FOR THE LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ENTITIES AND WORK WITH DA STAFF, BUREAU CHIEF PAUL WALTERS AND POLICE CHIEFS WORKGROUP

3. Receive and File 2018 BSCC Survey

RECEIVED AND FILED

4. Approve award of contract to Regents of the University of California at Irvine for data collection and evaluation services

64123578

xx

APPROVED AS RECOMMENDED; MEMBER NAGEL DIRECTED STAFF TO COORDINATE WITH OTHER EVALUATIONS BEING DONE TO LIMIT DUPLICATION OF EFFORTS

5. Receive and File 4th Quarter AB 109 Report for the period of October through December 2018

RECEIVED AND FILED

6. Discussion on the 2011 Public Safety Annual Report

DISCUSSED; PARTNERSHIP DIRECTED STAFF TO ALIGN ALL REPORTING EFFORTS WITH FISCAL YEAR

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

7. Realignment Updates:

- CCP Coordinator
- Probation
- Sheriff
- District Attorney
- Public Defender
- Courts
- Health Care/Mental Health
- Local Law Enforcement
- Board of Supervisors
- Social Services
- OC Community Resources
- OC Department of Education
- Community-Based Organization (Representative)
- Waymakers (Victims Representative)

PRESENTED; DURING THE PROBATION UPDATE, MEMBER PETROSINO REQUESTED STATISTICS REGARDING THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS AN INDIVIDUAL IS GIVEN A FLASH INCARCERATION

PUBLIC & PARTNERSHIP COMMENTS:

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Carole Urie – Oral Re: Returning Home Foundation; Substance use disorder treatment and services; provided information regarding funding and programs for individuals while in custody.

Lupe Feliciano – Oral Re: Non-Profit organization, Chrysalis, Rose program offers assistance to previously incarcerated individuals with transitional jobs and job placement; new office opened in Anaheim and requested referrals

PARTNERSHIP COMMENTS:

Oral: Chair Sentman – RE: Complimented the efforts by the Partnership

ADJOURNED: 3:26 P.M.

SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES

*** KEY ***

Left Margin Notes

- | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 Don Barnes | A = Abstained |
| 2 Todd Elgin | X = Excused |
| 3 Jeff Nagel | N = No |
| 4 Sharon Petrosino | P.O. = Partnership Order |
| 5 Steve Sentman | |
| 6 Todd Spitzer | |
| 7 Joe Balicki (Alternate) | |
| 8 Travis Whitman (Alternate) | |

(1st number = Moved by; 2nd number = Seconded by)

/s/

STEVE SENTMAN
Chair

/s/

Jamie Ross, Deputy
Clerk of the Partnership

2011 Public Safety Realignment FY 2019-20 Proposed Budget Options for Discussion and Approval

FY 18-19 Projected Amounts

Department	FY 18-19 Base Allocation		FY 17-18 Growth		Total
	83,836,006		4,305,076		
Sheriff (In-Custody)	45,271,443	54.00%	2,324,741	54.00%	47,596,184
Probation	17,437,889	20.80%	895,456	20.80%	18,333,345
HCA (In/Post Custody)	17,186,381	20.50%	882,541	20.50%	18,068,922
District Attorney	838,360	1.00%	43,051	1.00%	881,411
Public Defender	838,360	1.00%	43,051	1.00%	881,411
Local Law Enforcement	1,676,720	2.00%	86,102	2.00%	1,762,822
CEO/CCP Coordinator / Support	167,672	0.20%	8,610	0.20%	176,282
Reentry Services/CCP Approved Projects	419,180	0.50%	21,525	0.50%	440,705
Total	83,836,005	100.00%	4,305,077	100.00%	88,141,082

NOTES:

[1] FY 2018-19 projected amount based upon current estimates provided by California State Association of Counties in October 2018.

[2] Amount estimated for FY 2019-20 obtained from the Governor's Proposed State Budget issued January 10, 2019 (Acct #5196, subaccount 3223 & 3233). Total amount budgeted for the State is \$1.4135 billion (7.8% increase from prior FY.) Orange County's base percentage is estimated to be the same as prior FY 6.394%

[3] Amounts estimated for Growth Funds are net of the 10% transfer into the Local Innovation Subaccount per Government Code section

[4] The amount allocated for the CEO/CCP Coordinator/Support is used to reimburse actual expenditures incurred and claimed. Amounts not used are carried forward for use in future years.

FY 19-20 Proposed Budget Options

OPTION #1						Variance Between FY 18-19 Projected & FY 19-20 Proposed
FY 19-20 Proposed Base Allocation		FY 18-19 Estimated Growth		Total		
90,377,393		6,279,859				
48,894,170	54.10%	-		48,894,170		1,297,986
18,753,309	20.75%	-		18,753,309		419,964
18,753,309	20.75%	-		18,753,309		684,387
994,151	1.10%	-		994,151		112,740
994,151	1.10%	-		994,151		112,740
1,807,548	2.00%	-		1,807,548		44,726
180,755	0.20%	-		180,755		4,473
-	0.00%	6,279,859	100.00%	6,279,859		5,839,154
90,377,393	100.00%	6,279,859	100.00%	96,657,252		8,516,170
Base Allocation is consistent with FY 18-19. Growth funds set aside for CCP approved projects						

OPTION #2						Variance Between FY 18-19 Projected & FY 19-20 Proposed
FY 19-20 Proposed Base Allocation		FY 18-19 Estimated Growth		Total		
90,377,393		6,279,859				
46,751,481	51.73%	3,248,519	51.73%	50,000,000		2,403,816
18,233,078	20.17%	1,266,922	20.17%	19,500,000		1,166,655
18,233,078	20.17%	1,266,922	20.17%	19,500,000		1,431,078
1,122,035	1.24%	77,965	1.24%	1,200,000		318,589
1,122,035	1.24%	77,965	1.24%	1,200,000		318,589
1,776,557	1.97%	123,443	1.97%	1,900,000		137,178
149,604	0.17%	10,396	0.17%	160,000		(16,282)
2,989,525	3.31%	207,727	3.31%	3,197,252		2,756,547
90,377,393	100.00%	6,279,859	100.00%	96,657,252		8,516,170
Allocation amounts for departments set to fixed dollar amounts. Remaining anticipated funds to be set aside for CCP Approved Projects.						

2011 Public Safety Realignment FY 2019-20 Proposed Budget Options for Discussion and Approval

REVISED ITEM #2 Attachment #1

FY 2018-19 Projected Amounts

Department	FY 18-19 Base Allocation		FY 17-18 Growth		Total
	83,836,006		4,305,076		
Sheriff (In-Custody)	45,271,443	54.00%	2,324,741	54.00%	47,596,184
Probation	17,437,889	20.80%	895,456	20.80%	18,333,345
HCA (In/Post Custody)	17,186,381	20.50%	882,541	20.50%	18,068,922
District Attorney	838,360	1.00%	43,051	1.00%	881,411
Public Defender	838,360	1.00%	43,051	1.00%	881,411
Local Law Enforcement	1,676,720	2.00%	86,102	2.00%	1,762,822
CEO/CCP Coordinator / Support	167,672	0.20%	8,610	0.20%	176,282
Reentry Services/CCP Approved Projects	419,180	0.50%	21,525	0.50%	440,705
Total	83,836,005	100.00%	4,305,077	100.00%	88,141,082

NOTES:

[1] FY 2018-19 projected amount based upon current estimates provided by California State Association of Counties in October 2018.

[2] Amount estimated for FY 2019-20 obtained from the Governor's Proposed State Budget issued January 10, 2019 (Acct #5196, subaccount 3223 & 3233). Total amount budgeted for the State is \$1.4135 billion (7.8% increase from prior FY.) Orange County's base percentage is estimated to be the same as prior FY 6.394%

[3] Amounts estimated for Growth Funds are net of the 10% transfer into the Local Innovation Subaccount per Government Code section

[4] The amount allocated for the CEO/CCP Coordinator/Support is used to reimburse actual expenditures incurred and claimed. Amounts not used are carried forward for use in future years.

FY 19-20 Proposed Budget Options

OPTION #1 - REVISED				Variance Between FY 18-19 Projected & FY 19-20 Proposed
FY 19-20 Base Allocation	Proposed	FY 18-19 Estimated Growth	Total	
90,377,393		6,279,859		
48,984,547	54.2%	-	48,984,547	1,388,363
18,798,498	20.8%	-	18,798,498	465,153
18,798,498	20.8%	-	18,798,498	729,576
994,151	1.1%	-	994,151	112,740
994,151	1.1%	-	994,151	112,740
1,807,548	2.0%	-	1,807,548	44,726
-	0.0%	-	-	(176,282)
-	0.0%	6,279,859	6,279,859	5,839,154
90,377,393	100.0%	6,279,859	96,657,252	8,516,170

Base Allocation is consistent with FY 18-19.
Growth funds set aside for CCP approved projects

OPTION #2					Variance Between FY 18-19 Projected & FY 19-20 Proposed
Department	FY 19-20 Base Allocation	Proposed	FY 18-19 Estimated Growth	Total	
	90,377,393		6,279,859		
Sheriff (In-Custody)	46,751,481	51.73%	3,248,519	50,000,000	2,403,816
Probation	18,233,078	20.17%	1,266,922	19,500,000	1,166,655
HCA (In/Post Custody)	18,233,078	20.17%	1,266,922	19,500,000	1,431,078
District Attorney	1,122,035	1.24%	77,965	1,200,000	318,589
Public Defender	1,122,035	1.24%	77,965	1,200,000	318,589
Local Law Enforcement	1,776,557	1.97%	123,443	1,900,000	137,178
CEO/CCP Coordinator	149,604	0.17%	10,396	160,000	(16,282)
CCP Approved Projects	2,989,525	3.31%	207,727	3,197,252	2,756,547
	90,377,393	100.00%	6,279,859	96,657,252	8,516,170

Allocation amounts for departments set to fixed dollar amounts.
Remaining anticipated funds to be set aside for CCP Approved Projects.

**Public Safety Realignment
FY 2019-20 LLE Allocation Methodologies**

Proposed Allocation Methodology #1 - Project Based Awards
<p>The allocation approved by the CCP Committee for Local Law Enforcement entities would be awarded annually based on requests for funding. The process would be facilitated by the CCP Coordinator and include the AB109 Working Group, or a subset thereof, to develop funding guidelines and to review and recommend awards. Recommendations will be brought forth to the CCP Committee for approval with the awards to be distributed on a reimbursement basis.</p> <p>If this proposed allocation methodology is approved, the process and guidelines would be developed and brought to the CCP Committee for approval at the April CCP Quarterly Meeting. Upon approval, the CCP Coordinator will work with the law enforcement entities to submit their funding requests and seek recommendations from the AB109 Working Group, or subset thereof. Final recommendations will be placed on the agenda for the July CCP Quarterly Meeting.</p> <p>Amounts not awarded or utilized within an established timeframe would revert back to the 2011 Public Safety Realignment subaccount to be reallocated with the next budget process.</p> <p>Based on the Budget Options proposed, the amounts available to be awarded under this proposed allocation methodology are as follows:</p> <p align="center"> Budget Option #1 (LLE) \$ 1,807,548 Budget Option #2 (LLE) \$ 1,900,000 </p>

Allocation Methodology 2 - Consistent with FY 18-19				
<p>This is the current methodology where funds are distributed as an annual allocation based on the percentages of the Reported Offenders in Orange County. Funds are disbursed quarterly and an annual certification is received from each local law enforcement entity on how the funds were utilized.</p>				
City/Agency	Reported Offenders	Adjusted %	Option #1 Allocation	Option #2 Allocation
OCSD Contract Cities	140	9.831%	\$ 177,700	\$ 186,789
Anaheim	274	19.242%	\$ 347,808	\$ 365,598
Brea	9	0.632%	\$ 11,424	\$ 12,008
Buena Park	39	2.739%	\$ 49,509	\$ 52,041
Costa Mesa	52	3.652%	\$ 66,012	\$ 69,388
Cypress	12	0.843%	\$ 15,238	\$ 16,017
Fountain Valley	11	0.772%	\$ 13,954	\$ 14,668
Fullerton	74	5.197%	\$ 93,938	\$ 98,743
Garden Grove	114	8.006%	\$ 144,712	\$ 152,114
Huntington Beach	80	5.618%	\$ 101,548	\$ 106,742
Irvine	17	1.194%	\$ 21,582	\$ 22,686
La Habra	26	1.826%	\$ 33,006	\$ 34,694
La Palma	3	0.211%	\$ 3,814	\$ 4,009
Laguna Beach	7	0.492%	\$ 8,893	\$ 9,348
Los Alamitos	2	0.140%	\$ 2,531	\$ 2,660
Newport Beach	9	0.632%	\$ 11,424	\$ 12,008
Orange	56	3.933%	\$ 71,091	\$ 74,727
Placentia	20	1.404%	\$ 25,378	\$ 26,676
Santa Ana	399	28.020%	\$ 506,437	\$ 532,342
Seal Beach	2	0.140%	\$ 2,531	\$ 2,660
Tustin	22	1.545%	\$ 27,927	\$ 29,355
Westminster	56	3.933%	\$ 71,091	\$ 74,727
Total	1424	100.00%	\$ 1,807,548	\$ 1,900,000
<p>Source: Information on the number of Reported Offenders is based on the total number of PCS Releases from Prison on active supervision during the period of 1/1/2018 to 12/31/2018 as reported by the OC Probation on the PCS Monthly Stats.</p>				

**AB109 Local Law Enforcement Annual Certification
FY 2017-18 Summary**

City/Agency	Utilization of Funds
OCSD Contract Cities	The allocation was used to reimburse overtime expenditures within the Sheriff's Department to support our daily public safety efforts.
Anaheim	The majority of the funding was spent on overtime for PCS operations. Year to date we have conducted over 20 PCS sweeps, search warrant services, and surveillance operations. We also purchased a camera to assist with surveillance on PCS offenders.
Brea	As in previous years, the Brea Police Department expended AB109 funds on equipment and training within our Crime Suppression Unit (CSU), which is tasked with monitoring PRCS probationers.
Buena Park	The Buena Park Police Department used its AB 109 funding allocation to subsidize overtime details which performed PRCS compliance checks.
Costa Mesa	Funds received from AB109 were used to purchase equipment and supplement overtime expenses to support our daily public safety efforts.
Cypress	Funds utilized for public safety service were directed towards overtime reimbursement for officers conducting a probationary "sweep" in correlation with Orange County Probation officers. On September 13, 2017, the Cypress Police Department Special Investigations Unit conducted a compliance check with numerous probationers within the city along with several Probation Officers. Funds were used solely for officer overtime reimbursement and no funds were used in connection to any equipment or technology purchases. A total amount of 36 overtime hours was spread between all officers involved from the Cypress Police Department.
Fountain Valley	The funds were received and are still available for Police Department use. Unfortunately, personnel shortages in our Patrol Division required the temporary closure of our Crime Suppression Unit (CSU). In the past the AB109 funds had been utilized by CSU to facilitate probation searches outside of normal duties. We anticipate the re-opening of CSU in January 2019, and will utilize the funds.
Fullerton	The AB109 Public Safety Realignment funds were wholly used to help offset the difference between the Police Department annual overtime budget and actual overtime costs.
Garden Grove	For FY 2017-18, AB 109 funds were expended solely for overtime expenses related to AB109 compliance and enforcement.
Huntington Beach	The Police Department has an automated license plate reader (ALPR) system that has been successfully used to locate and arrest multiple felony suspects. The funds were used to purchase additional license plate readers.
Irvine	This funding was used for the Irvine Police Department Special Investigations Unit to attend the 2018 Southern California Gang Investigators Association Conference in San Diego. The conference provided training on Human Trafficking in Street Gangs, Narcotics in Street Gangs, Street Gang Culture, Gang Investigations, and Contemporary Criminal Enterprises. Additional, the funding was used for the acquisition of ballistic vest carriers for the Special Investigations Unit detectives.
La Habra	The La Habra Police Department used the funds received in 2018 to focus on outreach and compliance details within the City of La Habra. The goal and focus of the outreach teams and compliance sweeps was to ensure assistance could be provided to those in need and for those who failed to comply with probation and parole standards, they could be arrested and for those violations.
Laguna Beach	AB 109 funding continues to be utilized by our organization to fund Bair Analytics (Lexis Nexis) Mapping/Crime Analysis Software which provides us a systematic approach to monitoring activities of potential offenders and Nixie Alerting Software which allow us to quickly alert the community about any dangers or hazards posed by AB109 early release participants.
Los Alamitos	These funds are utilized to pay overtime costs associated with additional probation and parole checks, registrant checks, and sweeps with regional public safety partners to provide additional supervision of these populations and identify potential violations.
Newport Beach	The funds were allocated to Police Department overtime and used for safety (sworn) investigations and PRCS checks.
Orange	Funding was used for overtime services of City law enforcement personnel to assist OC Probation with activities I "sweeps" outside of regular work hours.

**AB109 Local Law Enforcement Annual Certification
FY 2017-18 Summary**

City/Agency	Utilization of Funds
Placentia	Funding was used to employ Agency's Crime Analyst.
Santa Ana	AB109 funds for FY 2017-18 were used to employ an AB109 Police Officer in the Santa Ana Police Department who is assigned to assist probation officers with their caseloads. The AB 109 Police Officer helps with compliance checks, makes sure offenders are abiding by the terms and conditions of their probation, and makes arrests as needed. The AB109 Police Officer also assists other units or details in the Police Department with probation related offenders.
Seal Beach	The funds used for FY 2017-18 were used to perform the following/critical functions within the City of Seal Beach; 1) Providing mental health services to children and adults to reduce failure in school, harm to self or others, homelessness, and preventable incarceration or institutionalization, 2) Preventing, treating, and providing recovery services for substance abuse victims, 3) Training Public Safety Officials including law enforcement personnel assigned to our Homeless Liaison Officer program.
Tustin	The Tustin Police Department did not make any AB109 related expenditures for FY 2017-18. All funds have been rolled over into FY 2018-19 for consideration.
Westminster	The AB109 funds were used to conduct probation checks of individuals who currently fall under AB109 supervision to ensure they are in compliance. Sweeps were also conducted to locate and apprehend individuals who were no longer in compliance and had outstanding warrants for their arrest.

**County of Orange Strategic Financial Plan
Listing of Strategic Priorities - Integrated Services (2018)**

Strategic Priority Description	ESTIMATED ANNUAL COSTS					Potential Funding Sources
	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	
Stepping Up Initiative						
Increase public awareness on various mental health topics and resources.	100,000	350,000	165,000	165,000	165,000	MH Realignment, MHSA, SAPT
Increase staffing resources to address increased demands for Mental Health services	-	340,000	340,000	340,000	340,000	AB109, NCC
Expansion of specialty courts to meet increased demands	-	7,400,000	7,400,000	7,400,000	7,400,000	AB109, Grants, MH Realignment, MHSA, Prop 172, SAPT, NCC
Increase access to short-term and permanent supportive housing upon reentry	200,000	3,100,000	4,100,000	4,500,000	6,600,000	AB109, DMC, Grants, MH Realignment, MHSA, SAPT, WPC, NCC
Implement a transportation network	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	
TOTAL STEPPING UP INITIATIVE	1,200,000	11,840,000	12,840,000	13,240,000	15,340,000	
Data Collection and Analysis						
Application development and ongoing data storage	15,550,000	2,350,000	650,000	500,000	500,000	AB109, Grants, MHSA, NCC
Training for first responders to utilize tools for data collection	25,000	25,000	7,500	25,000	17,500	
TOTAL DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	15,575,000	2,375,000	657,500	525,000	517,500	
Behavioral Health Services Campus						
Acquisition of a facility and related costs to implement for Health Care use						** In Progress **
Implement a crisis stabilization unit on the campus						
Implement a sobering station on the campus						
TOTAL BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CAMPUS	-	-	-	-	-	
In Custody/Post Custody Drug Treatment Program						
Full time in-custody professional substance use disorder treatment with case management	346,000	346,000	346,000	346,000	346,000	AB109, MHSA, WPC, NCC
Post-custody community based treatment services and supportive sober-living housing	365,000	657,000	1,241,000	1,241,000	1,241,000	
TOTAL IN-CUSTODY / POST CUSTODY DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAM	711,000	1,003,000	1,587,000	1,587,000	1,587,000	
Reentry Facility						
Establish a 24-hour full service Reentry facility	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	AB109, DMC, Grants, NCC
TOTAL REENTRY FACILITY	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	
Recidivism Reduction Community Reintegration						
Implement professional case management and cognitive-behavioral program services	-	6,200,000	6,200,000	6,200,000	6,200,000	AB109, Grants, NCC
TOTAL RECIDIVISM REDUCTION COMMUNITY REINTEGRATION	-	6,200,000	6,200,000	6,200,000	6,200,000	
Enhancing In-Custody Behavioral Health Treatment						
Create or obtain dedicated space to address LPS dedicated care, psychiatric observation, and step-down mental health services for mentally ill individuals in county jail system.	16,283,988	16,455,748	17,527,130	18,614,862	19,523,282	AB109, NCC
Increase medical and mental health care staffing and jail security staffing to properly address the needs of the mentally ill individuals in the county jail system.	14,726,192	14,918,549	15,165,045	15,174,730	15,149,950	
TOTAL INTAKE RELEASE CENTER MEDICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH RENOVATION	31,010,180	31,374,297	32,692,175	33,789,592	34,673,232	
TOTAL INTEGRATED SERVICES	52,996,180	57,292,297	58,476,675	59,841,592	62,817,732	

LEGEND:**AB109:** 2011 Public Safety Realignment**DMC:** Drug Medi-Cal Program**Grants/Other:** Various current or anticipated grants/allocations from state, federal or other**JJCPA:** Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act Grant**MH Realignment:** Mental Health Realignment Funds (1991 Realignment)**MHSA:** Mental Health Services Act**Prop 172:** Public Safety Sales Tax**SAPT:** Substance Abuse and Prevention Treatment Block Grant**WPC:** Whole Person Care Grant**NCC:** Net County Cost (General Fund)

FY 2018-19 Community Corrections Partnership Survey

This survey is designed to help Californians understand your efforts, goals, and successes in implementing Public Safety Realignment. The information you share will be used as the basis of the Board of State and Community Corrections' (BSCC) annual report to the Governor and Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Plans as required by section (11) of subdivision (b) of Section 6027 of the Penal Code. Your responses help to illustrate how counties are allocating and using funds to reduce recidivism while keeping communities safe. We hope you will also consider answering a few optional questions to show how your county is responding to the unique needs of local offenders and what, if any, challenges have arisen and changes have resulted from those responses.

Survey

This survey was designed by the BSCC in consultation with the Department of Finance to assist counties with reporting requirements. Counties completing the required portions of the survey will have met the report requirement. Counties that complete the survey are compensated.

The Budget Act of 2017 (AB 96, Chapter 23) appropriates \$7,900,000 to counties as follows:

Counties are eligible to receive funding if they submit a report to the Board of State and Community Corrections by December 15, 2017, that provides information about the actual implementation of the 2016-17 Community Corrections Partnership plan accepted by the County Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 1230.1 of the Penal Code. The report shall include, but not be limited to, progress in achieving outcome measures as identified in the plan or otherwise available. Additionally, the report shall include plans for the 2017-18 allocation of funds, including future outcome measures, programs and services, and funding priorities as identified in the plan accepted by the County Board of Supervisors.

Funding

Funds will be distributed by January 31, 2019 to counties that comply with all survey requirements as follows:

(1) \$100,000 to each county with a population of 0 to 200,000, inclusive, (2) \$150,000 to each county with a population of 200,001 to 749,999, inclusive, and (3) \$200,000 to each county with a population of 750,000 and above. Allocations will be determined based on the most recent county population data published by the Department of Finance.

Survey Distribution

This survey has been distributed electronically to each Chief Probation Officer as CCP Chair. Each CCP Chair is encouraged to share the survey with CCP members prior to

completion and submission. Responses should represent the collective views of the CCP and not a single agency or individual.

Submission Instructions

To make the survey more user friendly, the BSCC is using both Microsoft Word and Excel for a complete submittal package. **The survey consists of two (2) parts and five (5) sections:**

- Part A- to be completed in Microsoft Word
Section 1: CCP Membership;
Section 2: Your Goals, Objectives and Outcome Measures; and
Section 3: Optional Questions.
- Part B- to be completed in Microsoft Excel
Section 4: FY 2017-18 Public Safety Realignment Funding; and
Section 5: FY 2018-19 Public Safety Realignment Funding.

Respondents may use spell and grammar checks for their narrative responses (Part A, Sections 1, 2, and 3) and Excel's auto-sum features when completing the budgetary questions (Part B, Sections 4 and 5). If you choose not to answer an optional question, please respond "Decline to Respond".

To produce a more comprehensive report on the implementation of realignment, we are asking for photos, and quotes from program participants and/or stakeholders, if available. You do not need to provide identifying information. Please attach photos of programs in action along with a few quotes. These may be published in the *2011 Public Safety Realignment Act: Seventh Annual Report on the Implementation of Community Corrections Partnership Plans*.

Note: Please ensure any individual(s) in the photos have given their consent for use/publication. In addition, please do not submit any photos that include faces of minors (youth under 18).

To submit the CCP Survey package, as well as providing any optional photos and/or quotes, email all attachments in a single email to:

Helene Zentner, BSCC Field Representative at: Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

For questions, also contact at: 916-323-8631

Due Date

A single completed survey package (Parts A and B) must be submitted electronically to the BSCC by **Friday, December 14, 2018**. The CCP is encouraged to collaborate on responses and the CCP Chair should submit the survey. Only one submission by a county will be accepted.

If you experience any difficulty completing this survey or need technical assistance, please contact:

Helene Zentner, BSCC Field Representative
916-323-8631 or Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

Thank you.

FY 2018-19 Community Corrections Partnership Survey PART A

SECTION 1: CCP Membership

Section 1 asks questions related to the CCP composition and meeting frequency. There are five (5) questions in this section.

1. County Name: [Orange County](#)
2. Penal Code Section 1230 identifies the membership of the CCP. Provide the name of each individual fulfilling a membership role as of October 1, 2018 in the spaces to the right of each membership role. If a membership role is not filled, respond by indicating "vacant."

Chief Probation Officer	Steve Sentman
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court or designee	Charles Margines
County Supervisor or Chief Administrative Officer or a designee of the Board of Supervisors	Todd Spitzer
District Attorney	Tony Rackaukas
Public Defender	Sharon L. Petrosino
Sheriff	Sandra Hutchens
Chief of Police	Todd Elgin
Head of the County Department of Social Services	Debra Baetz
Head of the County Department of Mental Health	Jeff Nagel
Head of the County Department of Employment	Brian Rayburn
Head of the County Alcohol and Substance Abuse Programs	Jeff Nagel
Head of the County Office of Education	vacant
A representative from a community-based organization with experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense	Bob Roll
An individual who represents the interests of victims	Ronnetta Johnson

3. How often does the CCP meet? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Bi-weekly (every other week)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Monthly
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bi-monthly (every other month)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Quarterly
<input type="checkbox"/>	Semi-Annually
<input type="checkbox"/>	Annually
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please specify)

4. How often does the Executive Committee of the CCP meet? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

	Bi-weekly(every other week)
	Monthly
	Bi-monthly(every other month)
X	Quarterly
	Semi-Annually
	Annually
	Other (please specify)

5. Does the CCP have subcommittees or working groups? Use an "X" to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If "Yes," list the subcommittees and/or working groups and the purpose.

The CCP has a dedicated position to coordinate the directives of the executive committee and may pull together ad hoc committees or working groups as needed.

There is also an established AB109 Working Group, which meets on a quarterly basis to discuss issues related to the needs of the AB109 population. The group has representatives from the Orange County Sheriff's Department (OCSD), Probation, Collaborative Courts, Health Care Agency (HCA), Workforce Development, Public Defender, District Attorney, Law Enforcement, Victims Services, and Community Based Organization. The Working Group's purpose is to facilitate goal development and measure accomplishments, create sub-groups when appropriate as needed, identify and trouble shoot areas of challenge, and share best practices.

SECTION 2: Your Goals, Objectives and Outcome Measures

Section 2 asks questions related to your goals, objectives, and outcome measures. To view your responses provided in the 2017-18 survey, [click here](#).

For the purpose of this survey:

- **Goals are defined as broad statements the CCP intends to accomplish.**
- **Objectives support identified goals and are defined by statements of specific, measureable aims of the goal.**
- **Outcome measures consist of the actual measurement of stated goals and objectives.**

Example:

Goal	Increase substance use disorder treatment to offenders in ABC County
Objective	40% of participants will complete substance use disorder treatment
Objective	100% of participants will receive screening for substance use disorder treatment

Outcome Measure	Number of participants enrolled in substance use disorder treatment
Outcome Measure	Number of participants completing substance use disorder treatment
Progress toward stated goal	Between January 2018 and October 2018, 70% of participants in substance use disorder treatment reported a decrease in the urge to use drugs. This is a 10% increase from the same period last year.

6. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2017-18. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2017-18, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating "Not Applicable."

Goal	Implementation of a system that provides public safety and utilizes best practices in reducing recidivism.
Objective	Expand the Day Reporting Center (DRC) to include a second DRC site
Objective	
Objective	
Outcome Measure	Collect data on Average Daily Population (ADP) and demographics of participants.
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	The DRC expanded to two locations adding the City of Westminster on September 25, 2017. The DRC now operates in the Cities of Santa Ana and Westminster. Since opening the location in the City of Westminster, the average daily population at the Westminster DRC has been increasing and is currently at 60 participants. The average daily population at the Santa Ana DRC has also been increasing and is currently at 80 participants. The goal of opening a second DRC location to increase participation has been accomplished.

7. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2017-18. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective, and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2017-18, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating "Not Applicable."

Goal	Establish and sustain a centrally located Reentry Facility in Orange County.
Objective	Contract for Reentry facility
Objective	
Objective	
Outcome Measure	Implement Prop 47 Grant Agreement
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	

Progress toward stated goal	The County was awarded \$6M following a competitive RFP process with the BSCC. This process enabled the County’s Behavioral Health Services to contract with Project Kinship, to establish a Reentry center for individuals with mild to moderate mental illness. The contract was approved by the Board of Supervisors in July, 2018 and Project Kinship opened the Reentry center in September 2018. The local Prop 47 Advisory Committee will continue to pursue the next round of funding in January 2019 to sustain and potentially expand the Reentry center.
-----------------------------	---

8. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures from FY 2017-18. If the CCP kept the same goal, objective, and outcome measure from a prior fiscal year for FY 2017-18, provide that information. If no goal, objective, or outcome measure was identified, respond by indicating “Not Applicable.”

Goal	Provide a stable sober living environment for clients who are active in Outpatient Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Treatment. These services will help these clients have a successful transition from more intense levels of SUD treatment (Residential; Detox) to housing in the community.
Objective	Remain sober and abstinent while in the program
Objective	Work with consumers to find employment before leaving services.
Objective	Link to stable housing upon completion from sober living.
Outcome Measure	91% of all participants tested negative for drugs while in the program.
Outcome Measure	66% of participants were employed while in the program.
Outcome Measure	94% of clients were linked to stable housing upon completion of the program.
Progress toward stated goal	In FY 2018-19, several new recovery residences were added in order to provide this necessary service to more clients. We have also opened up the eligibility criteria to make the service available to more clients in need. This will allow for a greater likelihood that clients in SUD treatment will have a successful transition back to the community.

9. Will the CCP use the same goals, objectives, and outcome measures identified above in FY 2018-19? Use an “X” to check the box to the left of the list.

	Yes
X	No. The CCP will add and/or modify goals, objectives, and outcome measures (continue with section 3)

10. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures for FY 2018-19.

Goal	Improve public safety outcomes and utilize best practices in reducing recidivism.
Objective	Implement operational enhancements of the Day Reporting Center (DRC) to improve participant outcomes.
Objective	
Objective	

Outcome Measure	Number of participants attending Program Intake
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	On July 1, 2018, the DRC rearranged the initial program phases to increase attendance at each phase. By scheduling the Program Orientation before Program Intake, attendance to the Program Intake has already increased by 50 percent.

11. Describe a goal, one or more objectives, and outcome measures for FY 2018-19.

Goal	Creation of a centrally located Reentry Facility in Orange County to manage our additional responsibilities under Realignment
Objective	Establish a Reentry Facility in Orange County
Objective	
Objective	
Outcome Measure	Identify the Reentry Facility as a County Strategic Priority for 2018
Outcome Measure	
Outcome Measure	
Progress toward stated goal	The County continues to move towards this goal. The County's 2018 Strategic Financial Plan identifies and outlines the need for a reentry facility to ensure resources and services are available to those released from custody who may not fall under the Community Support and Recovery Center criteria.

12. Describe a goal, one or more objectives and outcome measures for FY 2018-19.

Goal	Provide successful transition from treatment to the community through Sober Living services
Objective	Remain sober and abstinent while in program
Objective	Link to stable housing upon completion from sober living
Objective	
Outcome Measure	91% of all participants tested negative for drugs while in the program.
Outcome Measure	66% of participants were employed while in the program.
Outcome Measure	94% of clients were linked to stable housing upon completion of the program.
Progress toward stated goal	In FY 2018-19, several new recovery residences were added in order to provide this necessary service to more clients. We have also opened up the eligibility criteria to make the service available to more clients in need. This will allow for a greater likelihood that clients in SUD treatment will have a successful transition back to the community. Target population will include all clients involved in substance abuse treatment.

SECTION 3: Optional Questions

Section 3 asks optional questions about evaluation, data collection, programs and services, training and technical assistance needs, and local best practices. There are 10 questions in this section. Responses will be used by the BSCC and its justice-system partners to better understand the needs of counties. If you choose not to answer an optional question, please respond “Decline to Respond.”

13. Describe the process the CCP uses to determine potential programs and/or services for local implementation using Realignment funds?

Potential programs or services are either brought forth by Executive CCP members or via the AB109 Working Group through the CCP Coordinator. At the quarterly Working Group meetings, the group may introduce and vet potential programs and/or services for local implementation utilizing Public Safety Realignment funds. Since this group is made up of individuals who are at the forefront of delivering services/programs to the AB109 population, they are well in-tune with their gaps, needs, and challenges. Potential programs and/or services are clearly identified and presented to the CCP Executive Committee for potential funding. Similarly, any Executive Member may identify a need and may direct the CCP Coordinator to return to the Working Group to go through their process or, in the case of small requests, may make them directly.

All funding and appropriations must also be approved by the County’ Board of Supervisors as submitted.

14. Does the county evaluate the effectiveness (as defined locally) of programs and/or services funded with its Public Safety Realignment allocation? Use an “X” to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If yes, how?

- 1) Orange County Health Care Agency provides recovery residence opportunities to persons recently released from correctional facilities and under the supervision of the OC Probation Department. Outcome measure data is collected from service providers.
- 2) OC Probation conducts continuous evaluation and monitoring of the Orange County Day Reporting Centers (DRCs) which serves the AB109 population: recidivism outcomes of DRC participants are tracked by DRC site (Santa Ana and Westminster) as well as by the type of program exit.

15. Does the county consider evaluation results when funding programs and/or services? Use an “X” to check the box to the left of the list.

X	Yes
	No

If yes, how?

The County’s Board of Supervisors are provided with metrics when programs/services and contract renewals come before them for approval. This information is used to ensure that funding is directed to result oriented programs/services.

16. Does the county use [BSCC definitions](#) (average daily population, conviction, length of stay, recidivism, and/or treatment program completion rates) when collecting data? Use an “X” to check the yes or no box to the left of the list, as applicable.

Yes	No	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Average daily population
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Conviction
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Length of stay
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recidivism
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Treatment program completion rates

17. What percentage of the Public Safety Realignment allocation is used for evidence-based programming (as defined locally)? Use an “X” to check the box to the left of the list.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Less than 20%
<input type="checkbox"/>	21% 40%
<input type="checkbox"/>	41% 60%
<input type="checkbox"/>	61% 80%
<input type="checkbox"/>	81% or higher

18. We would like to better understand your county's capacity to offer mental health, substance use disorder, behavioral health treatment programs, and/or other services? What type and level of services are now available?

Post-Custody behavioral health services are offered through the County’s Health Care Agency (HCA). HCA is responsible for services to the Severely Mentally Ill population. The County offers an information and referral hotline (1 800 OCLINKS), an Open Access program, five Regional Outpatient clinics and eight Adult Full Service Partnerships. The County has a robust collaborative court program and one Full Service Partnership designated to work with individuals linked with probation and AB109. A Psychiatrist and assessment team is also embedded in the probation office to meet the needs of AB109 clients transitioning from incarceration to the community, including individuals with mild to moderate mental health issues. Substance Use Disorder (SUD) along with those with co-occurring mental illness is a large part of our AB 109 population. To address SUD, HCA continues to offer a continuum of services that include medical and social model detox, residential treatment, outpatient treatment, sober living, medication assisted treatment, and methadone maintenance and detox.

The County of Orange opted in to the State’s Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) pilot program July 2018. As a result, an ASAM tool was developed to link persons with SUD to an appropriate level of care. The County has added Intensive Outpatient Treatment in its

menu of services offered. Those with co-occurring issues have a specific program to address both addiction and mental health challenges.

In-custody behavioral health services are provided by the Health Care Agency's Correctional Health staff. Each inmate entering the jail has a mental health assessment completed by a Correctional Health nurse and treatment plans are identified. Those diagnosed with severe mental illness requiring acute treatments are housed in a dedicated mental health area of the jail.

19. What challenges does your county face in meeting these program and service needs?

Overall, accessibility to post-custody mental health services is readily available. This is partly due to the leveraging of Prop 63 funds (Mental Health Services Act, 2004) and other funding to create services and increase capacity.

However, access to SUD residential services remains difficult. We do not have an adequate amount of providers that can provide residential treatment. The County lost a perinatal provider that had two locations which provided residential treatment services to women who are pregnant and parenting. One of our residential co-ed providers lost one of their homes, resulting in a male only program. Our need for residential treatment to treat our females has increased with not enough providers. In addition, the County's current providers are maxed out and despite available funding the County lacks new providers. Another challenge has been finding more providers that can treat our co-occurring population within our county lines.

The County continues to face challenges with In-custody programming and treatment services as inmates are serving longer sentences and often have more significant issues thereby requiring more programming, treatments and services. Currently, approximately 20% of the inmate population have mental health needs yet treatment is limited to available capacity and staffing levels. In addition, it is estimated that 70% of the inmate population has some form of a substance abuse disorder. Providing appropriate programming and treatment has been a continuous challenge due to the greater need and limited resources. Both issues have been identified as strategic priorities for the County and are included in the Five-Year Strategic Financial Plan.

20. What programmatic changes and/or course corrections have you made in the implementation of Public Safety Realignment that you believe other counties would find helpful?

In October 2017, HCA and Probation agreed to expand sober living services to persons on probation that successfully completed treatment. Expanding accessibility to sober living has the potential of having a very positive impact on clients access to treatment and overall the community. Clients in residential treatment often have lost everything, including a place to live. Sober residences provide the additional support in combination with outpatient treatment to help the client secure employment, save money, and re-acclimate into the community. Requirement for accessing sober living is to be enrolled in an outpatient treatment program.

21. Describe a local best practice or promising program that has produced positive results. If data exists to support the results, please share.

The County Health Care Agency, Behavioral Health Services has developed a workgroup across divisions to create a more trauma informed system of care, based on the seven domains of trauma informed care (TIC). This group is involved in several agency wide initiatives including TIC trainings, staff support, increasing trainings for best practices, and workforce and peer development.

Treatment Outcomes

AB109 offenders that participated in HCA mental health treatment spent fewer days in psychiatric treatment facilities, and were less likely to be incarcerated or homeless at discharge. There were also improvements in employment and school enrollment. AB109 offenders that participated in SUD treatment showed a significant reduction in all criminal justice indicators, as well as reductions in number of visits to the ER for mental health issues and serious family conflict. There were also improvements in employment and abstinence from alcohol and drug use, as well as an increase in the use of recovery networks. Roughly one-third of AB109 offenders that participated in SUD treatment who were initially homeless gained housing (independent or dependent) by discharge.

At intake, AB109 offenders had lower motivation than clients receiving SUD treatment nationwide and motivation scores were slightly higher than or comparable to the average Orange County SUD client. After receiving treatment, AB109 offenders showed comparable motivation to other clients in the county, and higher motivation than SUD clients nationwide. At discharge, AB109 offenders also reported higher levels of peer support within their program and social support outside of treatment which is comparable to Orange County and national norms for those indicators.

AB109 offenders' scores of self-harm were low and scores decreased over the course of treatment. The most common harmful behaviors were drug or alcohol abuse, and/or engaging in an abusive relationship. Psychiatric symptomatology was low throughout treatment. While there was an initial increase in psychiatric symptoms after entering treatment, over time, AB109 offenders showed reductions in the severity of their psychiatric symptoms.

Surveys and tools used during the evaluation are:

- MacArthur Community Violence Instrument – Examines instances of harm to others and victimization. This measurement tool has been replaced by the Criminal Justice Scale in an effort to obtain more reliable information.
- Modified Self-Harm Inventory – A modified version of the original 22-item self-help inventory, helps to examine how frequently clients participate in self-harm behaviors
- Modified Colorado Symptom Inventory – Examines psychiatric symptomatology
- California Outcome Measure System (CalOMS) – Collect client demographic information, along with outcome data (e.g. substance use frequency, criminal involvement, hospitalizations, homelessness, employment and education, family and social functioning, etc.)
- HCA Caminar database

- Client Evaluation of Self at Intake & Discharge (CESI & CEST) – Administered in substance use treatment, these tools assess clients’ motivation for treatment, engagement in treatment, counseling rapport, and peer and social support

22. Describe how the BSCC can assist your county in meeting its Public Safety Realignment goals through training and/or technical assistance?

No response.

NOTE: The information contained in this report will be made public by the BSCC in the annual report to the Governor’s Office and the Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership plans in print and on the BSCC website.

23. Provide the contact information for the individual completing this survey in the spaces provided to the right of the list.

Name	Robert Dorrough
Organization	Orange County – County Executive Office / Budget
Address	Hall of Administration
Address 2	333 W Santa Ana Blvd., 3 rd Floor
City/Town	Santa Ana
ZIP Code	92701
Email Address	robert.dorrough@ocgov.com
Phone Number	714-834-2320

24. Identify the individual who may be contacted for follow up questions. Use an “X” to check the box to the left of the list.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Same as above
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (If "Other" provide contact information below)

Name	
Organization	
Address	
Address 2	
City/Town	
ZIP Code	
Email Address	
Phone Number	

ATTENTION: This is only Part A of the Survey. Please complete Part B in Microsoft Excel which consists of two (2) budgetary sections

SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS:

In a single email, please attach both the completed Part A (Word) and completed Part B (Excel) documents, including any optional photos and/or quotes, and email to:

**Helene Zentner, Field Representative
Board of State and Community Corrections**

916-323-8631 or Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

**FY 2018-19 Community Corrections Partnership Survey
PART B**

SECTION 4: FY 2017-18 Public Safety Realignment Funding Allocation

Section 4 contains questions related to the allocation of FY 2017-18 Public Safety Realignment dollars. There are three (3) questions in this section.

When answering these questions, consider the total funds received in FY 2017-18, which should include 2016-17 growth and 2017-18 programmatic funding.

To view your response provided in the 2017-2018 Survey, [click here](#).

Responses are captured in the Individual County Profile section of the "2011 Public Safety Realignment Act: Sixth Annual Report on the Implementation of Community Corrections Partnership Plans."

County Name: ORANGE

25. Of the total funds received in FY 2017-18, how did the CCP budget the allocation? Input the total allocation in the cell above the table. Within the table, identify where funds were allocated to, and include if you are using any carry-over funds and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red. Please correct any cells displaying red prior to submitting.

Example:

Total Allocation: \$ 40,000,000

Where funds were allocated to:	Amount
Probation Department	\$ 8,000,000
Mental Health Agency	\$ 8,000,000
Sheriff Department	\$ 4,000,000
ABC Police Department	\$ 4,000,000
Other (Social Services, Health Services, etc.) Please specify by agency	\$ 12,000,000
Carry-over Funds	\$ 2,000,000
Reserve Funds	\$ 2,000,000

Total sums to: **\$ 40,000,000**
 Difference from Stated Allocation: **\$ -**

*Please spell out all names,
no acronyms.*

Total Allocation: \$ 84,801,755

Where funds were allocated to:	Amount
Sheriff Department	\$ 45,847,444
Health Care Agency In-Custody	\$ 10,176,211
Health Care Agency Post-Custody	\$ 7,632,158
Probation Department	\$ 18,513,552
Local Law Enforcement Entities	\$ 793,520
District Attorney	\$ 848,018
Public Defender	\$ 848,018
CCP Coordinator	\$ 142,834

(Total sums to) **\$ 84,801,755**
 Difference from Stated Allocation: **\$ -**

*Please spell out all names,
no acronyms.*

26. Of the total funds received in FY 2017-18, how much did the CCP allocate to public agencies for programs and services? How much did the CCP allocate to non-public agencies for programs and services? Input the total allocations in the cells above each table. Within the tables, identify where funds were allocated to. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red. Please correct any cells displaying red prior to submitting.

Example:

Total Allocation to public agencies: **\$ 14,000,000**

Total Allocation to non-public agencies: **\$ 15,000,000**

Where funds were allocated to (public agencies):	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):	Amount
ABC Drug Court	\$ 5,000,000	Community-based Organizations	\$ 5,000,000
ABC Diversion Program	\$ 2,800,000	Faith-Based Organizations	\$ 2,000,000
GPS/Electronic Monitoring	\$ 4,000,000	Non-Profits	\$ 4,000,000
In-custody services	\$ 2,200,000	Treatment Programs	\$ 2,000,000
Other (please specify)		Other (please specify)	\$ 2,000,000
(Total sums to)	\$ 14,000,000	(Total sums to)	\$ 15,000,000
Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -	Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -

Total Allocation to public agencies: **\$ 16,931,802**

Total Allocation to non-public agencies: **\$ 2,606,726**

Where funds were allocated to (public agencies):	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):	Amount
Health Care Agency (In Custody Services)	\$ 13,900,297	Health Care Agency (Community Based Organizations)	\$ 2,595,926
Health Care Agency (Mental Health Services)	\$ 917,965	Probation (OC Human Relations-Restorative Justice Svcs)	\$ 10,800
Health Care Agency (Alcohol & Drug Abuse Services)	\$ 360,911		
Probation (GPS Electronic Monitoring)	\$ 60,392		
Probation In housing GPS Monitoring Unit	\$ 132,346		
Probation (BI Inc Breath Alcohol Testing)	\$ 39,155		
Probation (Cellebrite Software - Electronic Device Data Recovery)	\$ 4,080		
Probation (BI Inc Day Reporting Center (DRC) Diversion Program)	\$ 508,832		
Probation (Redwood Toxicology-Drug Laboratory Testing)	\$ 53,060		
Probation (CM Tipton-Polygraph Services)	\$ 8,700		
Probation (OCTA-Bus Passes)	\$ 16,082		
Probation (Sober Living - Diversion Program)	\$ 598,299		
Probation (Adult Mental Health Services)	\$ 331,683		
(Total sums to)	\$ 16,931,802	(Total sums to)	\$ 2,606,726
Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -	Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -

27. How much funding, if any, was allocated to data collection and/or evaluation of AB 109 programs and services?

\$359,651

SECTION 5: FY 2018-19 Public Safety Realignment Funding Allocation

Section 5 asks two (2) questions related to the allocation of **FY 2018-19 Public Safety Realignment funding**.

When answering these questions consider the total funds received in **FY 2018-19**, which should include 2017-18 growth and 2018-19 programmatic funding.

28. Of the total funds received in FY 2018-19, how did the CCP budget the allocation? Please identify the total allocation you received, if you are using any carry-over funds, and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Input the total allocation in the cell above the table. Within the table, identify where funds were allocated to, and include if you are using any carry-over funds and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in **red**. Please correct any cells displaying **red** prior to submitting.

Example:

Total Allocation: **\$ 40,000,000**

Where funds were allocated to:	Amount
Probation Department	\$ 8,000,000
Mental Health Agency	\$ 8,000,000
Sheriff Department	\$ 4,000,000
ABC Police Department	\$ 4,000,000
Other (Social Services, Health Services, etc.)	
Please specify by agency	\$ 12,000,000
Carry-over Funds	\$ 2,000,000
Reserve Funds	\$ 2,000,000

(Total sums to) **\$ 40,000,000**
 Difference from Stated Allocation: **\$ -**

Please spell out all names, no acronyms.

Total Allocation: **\$ 90,520,657**

Where funds were allocated to:	Amount
Sheriff Department	\$ 48,881,156
Health Care Agency In/Post-Custody	\$ 18,556,734
Probation Department	\$ 18,828,296
Local Law Enforcement Entities	\$ 1,810,413
District Attorney	\$ 905,207
Public Defender	\$ 905,207
CCP Coordinator	\$ 181,041
Reentry Services	\$ 452,603

(Total sums to) **\$ 90,520,657**
 Difference from Stated Allocation: **\$ -**

Please spell out all names, no acronyms.

29. If known: of the total funds received in FY 2018-19, how much did the CCP allocate to public agencies for programs and services? How much did the CCP allocate to non-public agencies for programs and services? Input the total allocations in the cells above each table. Within the tables, identify where funds were allocated to. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red.

Example:

Total Allocation to public agencies: **\$ 14,000,000**

Total Allocation to non-public agencies: **\$ 15,000,000**

Where funds were allocated to (public agencies):	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):	Amount
ABC Drug Court	\$ 5,000,000	Community-Based Organizations	\$ 5,000,000
ABC Diversion Program	\$ 2,800,000	Faith-Based Organizations	\$ 2,000,000
GPS/Electronic Monitoring	\$ 4,000,000	Non-Profits	\$ 4,000,000
In-custody Services	\$ 2,200,000	Treatment Programs	\$ 2,000,000
Other (please specify)		Other (please specify)	\$ 2,000,000
(Total sums to)	\$ 14,000,000	(Total sums to)	\$ 15,000,000
Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -	Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -

Total Allocation to public agencies: **\$ 16,628,670**

Total Allocation to non-public agencies: **\$ 4,025,985**

Where funds were allocated to (public agencies):	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):	Amount
Health Care Agency (In Custody Services)	\$ 12,409,876	Health Care Agency (Community Based Organizations)	\$ 4,017,985
Health Care Agency (Mental Health Services)	\$ 1,626,786	Probation (OC Human Relations-Restorative Justice Services)	\$ 8,000
Health Care Agency (Alcohol & Drug Abuse Services)	\$ 502,088		
Probation (GPS Electronic Monitoring)	\$ 60,000		
Probation (BI Inc Soberlink Alcohol Monitor Equipment)	\$ 45,000		
Probation (Cellebrite Software Renewal)	\$ 3,300		
Probation (Risk Needs Assessment-Council of State Gov't)	\$ 20,649		
Probation (Day Reporting Center (DRC) Diversion Program)	\$ 559,890		
Probation (Redwood Toxicology-Drug Laboratory Testing)	\$ 115,000		
Probation (CM Tipton-Polygraph Services)	\$ 20,001		
Probation (OCTA-Bus Passes)	\$ 100,000		
Probation (Sober Living - Diversion Program)	\$ 743,725		
Probation (Adult Mental Health Services)	\$ 422,355		
(Total sums to)	\$ 16,628,670	(Total sums to)	\$ 4,025,985
Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -	Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation: \$ -

NOTE: The information contained in this report will be made public by the BSCC in the annual report to the Governor's Office and the Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership plans in print and on the BSCC website.

ATTENTION: This is only Part B of the Survey. Please complete Part A in Microsoft Word which consists of three (3) narrative sections.

SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS:

In a single email, please attach both the completed Part A (Word) and completed Part B (Excel) documents, including any optional photos and/or quotes, and email to:

Helene Zentner, Field Representative
 Board of State and Community Corrections
 916-323-8631 or Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

Thank you.

Item #4:**Approve Award of Contract to Regents of the University of California at Irvine for Data Collection and Evaluation Services**

- On July 26, 2018, the CCP Committee unanimously approved the Scope of Work for a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the procurement of data collection and evaluation services related to the implementation of the County's AB109 Public Safety Realignment programs.
- On October 22, 2018, the RFP was issued through the CEO Office on BidSync with proposals due back on November 28, 2018. A total of three proposals were received.
- An evaluation panel made up of subject matter experts representing HCA, Probation and Public Defender reviewed each proposal and rated each based on service delivery, company experience, operation and staffing, financial stability and background information, and program costs.
- The table below summarizes the results of the evaluation panel:

	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Total Score	Average Score
Proponent's Scores					
The Regents of the University of California	97.67	84.50	97.67	279.84	93.28
Urban Institute	88.83	72.17	86.00	247.00	82.33
Health Management Associates, Inc	87.67	76.33	82.00	246.00	82.00

- The recommendation is to award the contract to the Regents of the University of California at Irvine for a total amount of \$548,602.

Background checks were conducted with the LA Sheriff's Department, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, and the California Department of State Hospitals. Each had similar projects completed by the proposed vendor and all reviews were excellent.

- Next Steps:
 - CEO Budget/CCP Coordinator will bring the contract to the Board of Supervisors for approval in February.
 - Once approved, the CCP Coordinator will work with all impacted departments and agencies to ensure requests for information are properly communicated and provided timely.
 - CCP Coordinator will provide updates at future CCP Committee Meetings.



Public Safety Realignment in Orange County

4th Quarter 2018 Report *October to December*

Prepared by:

Orange County Community Corrections Partnership



VISION STATEMENT

“Enhancing the quality of life of Orange County residents by promoting public safety, reducing recidivism and creating safer communities.”

MISSION STATEMENT


The **Mission** of the Orange County Community Corrections Partnership is to enhance public safety by holding offenders accountable and reducing recidivism by utilizing fiscally responsible, quantifiable, evidenced based and promising practices that support victims and community restoration.



I. FILINGS

Measure	Monthly Average	CY 2018	Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		
			Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Felony Filings	928	11,134	917	805	927	985	1,044	888	973	1,094	818	942	883	858

II. INITIAL SENTENCING






Sentencing Type	%	Month Avg	CY 2018	Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
A. Mandatory Supervision ("split") <i>[PC§1170(h)(5)(b)]</i>	7%	42	502	34	36	41	43	30	47	42	46	37	46	39	61
B. Straight County Jail <i>[PC§1170(h)(5)(a)]</i>	6%	32	385	37	29	32	32	33	40	32	22	21	38	35	34
C. State Prison <i>(non PC§1170 eligible)</i>	29%	169	2,022	167	176	195	143	154	195	179	203	149	176	124	161
D. Felony Probation <i>[PC§1203.1]</i>	58%	330	3,958	304	340	337	336	352	346	343	300	314	379	285	322
E. TOTAL	100%	572	6,867	542	581	605	554	569	628	596	571	521	639	483	578

III. PETITIONS /COURT'S MOTIONS TO REVOKE/MODIFY


Petitions / Court's Motions	%	Month Avg	CY 2018	Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4		
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
A. Mandatory Supervision ("split")	5%	41	488	37	33	41	30	44	31	39	66	53	39	32	43
B. Postrelease Community Supv	19%	167	2,004	198	150	141	187	190	142	167	165	171	188	147	158
C. Parole	6%	54	650	60	55	54	50	74	46	60	53	37	53	54	54
D. Felony Probation	70%	605	7,263	705	560	603	705	664	635	592	603	559	592	495	550
o Petitions	34%	296	3,557	351	271	292	331	359	327	293	289	258	296	228	262
o Court's Motion	36%	309	3,706	354	289	311	374	305	308	299	314	301	296	267	288
E. TOTAL	100%	867	10,405	1,000	798	839	972	972	854	858	887	820	872	728	805




AVG Monthly PRCS Violators Booked	Mental Health Treatment			AVG Monthly Population of PC 1170(h) 468.00 Serving an average of 184.03 days
	Open Cases	New Cases	Rec. Psy. Drugs	
150.00 per month	1744	385	938	
Average Length of Stay 58.18	Sick Calls	Dr. Visits	Off Site Dr. Visits	
	7863	6653	189	



- Total number of PC 1170 (h) offenders (non-violent, non-serious, non-sex offenders) sentenced to the Orange County Jails as a new commitment. Includes both straight and split sentences.



- Total number of Post-Release Community Supervision offenders booked on a 1) PC 3454(c) flash incarceration; 2) PC3455(a) – revoked for technical violation; and 3) for new charges.



- Total number of state parole violators booked on a 1) PC3056(a) parole violation only; 2) received jail time as a result of a parole revocation hearing; and 3) any new offense(s) including 1170(h) charges.



Orange County Public Defender’s Office
Sharon Petrosino, Public Defender



The Public Defender’s Office is starting 2019 on a positive note with the creation of its new Re-Entry Unit. This new unit, led by Assistant Public Defender Frank Bittar, is designed specifically for Ab-109 clients and those in need of re-entry services. The Re-Entry Unit will focus on integrating evidence-based practices to ensure that our clients receive the best legal support and the most appropriate resources for their needs. The creation of the Re-Entry Unit will help to further our goal of reducing recidivism and making the community safer.

As we completed 2018 and began the first quarter of 2019, the Public Defender’s office has continued to staff the Realignment client population with three regularly assigned attorneys, two resource service paralegals, an attorney clerk, and a staff specialist. In addition, non-dedicated staff assist with investigation, clerical needs, and any additional Writs & Appeals issues on behalf of Realignment clients.

In addition to handling the above matters, the Re-Entry Unit team has been active in filing Proposition 47 petitions to reduce felony convictions to misdemeanors. This quarter, 664 petitions were filed, with significant benefit to our clients and their criminal records. The team has also filed for dismissals and reductions of certain marijuana charges pursuant to Proposition 64 with a total of 47 petitions filed.

With respect to AB-109 specifically, the overall number of Postrelease Community Supervision (PCS), Mandatory Supervision (MS), and parole cases remained fairly steady. The number of contested hearings remained steady, as well.

In the final quarter of 2018, the Public Defender’s Office handled the following Ab-109 matters:

PCS Cases Opened	MS Cases Opened	Parole Cases Opened	Total Court Appearances (includes PCS, MS and Parole)	Contested Hearings
488	161	172	1463	19

In addition to our legal work, the Public Defender’s Office continues to assist in the reduction of recidivism by working directly with clients to reduce their risk factors and increase stability in their lives. To this end, our two dedicated resource paralegals work closely with clients helping them acclimate in society after release from prison. The Public Defender resource paralegals continue to collaborate with OC Probation, the Division of Adult Parole Operations (DAPO) of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), Sheriff’s Department (OCSA), and Health Care Agency (HCA).



On a weekly basis, our paralegals visit the day reporting centers run by OC Probation and DAPO. In addition, they work with the OCSD on the re-entry program to further assist clients in connecting with services.

Again this quarter, our resource paralegals continue to assist our clients with necessary resources and programs.

Client Jail Visits	Client Program Visits	Phone Calls (to/from clients)	Program and service referrals	Obtaining Valid Forms of Identification
34	44	491	121	177

Throughout 2018, our paralegals and support staff provided the following assistance to our clients:

- * Assisted our clients with obtaining valid forms of identification, including driver's licenses and birth certificates, to help them gain employment, housing, and transportation
- * Helped our clients enroll in programs for family reunification services
- * Worked with our clients to obtain immigration documents to help them obtain citizenship
- * Obtained General Relief benefits, food stamps, Medi-Cal, and other forms of government assistance for our clients
- * Helped our clients obtain treatment, including sober living and drug and alcohol rehabilitation services
- * Assisted our clients with receiving mental health services
- * Helped our clients to receive veteran benefits and assistance

With assistance from our lawyers, paralegals, and support staff, our clients have been able to make huge strides in their lives, from obtaining employment, locating a place to live, and receiving treatment for a myriad of substance and mental health problems. With the newly created Re-Entry Unit, the Public Defender's Office is excited to continue to provide excellent representation and make big changes in our clients' lives.



Number of Petitions Filed


Filings	4th Q 2018
PCS Petitions Filings (Estimate)	487
Active PCS Defendants	45
Warrant PCS Defendants	307

Filings	4th Q 2018
MSV Petition Filings	166
Active MSV Defendants	79
Warrant MSV Defendants	326

Filings	4th Q 2018
Parole Petitions Filings (Estimate)	132
Active Parole Defendants	16
Warrant Parole Defendants	0

Set Court Proceedings

PCS Proceedings




Post Release Community Supervision proceedings were down 4% in the 4th quarter of 2018 as compared to the same time frame of last year.

Annual 2018 statistics for PCS proceedings indicate that the OCDA will appear in 5% more proceedings as 2017 statistics.

We are projected to appear in more Post Release Community Supervision proceedings in 2018 than in other previous years.

Petition Dispositions	2015	2016	4th Q 2017	Jan-Dec 2017	2017	4th Q 2018*	Jan- Sept 2018*	2018*	Proj Annual % Change
Dismissed	192	162	20	134	134	12	102	136	1%
Sustained No Time	532	654	220	898	898	256	1049	1399	56%
Sustained Serve Specified Time	1005	1152	417	1553	1553	475	1922	2563	65%
PRCS Terminated	173	4	4	9	9	4	17	23	152%
Total	1902	1972	661	2594	2594	747	3090	4120	59%

MSV Proceedings



Mandatory Supervision Violation filings remained consistent during 4th quarter of 2018 as compared to the same time frame of last year.


Annual 2018 statistics for MSV proceedings indicate that the OCDA will appear in 5% fewer proceedings than in 2017.

It seems we have reached a plateau in relation to filings, proceedings, and dispositions.



Petition Dispositions	2015	2016	4 th Q 2017	Jan-Dec 2017	2017	4 th Q 2018*	Jan-Sept 2018*	2018*	Jan-Dec % Change	Proj Annual % Change
Dismissed	14	25	4	21	21	0	14	14	-33%	-33%
Sustained No Time	37	35	5	39	39	7	40	40	3%	3%
Sustained Serve Specified Time	413	496	115	515	515	116	534	534	4%	4%
Sustained Returned for Remaining Term	183	194	60	205	205	33	211	211	3%	3%
MSV Terminated - Sentence Deemed Complete	62	38	7	57	57	3	22	22	-61%	-61%
Prop 47 Reduced Cases - MSV Terminated	127	6	0	3	3	0	1	1	-67%	-67%
Total	836	794	191	840	840	159	822	822	-2%	-2%

Parole Proceedings



AB 109 required the OCDA to handle Parole Violations beginning in July of 2013

The 4th quarter of 2018 saw a 9% increase in filings compared to that same time frame in 2017.

Annual 2018 statistics for Parole Violations indicate that the OCDA will appear the same amount of proceedings than in 2017.

Petition Dispositions	2015	2016	4 th Q 2017	Jan-Dec 2017	2017	4 th Q 2018*	Jan-Sept 2018*	2018*	Proj Annual % Change
Dismissed	26	28	5	29	29	10	52	41	41%
Sustained No Time	4	1	0	3	3	3	4	4	33%
Sustained Serve Specified Time	316	362	131	515	515	117	519	519	1%
Terminated	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Total	351	391	136	547	547	130	575	564	3%

Data Sources

The Office of the District Attorney (OCDA) tracks filings for Mandatory Supervision Violations in the DA Complaint Management System (CMS). This includes cases that go to warrant. However, resources are not available to track all filings for Post Release Community or Parole Violations; therefore, these numbers can only be estimated. The OCDA does track all proceedings/hearings scheduled for these AB 109 Violations.

The Central Justice Center handles all the Post Release Community Supervision (PCS) Violations. The PCS proceedings are heard in C58 on Wednesdays. They are also heard in CJ1, when defendant is in custody. Cases are most often not entered into the OCDA's CMS until a hearing is set. Cases are updated as new hearings are scheduled and dispositions and sentences are being entered into CMS.

Mandatory Supervision Violations (MSV) are heard in all courts. MSV hearings are part of the data exchange with VISION and are included in the automated data exchange between the OCDA and the Courts. Cases are updated as new hearings are scheduled and dispositions and sentences are being entered into CMS.

Parole Violations are heard at the Central Justice Center. They are heard in CJ1 on Thursdays. Cases are only entered into the OCDA's CMS once a hearing is set. Cases are updated as new hearings are scheduled and dispositions and sentences are being entered into CMS.


OCDA Representative
Beth Costello
Head of Court, Central Justice Center
714-834-7613
Beth.costello@ocda.ocgov.com

OCDA Data Expert
Katie J.B. Parsons, Ph.D.
Research Manager
714-623-0615
katie.parsons@ocda.ocgov.com




Referrals and Admissions


Probation Referrals & HCA Assessments




Admitted to Outpatient Treatment



Admitted to Housing



Admitted to Residential Treatment/Detox





AB 109 referrals and admissions to HCA Behavioral Health Services from October – December 2018:

Referrals Oct-Dec 2018	Total	Admissions Oct-Dec 2018	Total
Outpatient SUD Tx	166	Outpatient SUD Tx	75
Residential SUD Tx	173	Residential SUD Tx	64
Social Model Detox	50	Social Model Detox	36
Medical Detox	5	Medical Detox	2
Methadone Detox	5	Methadone Detox	0
Methadone Maintenance	0	Methadone Maintenance	0
Vivitrol	19	Vivitrol	4
Mental Health Outpatient	26	Mental Health Outpatient	10
Psychiatrist	11	Psychiatrist	9
Full Service Partnership (FSP)	8	Full Service Partnership (FSP)	7
Shelter Beds	0	Shelter Beds	0
Recovery Residences	40	Recovery Residences	33
Moral Reconation Therapy	7	Moral Reconation Therapy	5

Behavioral Health Services:

HCA continues to partner with Probation by providing behavioral health assessments, referrals and linkages, and services. As of July 1, 2018, the County of Orange HCA became a part of the Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Waiver which created a continuum of care and has specific requirements. The goal is to provide timely access to different levels of care based on the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) criteria. All services provided under DMC-ODS must have justification for that level of care. With the implementation of DMC-ODS, some workflows have changed. All AB 109 residential treatment referrals are now sent to the Residential Placement Coordinator who coordinates placement based on a capacity list that is updated on a daily basis. Recovery residences are available as appropriate upon completion of residential treatment and/or while enrolled in an outpatient treatment program. Additionally, there were a number of new contract providers and HCA has been working closely with them to increase their understanding about AB 109 and the collaborative process.



During this quarter, there were 696 referrals received from probation. Of the total referrals received, 590 or 85% were assessed by HCA’s AB 109 screening team. Of those assessed, 510 referrals were made for behavioral health services and of those referrals made, 245 or 48% resulted in admission. When a participant does not enroll in services probation is notified and the HCA AB 109 behavioral health team works with the probation officer to engage the participant into appropriate services. Of the different services, 34% of referrals made were for residential services, 33% for outpatient substance use treatment, 12% for detox services, 8% for recovery residences, 5% for mental health outpatient services, 2% of FSP, and 4% for Vivitrol.




Correctional Health Services:

Correctional Health Services’ clinical staff administered Vivitrol to ten (10) inmates prior to their release. Coordinated follow-up is arranged for these individuals to receive additional injections post-release via BHS out-patient services.

Nine (9) AB 109 inmates were either hospitalized or treated in the Emergency Department. This is a decrease from the previous quarter reflecting thirteen (13) inmates.



All primary care physician services are provided within the jail; however, when an AB 109 inmate needs specialty services, they are transported to specialty medical clinics off-site (such as, Cardiology, Nephrology, Oncology, OB, Surgery, etc.). There are currently nearly 26 specialty clinic services available with 34 clinic visits completed during the 4th quarter of 2018 for AB 109 inmates specifically. This equates to approximately 6% of specialty clinic services business—with only 11% of the total jail population being AB 109 status.



34 Specialty Clinic Appointments			
AB109 Type:	SPOC	REVH	Total Per Clinic
Total Number of Appointments	12	22	
Breast Center		1	1
Cardiology	2	1	3
Endocrinology		1	1
ENT		2	2
Genetic Counseling		1	1
GI	2		2
Hematology/Oncology	1	2	3
Ophthalmology		1	1
Oral Surgery	2	1	3
Orthopedics	1	7	8
Radiology	1	2	3
Urology	2		2
UTS	1	3	4
			34

In-custody Correctional Health Services triages and screens every AB 109 inmate in the jail to determine their medical and mental health needs and subsequent treatment and medication plan. The volume of patients is reflected in the Sheriff’s section of this report, as all in-custody inmates on the Sheriff’s census are also managed by in-custody healthcare staff.




Since the inception of AB 109 through December 31, 2018, OC Probation has supervised 8,318 former state prisoners.

Postrelease Community Supervision

As of December 31, 2018
1,435
individuals were on PCS active supervision.

PCS individuals without custodial sanctions are mandatorily discharged after one year. During the fourth quarter of 2018, 98 individuals were released on one-year mandatory terminations.



PCS Controlling Offense (All Felonies)	Person	Property	Drug	Weapons	Other
	12%	33%	32%	9%	14%

Mandatory Supervision

Individuals with MS Convictions from October 1, 2011 through December 31, 2018 = 3,779

Mandatory Supervision (MS) individuals are offenders sentenced under PC § 1170(h) who receive jail time followed by supervision. During the fourth quarter of 2018, 106 individuals were sentenced to MS. As of December 2018, 460 individuals are actively supervised while 388 individuals are on active warrant. In addition, 129 individuals were sentenced but are still in Orange County Jails – once released, OC Probation will supervise them.

Day Reporting Centers (DRC)

October 1, 2018 – December 31, 2018

149 Program Referrals*		81 Program Entries*		115 Program Discharges*	
Referral Reason (%)		Risk Level at Entry (%)		Phase at Exit 1-3 (%)	
Benefit to Participant	52%	High	63%	1	79%
Sanction	15%	Medium	26%	2	13%
Both	13%	Low	2%	3	8%
Unknown	20%	Not Assessed	9%	Intake/Orientation	0%

* Includes West County DRC which opened 9/25/2017



AB109 Monthly Stats December 2018


Postrelease Community Supervision (PCS)

Releases from Prison*

from 10/1/11 - 12/31/18 = 8,318

2018 YTD = 798

2018 Monthly Avg = 67



Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018

*Based on CDCR's projected release dates and are subject to change. Numbers reflect the most current release date information.

PCS Active Supervision

• 1,435

Warrants

286

465

751

•ICE

•PCS


•Total

Warrants

2018 YTD = 1196

2018 Monthly Avg = 100

36.94% of individuals had at least one warrant issued since 10/1/2011.



Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018


Never Reported Reported

Revocations

2018 YTD = 2009

2018 Monthly Avg = 167

41.32% of individuals had at least one revocation issued since 10/1/2011.



Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018


NLV Arrest Technical Violation

Day Reporting Center Participants

2018 Monthly Avg = 120

2018 Monthly Avg: (AB109 = 44, GenSup = 76)

Phase 1 Phase 2 Phase 3




Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018

Flash Incarcerations

2018 Monthly Avg = 53

2017 Monthly Avg = 50



Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018

NLV Arrest Technical Violation

Mandatory Supervision (MS)


Individuals with MS Convictions

from 10/1/11 - 12/31/18 = 3,779

2018 YTD = 391

2018 Monthly Avg = 33

2017 Monthly Avg = 32



Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2018

MS Active Supervision

• 460

Warrants





388

December Flash Incarcerations





1



Orange County Sheriff's Department
 Inmate Services Division, Programs and Services
 FY 2018-2019 Second Quarter



Programming Highlights





Returning Home Foundation

A 501(c)(3) Non-Profit Corporation

668 N. Coast Hwy, Ste. 236, Laguna Beach, CA 92651
phone 949.494.4571 • fax 949.252-2115
caroleurie@returninghomefoundation.org

January 24, 2019

Members of OCCCCP

RE: Substance use disorder treatment and services

I would like to address the substance use disorder treatment and services for those in our criminal justice system.

Ten years ago Theo Lacey had an in-custody drug program call New Start. It was paid for with money from the "Tobacco Settlement".

When Sheriff Hutchens came aboard, there was a financial crunch, and those funds had to be reassigned. And, with it two programs were cut:

1. The In-Custody Drug Program
2. The Great Escape Program

Time has changed attitudes about incarceration. Supervisor Do, the new Chair of the Orange County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council reaffirmed the need for connectedness and synergy for the various members.

Some thoughts:

We know re-entry starts at booking and that to focus all efforts on post release services is really short sighted.

I just finished reviewing the parameters for the Prop47 Grant Round 2. The BSCC does not want use of any funds in a "custodial setting" but this is exactly where disorder treatment can begin. Research has shown that the best reduction of recidivism of those with drug disabilities is an in-custody drug rehab program coupled with post release treatment.

5000 persons leave our facilities each month. If the Prop 47 statistics hold, 50% of those inmates have mental and/or substance abuse problems.

Question: Why wait until the person is released before putting them in treatment? and out on the street? Even Collaborative courts still have a backlog.

There are presently few programs in Orange County Jails for substance treatment:

Sheriff's Department website says they have programs for substance abuse recovery - but it is educational only and provided by Rancho Santiago in a 1 x/week 2 hour class.

ACHS website says it provides in-custody substance abuse counseling. But it does not. It does work with AB109 after release.

Presently in the 2018/19 Budget there is an item for the Sheriff's Department which has been approved by the CEO for an In-custody Drug Program, but it has not been funded. To effectively put this program in place it would cost approximately \$4MM with a \$1MM/yr cost thereafter.

The program has already been written by Geoff Henderson now with the Sheriff's Department. He supervised Phoenix House's Program at Theo Lacy. The program could be put into effect immediately if money were available. For men only.

We DO have one program that is an absolutely successful model
It is an 8 week course .5 days a week morning and afternoon with close post-release support

It is a collaboration of
Public Defender's Office,
Sheriff's Department,
Probation and
Working Wardrobes.

It is given at the Women's Jail IRC. It is called "ALL IN".
75 graduates. Recidivism rate 27%!!!

I believe that an In-custody Drug and Rehabilitation program could be a self-liquidating venture for Orange County that could pay for itself by reduction of bed time and reduction of post-release crime.

Many of the inmates have been already been pre-evaluated for need.

Inmates have time to participate in an In-Custody Drug Rehabilitation Program.

Maybe its time to cycle back to some things we had and lost and to look at what we have now and expand it.

We need to start thinking now about in-custody programs to be budgeted 2019 if possible, and if not in the 2019/20 budget.

It is a fiscal savings. It is Public Safety smart.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Carole Urie', with a stylized, cursive script.

Carole Urie
Executive Director

SPONSORED BY



MANAGED BY



WANT TO WORK?

Chrysalis can help!



Roads is a transitional job and job placement program for formerly incarcerated men and women currently on parole or probation.

ORIENTATIONS

MON-THURS: 8 A.M.
Downtown Los Angeles
522 S. Main St.
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Orange County
290 S. Anaheim Blvd.
Anaheim, CA 92805

San Fernando Valley
13545 Van Nuys Blvd. Ste. 1
Pacoima, CA 91331

Santa Monica
1853 Lincoln Blvd.
Santa Monica, CA 90404

The program is made possible by a partnership between the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the Butte County Office of Education and is managed by Chrysalis.

Work crews will be responsible for freeway litter abatement and brush trimming/removal. The assignments are limited to 90 work shifts.

Participants will receive job readiness training, resume prep, practice interviews, case management, job leads and placement, and retention support from Chrysalis.

Interested? Attend an orientation!

MORE INFO

All program participants must be:

- on **active supervision** (probation or parole; verification will be required)
- **clean and sober**
- motivated to work, with a **positive attitude**
- willing and able to perform physically demanding assignments
- **committed to completing all program requirements**, including attending classes and workshops

QUESTIONS? Call Chrysalis (714) 204-3000

